## United States Department of Health and Human Services

## DEPARTMENTAL APPEALS BOARD

Civil Remedies Division

INFOR	MAL BRIE	F OF PETITIONER	
	)		
	)		
The Inspector General.	)		
- v	)		
- V	)	DOCKCI NO. C-	
Petitioner,	)	Docket No. C-	
	)	Dute.	
	)	Date:	
In the Case of:	)		

The Inspector General (I.G.) argues that you may be excluded from participating in Medicare, Medicaid, and other federally-funded health care programs for at least three years, because you have been convicted of a crime that is described at section 1128(b)(3) of the Social Security Act.

The issues in this case are whether:

- 1. The I.G. is authorized to exclude you; and
- 2. The length of the exclusion that the I.G. determined to impose is reasonable.

## I. Were you convicted of a misdemeanor criminal offense?

Yes No

The I.G. argues that you were convicted of a misdemeanor criminal offense. Do you agree that you were convicted of a misdemeanor criminal offense?

Yes No

If you **disagree**, explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support your argument and explain why they do.

II.	Were you	convicted	of an	offense f	for which	exclusion	ı is aut	horized?
-----	----------	-----------	-------	-----------	-----------	-----------	----------	----------

Do you disagree with the I.G.'s argument that you may be excluded because your conviction is of a misdemeanor relating to the unlawful manufacture, distribution, prescription or dispensing of a controlled substance?

Yes No

If you **disagree**, explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support your argument and explain why they do.

**III.** Is the length of your exclusion unreasonable? The I.G. argues that the length of the exclusion imposed is reasonable.

Do you believe that a mitigating factor or factors exist(s) that support(s) reducing the length of your exclusion (before answering this question read the list of potentially mitigating factors that is set forth at 42 C.F.R. \$ 1001.401(c)(3)?

Yes No

If you believe that a mitigating factor or factors exist(s), state what it is/they are and explain why the presence of the factor or factors should support reducing the length of your exclusion. State which exhibits support your argument(s) and explain why they do.

IV. Do you believe that an in-person hearing is necessary to decide your case?

Yes No

Do you have any testimony that you wish to offer at an in-person hearing?				
Yes	No			
If you have testimony that you wish to offer provide the following:				
1. The name of each witness whose testimony you want to offer.				
2 4 1				
of wh	scription of each witness' proposed testimony and an explanation by you believe that the testimony relates to any of the arguments			
you v	vant to offer in connection with items I, II, and III.			
3. An ex	aplanation of why the proposed testimony does not duplicate			
some	thing that is already stated in an exhibit.			
V. Do vou hav	e any other arguments you wish to make? If so, please state			
them here. State	e which exhibits support your argument(s) and explain why they			
do.				
	Detition on Detition 2 Detection			
	Petitioner or Petitioner's Representative			
	Date:			