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• The Health Service Executive (HSE) of Ireland is the country’s publicly funded healthcare system under the Irish Department of Health, consisting of 54 public hospitals directly under HSE authority, and voluntary hospitals which utilize national IT infrastructure.

• On May 14, 2021, HSE suffered a major ransomware cyberattack that caused all its IT systems nationwide to be shut down.

• It became the most significant cyberattack on an Irish state agency, as well as the largest known attack against a health service computer system in history, occurring during the COVID-19 pandemic.

• It took four months to completely recover from the attack, with HSE sustaining numerous impacts to healthcare delivery during this timeframe (discussed in the next slide).

• Conti ransomware was responsible for the incident.

• On December 3, 2021, HSE published an Independent Post Incident Review consisting of a 157-page redacted report, which is the foundation of this brief.

High Profile Impacts of the HSE Cyber Attack

- Hospital staff were forced to revert to pen and paper
- 80% of the HSE IT environment was encrypted, severely disrupting healthcare services throughout the country
- Prevented access to diagnostics and medical records
- Exposed the private information of thousands who received the COVID-19 vaccine
- National vaccination program was not affected
- Exfiltrated 700 GB of unencrypted data including protected health information (PHI)
- Specialists tracked stolen HSE data to a commercial server in the U.S.
- Lawsuits from patients over interrupted patient care
- Large financial cost to respond to the incident
- And more…
Cyber Threat Profile: Conti Ransomware

Malware
- **First Surfaced**: December 2019
- **Suspected Predecessor(s)**: Ryuk
- **Malware Capabilities**: Ransomware written in C/C++ that mainly encrypts local files
- **Targeted Systems**: All versions of Windows known to be affected
- **Associated Malware/Tools**: TrickBot, IcedID, Cobalt Strike, BazarLoader, Zloader, Rclone, LaZagne, Sidoh, etc.
- **Infection Vectors**: Spear phishing; Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP); phone calls; fake software; other malware; common vulnerabilities in external assets (i.e., Log4j)

Group
- **Origin**: Eastern Europe, Russian Federation
- **Industry Names**: Wizard Spider
- **Associated Actors**: UNC1878, TEMP.MixMaster, Grim Spider, UNC2633, UNC2727
- **Forum Presence**: Public and Private Forums
- **Targeted Countries**: United States, France, Germany, Canada, UK, Italy, Australia, Spain, Netherlands
- **Targeted Industries**: Manufacturing, construction, retail, legal, financial, technology, automotive, hospitality, transportation, energy, healthcare
- **Status**: Conti became the first professional-grade, sophisticated ransomware group to weaponize Log4j2 with a full attack chain in December 2021
- **Classification**: Highly-sophisticated, financially-motivated cybercriminal ransomware-as-a-service (RaaS) program; human-operated
- **Threat to HPH Sector**: Elevated Risk
• HC3 tracked at least **40 ransomware incidents** involving Conti ransomware in 2021

• Targeted countries within the healthcare industry included Australia, Colombia, France, Germany, India, Italy, Netherlands, the United Kingdom, and the United States

• HPH entities in at least **20 U.S. states** experienced Conti ransomware incidents or appeared on the Conti ransomware extortion blog

• **Sub-industries** within healthcare impacted included Biotechnology, Health or Medical Clinic, Healthcare Industry Services, Home Health Care Services, Hospice or Elderly Care, Hospital, Pharmaceutical Industry, and Public Health entities
Pre-Infection Timeline: March 18 – May 14, 2021

Figure 1: Summary Timeline 18 March - 14 May 2021

- **18/03/21**
  Initial infection of Patient Zero Workstation

- **07/05/21**
  The Attacker compromised the HSE’s servers for the first time

- **08/05/21 to 12/05/21**
  The Attacker compromised six voluntary and one statutory hospital

- **10/05/21**
  Hospital C identified malicious activity on a DC

- **12/05/21**
  Hospital A communicates alerts of malicious activity to the HSE OoCIO

- **12/05/21 to 13/05/21**
  The Attacker browsed folders & opened files on systems within the HSE

- **13/05/21**
  HSE’s Antivirus Security Provider emailed the HSE’s Sec Ops team highlighting unhandled threat events

- **13/05/21**
  Hospital A and DoH proactively prevented an attack on their networks

Source: HSE/PwC
Figure 2: Summary Timeline 14 May - 21 September 2021

14/05/21 @ 02:50
HSE received reports from hospitals of encrypted systems

14/05/21
HSE shutdown all HSE IT systems and access to the NHN

14/05/21
Third parties, including government agencies were brought in to support the response

15/05/21
HSE set up a war room, and reported the breach to the DPC

20/05/21
HSE obtained a court order restraining the sharing of HSE data

21/05/21
The decryption key was received accelerating the recovery process

21/05/21
Clinical Indemnity provided to doctors, nurses and midwives

21/05/21
The HSE established a SitCen in CityWest

24/05/21
A process was released to enable the secure recovery of systems

14/06/21
~47% of servers are considered decrypted, with ~51% of applications restored

100% of servers are considered decrypted with ~99% of applications restored

Source: HSE/PwC
• The HSE did not have a single responsible owner for cybersecurity, at senior executive or management level at the time of the incident.

• There was no dedicated committee that provided direction and oversight of cybersecurity and the activities required to reduce the HSE's cyber risk exposure.

• There were known weaknesses and gaps in key cybersecurity controls.

• The lack of a cybersecurity forum in the HSE hindered the discussion and documentation of granular cyber risks, as well as the abilities to identify and deliver mitigating controls.

