# Pregnant Women: Scientific and Ethical Considerations for Inclusion in Clinical Trials Guidance for Industry

### DRAFT GUIDANCE

#### This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to https://www.regulations.gov. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact the Division of Pediatric and Maternal Health (CDER) at (301) 796-2200 or the Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development (CBER) at 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> April 2018 Clinical/Medical Revision 1

# Pregnant Women: Scientific and Ethical Considerations for Inclusion in Clinical Trials Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:

Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002 Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353; Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm

and/or

Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, rm. 3128 Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002 Phone: 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010; Email: ocod@fda.hhs.gov http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm

> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> > April 2018 Clinical/Medical Revision 1

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	1		
II.	BACKGROUND	2		
III.	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	4		
А.	FDA Regulations That Govern Research in Pregnant Women	4		
В.	Research-Related Risks	6		
C.	General Guidelines for Including Pregnant Women in Clinical Trials	6		
IV.	OTHER CONSIDERATIONS	8		
А.	Disease Type and Availability of Therapeutic Options in the Pregnant Population	8		
В.	Timing of Enrollment	9		
C.	Pharmacokinetic Data	9		
D.	Safety Data Collection and Monitoring10	0		
Е.	Stopping a Clinical Trial That Enrolls Pregnant Women10	0		
REFERENCES				

Draft — Not for Implementation

### Pregnant Women: Scientific and Ethical Considerations for Inclusion in Clinical Trials Guidance for Industry<sup>1</sup>

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

13 14

15

1

2

7 8

9

10

11

12

#### 16 17 J NITROD

17 18

#### I. INTRODUCTION

19 This guidance provides recommendations about how and when to include pregnant women in 20 drug development clinical trials for drugs and biological products based on the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's or Agency's) current thinking on this subject.<sup>2</sup> Specifically, this 21 22 guidance supports an informed and balanced approach to gathering data on the use of drugs and 23 biological products during pregnancy through judicious inclusion of pregnant women in clinical 24 trials and careful attention to potential fetal risk. This draft guidance is intended to serve as a 25 focus for continued discussions among various entities such as the Agency, pharmaceutical manufacturers, the academic community, institutional review boards (IRBs), and others who are 26 27 involved with the conduct of clinical trials in pregnant women.<sup>3</sup> 28 29 This guidance discusses the scientific and ethical issues that should be addressed when 30 considering the inclusion of pregnant women in drug development clinical trials. From a

31 scientific and ethical standpoint, the population of pregnant women is complex based on the

32 interdependency of maternal and fetal well-being, and the need to take into consideration the

risks and benefits of a drug to both woman and fetus (American College of Obstetricians and

34 Gynecologists 2015). The scientific and ethical issues discussed in this guidance apply both to

35 clinical trials that enroll pregnant subjects and to clinical trials that allow enrolled subjects who

36 become pregnant to remain in the trial.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This guidance has been prepared by the Division of Pediatric and Maternal Health in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) in cooperation with the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research and the Office of Good Clinical Practice, Office of Special Medical Programs, in the Office of the Commissioner at the Food and Drug Administration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Throughout this guidance, the term *drug* means drug and biological products regulated by CDER or CBER.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In addition to consulting guidances, sponsors are encouraged to contact the appropriate review division to discuss specific issues that arise during drug development.

*Draft* — *Not for Implementation* 

37

- 38 Some of the information provided in this guidance applies to drugs indicated to treat pregnancy-
- specific conditions (e.g., preterm labor, pre-eclampsia), but the larger focus is on drugs indicated 39
- 40 for conditions that occur commonly among females of reproductive potential. Women in this
- group may require treatment for chronic disease or acute medical problems, and may become 41
- 42 pregnant multiple times during the reproductive phase of their lives.
- 43

44 This guidance does not discuss general clinical trial design issues or statistical analysis. Those

45 topics are addressed in the ICH guidances for industry E9 Statistical Principles for Clinical

