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The AAMC serves and leads the academic medicine community to improve the health of all.

- Founded in 1876
- Not-for-profit association representing:
  - 141 accredited U.S. and 17 accredited Canadian medical schools
  - Nearly 400 major teaching hospitals and health systems, including 51 Department of Veterans Affairs medical centers
  - 90 academic and scientific societies
AAMC Informed Consent Simplification Project

• July 2009 AAMC convened a group of 13 experts to review three existing IRB approved protocols with long, complicated consent forms

• Approach:
  • Reviewed literature about the consent process and usefulness of consent forms
  • Discussed and created model templates that are readable, brief, and included all the required regulatory elements
  • The charge was to simplify not to simply shorten the actual consent document and then to field test the new templates
AAMC Informed Consent Simplification Project

• Each group created a series of drafts and considered options
  • a form plus an appendix
  • a form plus a handbook
  • a summary plus a form
• Two groups created a stand alone form and one group created a 3 part form (basic information, the consent and instructions to researchers)
• Developed documents were shared with FDA and OHRP for review and comment
"What a fascinating and enlightening exercise - even though I've read the required and additional elements of informed consent a hundred times, I learned that I have a pretty skewed view of what is "required" for valid informed consent. (Maybe it's because I've read sponsors' drafts even more!) I used the regulatory elements (instead of the investigator's draft) as my primary source for deciding where to draw the line for these documents, and found I could eliminate far more than I'd expected."
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BUILDING EFFECTIVENESS & IMPLEMENTATION RESEARCH INTO CLINICAL PRACTICE
February 13, 2012
“A moral framework for a learning health care system will depart in important respects from contemporary conceptions of clinical and research ethics. The dominant paradigm in research ethics and in federal regulations has relied on a sharp distinction between research and practice... The learning health care system, by contrast, proposes that it is acceptable and indeed essential to integrate research and practice.”

- Faden et al.
Case studies of successful strategies based on the experiences of six institutions, including approaches to informed consent.
The AAMC comment letter generally supports the proposals to streamline the initial and continuing review of research and to improve the informed consent requirements to make the process more meaningful to research subjects.
AAMC Response to the ANPRM

• Informed consent has become a documentation process that serves many purposes, perhaps at the expense of the fundamental goals:
  • to provide individuals with the relevant information, time, and opportunities to formulate questions about the research
  • to ensure that the subject has given voluntary, informed consent
AAMC Response to the ANPRM

• Flexibility is Key
  • “While we support the shortening and simplification of informed consent forms and have been involved in efforts to further this goal, we do not believe that imposing specific page limits or other prescriptive formatting requirements is appropriate.”
  • “Instead, we suggest that the regulations and accompanying guidance stress the flexibility that IRBs have to approve documents that provide all meaningful and relevant information to individuals, including easy access to more information as needed.”
AAMC Response to the ANPRM

• Focus on the process, not the document
  • The Common Rule and the ANPRM maintains regulatory focus on the *document*
  • Ideally, the consent “form” only serves as written documentation that such a process has occurred.
  • “The regulations should dictate required elements of the process but not the precise manner in which the information is provided. Novel document formats… should be allowed and encouraged by the regulations.”
The Consent Process

Informed consent is more than just a signature on a form, it is a process of information exchange that may include, in addition to reading and signing the informed consent document, subject recruitment materials, verbal instructions, question/answer sessions and measures of subject understanding. Institutional Review Boards (IRBs), clinical investigators, and research sponsors all share responsibility for ensuring that the informed consent process is adequate. Thus, rather than an endpoint, the consent document should be the basis for a meaningful exchange between the investigator and the subject.
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