• The HSE did not have a centralized cybersecurity function that managed cybersecurity risk and controls.

• It was a known issue that the teams with cybersecurity responsibilities were under-resourced.
• The HSE’s technology has grown organically and is consequently overly complex, increasing the vulnerability of the HSE to cyber attacks.

• The HSE had a large and unclear security boundary that encompassed many of the organizations connected to the National Healthcare Network (NHN).

• The HSE’s effective security boundary did not align with its ability to mandate cybersecurity controls.

• There was no effective security monitoring capability that was able to detect, investigate and respond to security alerts across the HSE's IT environment.

• The antivirus tool was over-relied upon to detect and prevent threats on endpoints.

• The IT environment had high-risk gaps relating to 25 out of 28 of the cybersecurity controls that are most effective at detecting and preventing human-operated ransomware attacks.

• The HSE did not have a documented cyber incident response plan and had not performed typical preparatory activities, such as exercising the technical response.
Key Findings: Ransomware Attack Response

- The cyber attack was not actively identified nor contained prior to the ransomware execution, despite the attacker performing noisy and ‘unstealthy’ actions.
- The HSE’s antivirus identified a tool commonly used by ransomware groups (Cobalt Strike) on six servers on May 7, 2021 (and several more servers in the following days) but these alerts were not appropriately actioned.
- Two voluntary hospitals identified suspicious activity prior to the execution of ransomware, but a HSE centralized response was not initiated.
- Two organizations successfully acted on detections of the attacker, preventing the deployment of ransomware within their estates.
- The HSE, with the help of third parties, mobilized a response to the ransomware attack and overcame many of the significant challenges the ransomware attack presented, drawing on their experience responding to crises including COVID-19.
- The HSE was reliant on third parties in the early weeks of the incident to provide structure to the response activities.
- Time was lost during the response due to a lack of pre-planning for high impact technology events.
• The HSE spent a significant amount of time during the response gathering information about applications, as this information was not recorded and up-to-date in a central or offline application register.

• There was a heavy reliance on specific individuals during the response. This likely contributed to a recovery timeline that was longer than could have been achieved.

• The response initially prioritized the recovery of foundational systems, and applications on the operators of essential services list, before advancing to an approach that focused on clinical risks and the recovery of end-to-end clinical services.

• There was a lack of clearly defined and delineated decision-making authority between the HSE, hospitals and Community Healthcare Organizations (CHO) in the case of a health service-wide crisis.

• The OCIO was not able to provide or source (through third party burst capacity) the scale of the IT support required by hospitals and CHOs during the extended response to restore applications, systems and services at pace.

• The HSE had limited to no ability to investigate the attack using its own tooling.

• The HSE’s Incident Response provider identified evidence of how the attacker was able to gain unauthorized access to the HSE’s IT environment, as well as the attacker’s subsequent activities.
• The impact of the ransomware on the IT environment was reported by the HSE’s management to lead to 80% encryption.

• The impact of the ransomware attack on communications was severe, as the HSE almost exclusively used on-premise email systems (including Exchange) that were encrypted, and therefore unavailable, during the attack.

• The HSE took action to contain the ransomware attack by powering down systems and disconnecting the NHN from the internet.

• It is unclear how much data would have been lost if a decryption key had not become available.

• Without the decryption key, it is unknown how long it would have taken to recover systems from backups, but it would have likely taken considerably longer.

• The HSE missed opportunities for efficiencies in the recovery of systems and applications due to a lack of preparedness.
Governance and cybersecurity leadership
1. Understanding of technology dependency and governance of technology risk
2. Cybersecurity strategy and leadership
3. Ransomware-specific assessment
4. Effective cybersecurity monitoring and response
5. Testing of cybersecurity capability through simulated attacks

Preparedness to respond and recover
1. Cybersecurity-specific incident response and crisis management plans
2. Business continuity planning and IT disaster recovery planning for a ransomware scenario
3. Retained incident and crisis support
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- EMR in Healthcare (2/17)
- As-a-Service Model of Cybercrime (3/17)

Requests for Information

Need information on a specific cybersecurity topic? Send your request for information (RFI) to HC3@HHS.GOV.

Product Evaluations

Recipients of this and other Healthcare Sector Cybersecurity Coordination Center (HC3) Threat Intelligence products are highly encouraged to provide feedback. If you wish to provide feedback, please complete the HC3 Customer Feedback Survey.

Disclaimer

These recommendations are advisory and are not to be considered as Federal directives or standards. Representatives should review and apply the guidance based on their own requirements and discretion. HHS does not endorse any specific person, entity, product, service, or enterprise.
HC3 works with private and public sector partners to improve cybersecurity throughout the Healthcare and Public Health (HPH) Sector

Products

**Sector & Victim Notifications**
Direct communications to victims or potential victims of compromises, vulnerable equipment or PII/PHI theft, as well as general notifications to the HPH about current impacting threats via the HHS OIG.

**White Papers**
Document that provides in-depth information on a cybersecurity topic to increase comprehensive situational awareness and provide risk recommendations to a wide audience.

**Threat Briefings & Webinar**
Briefing presentations that provide actionable information on health sector cybersecurity threats and mitigations. Analysts present current cybersecurity topics, engage in discussions with participants on current threats, and highlight best practices and mitigation tactics.

Need information on a specific cybersecurity topic, or want to join our Listserv? Send your request for information (RFI) to **HC3@HHS.GOV**, or visit us at **www.HHS.Gov/HC3**.