46 Trials, E10 Choice of Control Group and Related Issues in Clinical Trials,<sup>4</sup> and the draft ICH

- guidance for industry E9(R1) Statistical Principles for Clinical Trials: Addendum: Estimands 47
- and Sensitivity Analysis in Clinical Trials.<sup>5</sup> The draft guidance for industry Pharmacokinetics in 48
- 49 Pregnancy — Study Design, Data Analysis, and Impact on Dosing and Labeling<sup>6</sup> and certain
- 50 disease-specific and drug class-specific guidances may provide additional considerations for
- 51 studying pregnant women during drug development.
- 52

53 In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.

54 Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only 55 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of 56 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

- 57
- 58 59

#### 60 II. BACKGROUND

61

62 In the interests of promoting maternal/fetal health and informed prescribing decisions during 63 pregnancy, this guidance addresses the challenges of including pregnant women in drug 64 development research. There are more than 60 million women in the United States between the 65 ages of 15 and 44 years, and almost 4 million births per year (U.S. National Vital Statistics 66 Reports). Like women who are not pregnant, some pregnant women need to use drugs to manage chronic disease conditions or treat acute medical problems. To the extent there is 67 68 labeling information for pregnant women, it is usually based on nonclinical data with or without 69 limited human safety data. The frequent lack of information based on clinical data often leaves 70 the health care provider (HCP) and the patient reluctant to treat the underlying condition, which 71 in some cases may result in more harm to the woman and the fetus than if she had been treated. 72 In addition, pregnant women often use medically necessary drugs without a clear scientific 73 understanding of the risks and benefits to themselves or their developing fetuses (Lyerly et al. 74 2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs or Biologics guidance web page at

https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm or https://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/default.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

Draft — Not for Implementation

76					
77	Currently, information about drug use in pregnancy generally is collected in the postmarketing				
78	setting, using data from observational studies such as pregnancy exposure registries and other				
/9	cohort studies, case control studies, and surveillance methods. Historically, there have been				
80	barriers to obtaining data from pregnant women in clinical trials in an effort to protect them and				
81	their fetuses from research-related risks. However, in certain situations, it may be helpful to				
82 82	collect data in pregnant women in the setting of a clinical trial (Goldkind et al. 2010). For				
83 04	example, it may be useful to compare the safety and efficacy of a drug that has been considered				
04 85	the standard of care for pregnant women with a newer treatment (Jones et al. 2010). In other situations, a women's health and the well being of her fatus may headfit from aliginal trial				
85 86	situations, a woman's health and the well-being of her fetus may benefit from clinical trial				
80 87	participation. For example, a pregnant woman may need access to experimental therapies in a clinical trial setting because there are no approved treatment options available. Sometimes a				
88	drug treatment offered only through a clinical trial will hold out the prospect of direct bonefit to				
89	the pregnant woman and/or her fetus beyond otherwise available therapies. For example, some				
90	clinical trials for drugs that treat human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) tuberculosis and				
91	malaria enroll pregnant women (or provide that patients who become pregnant can continue				
92	enrollment) based on ethical principles and clinical need.				
93					
94	There are multiple reasons for considering the inclusion of pregnant women in clinical trials,				
95	including the following:				
96					
97	• Women need safe and effective treatment during pregnancy				
98					
99	• Failure to establish the dose/dosing regimen, safety, and efficacy of treatments during				
100	pregnancy may compromise the health of women and their fetuses				
101					
102	• In some settings, enrollment of pregnant women in clinical trials may offer the possibility				
103	of direct benefit to the woman and/or fetus that is unavailable outside the research setting				
104					
105	• Development of accessible treatment options for the pregnant population is a significant				
106	public health issue				
107					
108	Extensive physiological changes associated with pregnancy may alter drug pharmacokinetics and				
109	pharmacodynamics, which directly affects the safety and efficacy of a drug administered to a				
110	pregnant woman through alterations in drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion.				
111	Pregnancy-related changes in various organ systems (e.g., gastrointestinal, cardiovascular, and				
112	renar) also may alter drug pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. For example, a 30 to 40				
114	drugs during pregnancy (Mattison and Zajicek 2006); therefore, prescribing often occurs in the				
115	absence of knowledge regarding the dose required to achieve the desired therapeutic effect				
116	(Andrew et al. 2007).				
117					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See the draft guidance for industry *Pharmacokinetics in Pregnancy* — *Study Design, Data Analysis, and Impact on Dosing and Labeling.* 

Draft — Not for Implementation

118	Filling the knowledge gaps regarding safe and effective use of drugs in pregnant women is a						
119	critical public health need, but one that raises complex issues.						
120							
121							
122	III.	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS					
123							
124	The in	clusion of pregnant women in clinical trials is guided by human subject protection					
125	regulations and involves complex risk-benefit assessments that vary depending on the						
126	seriousness of the disease, the availability of other treatments, the trial design, and whether the						
127	propos	sed investigation will occur in the premarketing or postmarketing setting. Because of the					
128	complex ethical issues involved in designing clinical trials that include pregnant women,						
129	sponse	ors should consider including an ethicist in planning their drug development programs.					
130	Moreo	ver, sponsors should consider meeting with the appropriate FDA review division early in					
131	the development phase to discuss when and how to include pregnant women in the drug						
132	development plan. These discussions should involve FDA experts in bioethics and maternal						
133	health.	·					
134							
135		A. FDA Regulations That Govern Research in Pregnant Women					
136							
137	FDA-r	regulated clinical trials in pregnant women must conform to all applicable FDA					
138	regula	tions, including those related to human subject protections (21 CFR part 56, Institutional					
139	Review	w Boards, and 21 CFR part 50, subpart B, Informed Consent of Human Subjects). In					
140	additic	on, if the trial is supported or conducted by the Department of Health and Human Services					
141	(HHS)	, then 45 CFR part 46 may also apply, which would include subpart B, Additional					
142	Protec	tions for Pregnant Women, Human Fetuses and Neonates Involved in Research. <sup>8</sup> The					
143	FDA r	egulations do not contain a section similar to 45 CFR part 46, subpart B; however, the					
144	FDA recommends that these requirements be satisfied for FDA-regulated clinical research.						
145	Subpar	rt B requires that trials supported or conducted by HHS meet all of the following 10					
146	conditions:						
147							
148	1.	Where scientifically appropriate, nonclinical studies, including studies on pregnant					
149		animals, and clinical studies, including studies on nonpregnant women, have been					
150		conducted and provide data for assessing potential risks to pregnant women and fetuses;					
151							
152	2.	The risk to the fetus is caused solely by interventions or procedures that hold out the					
153		prospect of direct benefit for the woman or the fetus; or, if there is no such prospect of					
154		benefit, the risk to the fetus is not greater than minimal <sup>3</sup> and the purpose of the research is					
155		the development of important biomedical knowledge which cannot be obtained by any					
156		other means;					
15/	2						
158	3.	Any risk is the least possible for achieving the objectives of the research;					
159							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See 45 CFR 46.204.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See section III.B., Research-Related Risks, for discussion of minimal risk.

Draft — Not for Implementation

160 161	4.	The pregnant woman's consent is obtained in accord with the informed consent provisions of 45 CFR part 46, subpart A;			
162	_				
163	5.	If the research holds out the prospect of direct benefit solely to the fetus then the consent			
164 165		of the pregnant woman and the father is obtained in accord with the informed consent provisions of 45 CEP part 46, subpart A, except that the father's consent need not be			
165		obtained if he is unable to consent because of unavailability incompetence, or temporary			
167		incapacity or the pregnancy resulted from rape or incest:			
168		incupacity of the programey resulted from rupe of incest,			
169	6.	Each individual providing consent is fully informed regarding the reasonably foreseeable			
170		impact of the research on the fetus or neonate;			
171		1			
172	7.	For children as defined in § 46.402(a) who are pregnant, assent and permission are			
173		obtained in accord with the provisions of 45 CFR part 46, subpart D;			
174					
175	8.	No inducements, monetary or otherwise, will be offered to terminate a pregnancy;			
176					
177	9.	Individuals engaged in the research will have no part in any decisions as to the timing,			
178		method, or procedures used to terminate a pregnancy; and			
179					
180	10.	Individuals engaged in the research will have no part in determining the viability of a			
181		neonate.			
182					
183	IRBs a	re required to possess the professional competence necessary to review the specific			
184	researc	ch activities that they oversee (21 CFR 56.107(a)). IRBs must include persons who are			
185	knowle	edgeable in areas about the acceptability of proposed research in terms of institutional			
186	comm	It ments and regulations, applicable law, and standards of professional conduct and practice $ID_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int $			
18/	(21 CF	<sup>4</sup> K 56.107(a)). Therefore, if an IRB regularly reviews research involving pregnant women,			
100		D must consider including one of more individuals who are knowledgeable about and			
109	experie to opp	enced in working with such subjects ( $21 \text{ CFR } 50.107(a)$ ). When an IRB considers whether			
190	(direct	to the subjects, or generalizable knowledge) that may result from the research itself (as			
191	disting	uished from risks and benefits of therapies that subjects would receive even if not			
192	nartici	nating in the research) (21 CFR 56 111(a)(2)) Additionally IRBs are required to			
194	determ	sine that additional safeguards are included in the trial to protect the rights and welfare of $\frac{1}{2}$			
195	subject	ts who are pregnant (21 CFR 56 111(b)).			
196	suejee				
197	Additi	onal issues are raised by pregnant minors. Depending on state law, a pregnant minor may			
198	be con	sidered emancipated by virtue of her pregnancy, a mature minor, or still a child (see the			
199	definition of children under 21 CFR 50.3(o)). IRBs should be familiar with applicable law of the				
200	jurisdiction in which a trial will be conducted. In the event that a clinical trial regulated by the				
201	FDA allows the enrollment of pregnant minors, or a minor becomes pregnant while enrolled in a				
202	clinica	l trial, and the pregnant minor meets the definition of a child under applicable state law,			
203	the IR	B would have to comply with the applicable requirements of 21 CFR part 50, subpart D,			
204	Additi	onal Safeguards for Children in Clinical Investigations.			

Draft — Not for Implementation

206 207

#### B. Research-Related Risks

Research-related risks may meet the regulatory definition for *minimal risk* or may involve
greater than minimal risk. FDA regulations define minimal risk as follows (21 CFR 50.3(k)):

*"Minimal risk* means that the probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort anticipated in
the research are not greater in and of themselves than those ordinarily encountered in daily
life or during the performance of routine physical or psychological examinations or tests."

Research-related risks are the risks specifically associated with the trial interventions or procedures. If a woman is assigned to receive a drug while enrolled in a clinical trial (i.e., the assignment of the drug is determined by the protocol), then the risks associated with the drug would be considered research-related.

219

220 In contrast, risks are not research-related when they are independent of the study and not

associated with a trial intervention or protocol requirements. In other words, when a study

collects data about drug treatment during pregnancy but the drug was prescribed before study enrollment by the patient's HCP, then the risks associated with the drug use are not research-

related risks (Sheffield et al. 2014). For example, in a study in which the investigator plans to assess the pharmacokinetics of a particular selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) during pregnancy, the investigator enrolls pregnant women with a history of major depression who are currently managed on this drug. In this study the SSRI does not create research-related risk, because the patients are already using the SSRI (as previously prescribed by their HCPs) to

manage their medical conditions. The only risks of the study are those associated with study specific procedures (e.g., blood sample collection), and potential loss of confidentiality or

231 privacy.232

233 In this situation, the research-related risk to the fetus is minimal, and the purpose of the research

is the development of important biomedical knowledge, which cannot be obtained by any other
 means. Some dedicated pharmacokinetic (PK) studies conducted with pregnant women (such as

the previous SSRI example) can offer direct benefit to subjects if the data are used during the

trial to adjust the dosing for individual subjects when clinically appropriate. The informed

- consent process should include discussion of expectations about whether trial data will be monitored and evaluated in a way that can potentially benefit the subject during the trial.
- 239

There may be circumstances in which a clinical trial can potentially expose a fetus to greater than minimal risk. Pregnant women can be enrolled in clinical trials that involve greater than minimal risk to the fetuses if the trials offer the potential for direct clinical benefit to the enrolled pregnant women and/or their fetuses. For example, this benefit may result from access to: (1) a needed but otherwise unavailable therapy (e.g., a new antituberculosis drug for multidrug resistant disease); or (2) a drug or biologic that reduces the risk for acquiring a serious health condition (e.g., a vaginal microbicide that reduces transmission of HIV and herpes simplex virus).

- 247
- 249

C. General Guidelines for Including Pregnant Women in Clinical Trials

#### Draft — Not for Implementation

251 This section provides general guidelines and considerations for including pregnant women in 252 clinical trials. However, every drug development situation is unique, and individualized 253 approaches to clinical trial design may be required to facilitate inclusion of pregnant women in 254 specific drug development plans. 255 256 The FDA considers it ethically justifiable to include pregnant women with a disease or medical 257 condition requiring treatment in clinical trials under the following circumstances: 258 259 In the postmarketing setting (i.e., FDA-approved drugs) 260 261 Adequate nonclinical studies (including studies on pregnant animals) have been • 262 completed<sup>10</sup> 263 264 and 265 266 There is an established safety database in nonpregnant women from clinical trials or • 267 preliminary safety data from the medical literature and/or other sources regarding use in 268 pregnant women 269 270 and one of the following: 271 272 • Efficacy cannot be extrapolated 273 274 and/or 275 276 • Safety cannot be assessed by other study methods 277 278 In the premarketing setting (i.e., investigational drugs) 279 280 • Adequate nonclinical studies (including studies on pregnant animals) have been 281 completed 282 283 and 284 285 The clinical trial holds out the prospect of direct benefit to the pregnant woman and/or • 286 fetus that is not otherwise available outside the research setting or cannot be obtained by 287 any other means (e.g., the pregnant woman may not have responded to other approved 288 treatments or there may not be any treatment options) 289 290 The above conditions would also apply to a drug that is being developed to treat a pregnancy-291 specific condition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The phrase *adequate nonclinical studies* refers to recommendations for the design and conduct of reproductive toxicology and other nonclinical studies described in the ICH guidances for industry M3(R2) Nonclinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical Trials and Marketing Authorization for Pharmaceuticals and S5(R2) Detection of Toxicity to Reproduction for Medicinal Products: Addendum on Toxicity to Male Fertility.

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 292 293 Women who become pregnant while enrolled in a clinical trial 294 295 When a pregnancy has been identified during a clinical trial, unblinding should occur so that 296 counseling may be offered based on whether the fetus has been exposed to the investigational 297 drug, placebo, or control. The risks and benefits of continuing versus stopping investigational 298 treatment can be reviewed with the pregnant woman. Pregnant women who choose to continue 299 in the clinical trial should undergo a second informed consent process that reflects these 300 additional risk-benefit considerations. 301 302 If fetal exposure has already occurred, a woman who becomes pregnant while enrolled in a 303 clinical trial should be allowed to continue on the investigational drug if the potential benefits of 304 continued treatment for the woman outweigh the risks of ongoing fetal exposure to the 305 investigational drug, of discontinuing maternal therapy, and/or of exposing the fetus to additional 306 drugs if placed on an alternative therapy. Regardless of whether the woman continues in the 307 trial, it is important to collect and report the pregnancy outcome. 308 309 310 IV. **OTHER CONSIDERATIONS** 311 312 Including pregnant women in a trial involves careful risk-benefit assessments. All trials must be 313 designed to minimize risk as much as possible while preserving the ability to achieve the 314 objectives of the research (21 CFR 56.111). Some general considerations for sponsors and 315 investigators include: 316 317 Obtaining adequate reproductive and developmental toxicology data in relevant • 318 nonclinical models
  - Identifying the trial population that will derive the most benefit while trying to minimize risk
  - Considering the gestational timing of exposure to the investigational drug in relation to fetal development
  - Choosing appropriate control populations

Sponsors should also consider the issues discussed in the following sections when designing aclinical trial that will include pregnant women.

330 331

332

333

319 320

321

322 323

324

325 326

327

## A. Disease Type and Availability of Therapeutic Options in the Pregnant Population

- Sponsors should take into account the incidence of the disease, the severity of the disease (e.g.,
   whether or not it is life-threatening), and the availability of other therapeutic options and their
- risks. Pregnant patients with no other viable therapeutic options (e.g., drug resistance, drug

#### Draft — Not for Implementation

337 intolerance, contraindication, drug allergy) to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or 338 condition may be appropriate candidates to enroll in a clinical trial. 339 **B**.

340 341

#### **Timing of Enrollment**

342 The most appropriate time to include pregnant women in clinical trials during drug development 343 may differ. Nonclinical reproductive and developmental toxicology studies generally should be completed before enrolling pregnant women in clinical trials.<sup>11</sup> In general, phase 1 and phase 2 344 345 clinical trials in a nonpregnant population that include females of reproductive potential should 346 be completed before sponsors enroll pregnant women in later phase clinical trials. Sponsors 347 should consider whether any of the following situations apply in determining when to enroll 348 pregnant women in the drug development process.

349 350

351

352

353

354 355

356

357

361 362

- If there are limited safety data or other approved (i.e., safe and effective) treatments are • *available*: In this situation, it may be more appropriate to complete phase 3 clinical trials in a nonpregnant population before enrolling pregnant women and exposing them to the investigational drug
- If there are limited therapeutic options: In these situations, the risk-benefit considerations may favor enrollment of pregnant women in earlier phase trials
- 358 • If there are safety data for a drug that has been studied previously for other indications 359 or populations: In these situations, the risk-benefit considerations may favor enrollment 360 of pregnant women in earlier phase trials
  - **C**. **Pharmacokinetic Data**

363 364 Because of the extensive physiological changes associated with pregnancy, PK parameters may 365 change, sometimes enough to justify changes in dose or dosing regimen. For drug development 366 programs where there are plans to enroll pregnant women in a phase 3 clinical trial, PK data in 367 pregnant women should be collected during the phase 2 clinical trials to guide appropriate dosing 368 in phase 3. In situations where pregnant women are enrolled in phase 3 clinical trials for a 369 marketed drug, PK data should be collected as part of the trial.

370

371 In appropriate situations, nonpregnant women who become pregnant while on the investigational

372 drug and consent to remain on the drug can also consent to PK assessments at steady state to 373 collect data on correct dosing during pregnancy. Modeling and simulation have been

374 increasingly used to support the design of clinical PK studies (Xia et al. 2013; Ke et al. 2013).

375 For PK studies including pregnant patients, physiological changes during and after pregnancy

376 that are critical for drug absorption and disposition may need to be considered in the model.

377

378 For additional information on PK modeling, study design considerations, and PK studies in

379 pregnant women, refer to the draft guidance for industry *Pharmacokinetics in Pregnancy* —

380 Study Design, Data Analysis, and Impact on Dosing and Labeling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See ICH M3(R2).

Draft — Not for Implementation

381 382

383

#### D. Safety Data Collection and Monitoring

384 When pregnant women are enrolled in a clinical trial, data collection elements should include, at 385 a minimum: gestational age at enrollment; gestational timing and duration of drug exposure; and 386 pregnancy outcomes including adverse maternal, fetal, and neonatal events. Enrolled pregnant 387 patients should also receive obstetrical care that meets the recognized standards of care. Infants 388 born to mothers who were exposed to the investigational drug should have follow-up safety 389 information collected. Systemic drug exposure to the fetus/newborn can be evaluated by 390 collecting cord blood or neonatal levels of drug and/or metabolites, depending on the timing of 391 exposure to the drug and its half-life.

392

Clinical trials that enroll pregnant women should include investigators or consultants who have
 expertise in obstetrics and/or maternal/fetal medicine, depending on the underlying conditions
 treated by the investigational drug.

396

All clinical trials require monitoring (21 CFR 312.50 and 312.56), and no single approach to
 monitoring is appropriate or necessary for every clinical trial.<sup>12</sup> Clinical trials that involve
 pregnant women should include a data monitoring plan that includes members with relevant
 specialty and perinatal expertise to permit ongoing recognition and evaluation of safety concerns
 that arise during the course of the trial. This facilitates appropriate, expert assessment of adverse
 event reports.

403

409

410

411

404 405

#### E. Stopping a Clinical Trial That Enrolls Pregnant Women

There may be situations where it would be appropriate to stop a randomized, controlled clinical
trial that is enrolling pregnant women. Examples include the following:

• An appropriately planned interim analysis demonstrates superior efficacy of the control or active comparator arm.

There are documented serious maternal or fetal adverse events that can be reasonably attributed to drug exposure and are deemed to exceed the potential benefits of drug treatment. This determination should include consideration of alternative effective treatments and the risks of the underlying condition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See the guidance for clinical trial sponsors *Establishment and Operation of Clinical Trial Data Monitoring Committees* and the guidance for industry *Oversight of Clinical Investigations* — A Risk-Based Approach to Monitoring.

Draft — Not for Implementation

417	REFERENCES
418	
419	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2015, Ethical Considerations for
420 421	Including Women as Research Participants, Committee Opinion No. 646, November.
422	Andrew, MA, TR Easterling, DB Carr, D Shen, ML Buchanan, T Rutherford, R Bennett,
423	P Vicini, and MF Hebert, 2007, Amoxicillin Pharmacokinetics in Pregnant Women: Modeling and Simulations of Desage Strategies. Clin Pharm and Therepouties, 81(4):547, 556
425	and Simulations of Dosage Strategies, Child Harm and Therapeutics, 81(4).547–550.
426 427	Goldkind, S, L Sahin, and B Gallauresi, 2010, Enrolling Pregnant Women in Research — Lessons From the H1N1 Influenza Pandemic, N Engl J Med, Jun 17, 362(24):2241–2243.
428	
429 430	Jones, H, K Kaltenbach, S Heil, S Stine, M Coyle, A Arria, K O'Grady, P Selby, P Martin, and G Fischer, 2010, Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome After Methadone or Buprenorphine Exposure,
431 432	N Engl J Med, Dec 9, 363(24):2320–2331.
432	Ke AB SC Nallani P.Zhao A Rostami-Hodiegan and I Unadkat 2013 Expansion of a PBPK
434	Model to Predict Disposition in Pregnant Women of Drugs Cleared Via Multiple CYP Enzymes
435	Including CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2C19, BJCP, 77:3:554–570.
430	Lyarly AD MO Little and P Faden 2008. The Second Waye: Toward Perponsible Inclusion
438	of Pregnant Women in Research, Int J Feminist Approaches to Bioethics, Fall, 1(2):5–22.
439	Mattison D and A Zajicek 2006 Gaps in Knowledge in Treating Pregnant Women, Gend Med
441	Sen 3(3):169-82
442	50p, 5(5).105 02.
443	Sheffield, JS, D Siegel, M Mirochnick, RP Heine, C Nguyen, K Bergman, RM Savic, J Long,
444	KE Dooley, and M Nesin, 2014, Designing Drug Trials: Considerations for Pregnant Women,
445	Clinical Infectious Diseases, 59(S7):S437–S444.
446	
447	U.S. National Vital Statistics Reports Rapid Release, 2017, Births: Provisional Data for 2016,
448	Report No. 002, June.
449	
450	Xia, B, T Heimbach, R Gollen, C Nanavati, and H He, 2013, A Simplified PBPK Modeling
451	Approach for Prediction of Pharmacokinetics of Four Primarily Renally Excreted and CYP3A
452	Metabolized Compounds During Pregnancy, AAPSJ, 15(4):1012–1024.