Guidance for Industry M4S: The CTD — Safety Appendices

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> August 2001 ICH

Guidance for Industry M4S: The CTD — Safety Appendices

Additional copies are available from:

Office of Training and Communications Division of Drug Information, HFD-240 Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 5600 Fishers Lane Rockville, MD 20857 (Tel) 301-827-4573 (Internet) http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm

or

Office of Communication, Training and Manufacturers Assistance, HFM-40 Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-1448 Internet: http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm. Fax: 1-888-CBERFAX or 301-827-3844 Mail: the Voice Information System at 800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> August 2001 ICH

APPENDIX A: EXAMPLES OF TABLES AND FIGURES FOR WRITTEN SUMMARIES

The tables and figures in Appendix A are presented merely as examples. Applicants should provide tables and figures using a format appropriate to the product.

Study references should be included in the table or text.

Tables should include statistics, if appropriate.

Compound	X2	X2	X3	X3
	K _i 1(nM)	K _i 2(nM)	K _i 1(nM)	K _i 2(nM)
1	538	2730	691	4550
2	2699	1050	2.0	181
3	578	14.4	141	10400
4	20	100	10.7	7.9
5	2100	3.1	281	28
6	7.5	8.4	44	2.8
7	3.11	3.76	1.94	1.93

 Table X: Binding of X and Its Major Metabolites and Comparators to Human X2 and X3 Receptors

K_i1 and K_i2 represent the high and low affinity binding sites, respectively (Data from Study Number).

Figure X: Blood Pressure Following Chronic Dosing With X to SHR^a



Blood pressure following chronic dosing with X to SHR^a[ref]. Hypotensive effect of saline i.v. infusion over 5 min (\Box) compared to X, 3 mg/kg i.v. infusion to SHR pretreated twice daily with saline, 1 mL/kg p.o., for 7 (\Box) or 14 (\Box) days or X, 25 mg/kg p.o., for 7 (\Box) or 14 (\Box) days. Saline pretreated statistical significances: p<0.05, all other points after challenge p<0.01. Values represent mean ± s.e.m. ^aSHR= spontaneous hypertensive rat (n=5 per group).

Parameter (units)	Paramete	r value				
Sex	Males			Females		
Dose (mg/kg)	2	10	30	2	10	30
C _{max} (ng/mL)	4.9	20.4	30.7	5.5	12.9	28.6
T _{max} (h)	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.3
AUC _{0-t} (ng.h/mL)	21.6	80.5	267	33.3	80	298
AUC _{0-inf} (ng.h/mL)	28.3	112	297	40.2	90	327

 Table X: Model Independent Pharmacokinetic Parameters for X in Mice Following Single Oral Doses at 2, 10

 and 30 mg/kg [ref]

Pharmacokinetic parameters were determined in pooled plasma from three animals at each time.

Table X: Excretion of Radioactive Material Following Single Doses of [¹⁴C]X to Male Mice [ref]

Dose (mg/kg)/	Percentage of admi	inistered dose	
route		Urine [*]	Feces	Total ⁺
2.8	i.v.	88.1 ± 7.4	5.5 ± 0.7	93.6 ± 6.9
8.8	p.o.	89.4 ± 4.7	6.9 ± 1.4	95.3 ± 3.4

Excretion was determined over 168 hours after dosing.

Values are means \pm S.D. (n= 5 for p.o. and 5 for i.v.)

* - includes radioactivity in cage wash (22.1% after p.o. and 21.7% after i.v.).

+ - includes radioactivity in the carcass.

Tissue	Concentration (ng equiv.*/g)							
	1 h	6 h	24 h	48 h	72 h			
Blood	105	96.6	2.34	2.34	3.65			
Plasma	142	175	3.12	ND	ND			
Adrenals	656	49.2	14.3	9.63	ND			
Bone marrow	359	31.5	ND	ND	ND			
Brain	116	9.37	ND	ND	ND			
Eyes	124	28.9	4.69	ND	ND			
Fat	490	44.0	10.2	6.25	5.47			
Heart	105	26.6	ND	ND	ND			
Kidneys	1280	651	21.6	13.3	9.63			
Large intestine	570	2470	39.3	12.0	ND			
Liver	875	380	133	87.7	64.6			
Lungs	234	59.1	7.55	ND	ND			

 Table X: Concentrations of Radioactive Material in the Tissues of Male Rats After a Single Intravenous
 Dose of [¹⁴C]X at 1.75 mg/kg [refs]

* - ng of X free base equivalent/g. N= 5 animals/time point.

ND - Not detected.

Dose ((mg/kg) /	Percentage of administered dose					
route		Urine	Feces	Bile	Total		
1.75	i.v.	61.3 ± 9.3	30.3 ± 4.1	-	95.2 ± 5.0		
1.75	p.o.	57.4 ± 3.8	37.0 ± 3.4	-	95.2 ± 1.5		
2	p.o.	72.3 ± 0.8	26.9 ± 1.9	-	99.5 ± 1.1		
20	p.o.	23.5 ± 6.3	0.5 ± 0.2	76.0 ± 5.9	100 ± 0.8		
220	p.o.	67.1 ± 9.0	24.8 ± 5.0	-	93.3 ± 6.8		

 Table X: Excretion of Radioactive Material Following Single Doses of [¹⁴C]X to Male Rats [refs]

Excretion was determined over 168 h period in Wistar rats:Values are means \pm S.D. (n=5); - not assayed; Total includes radioactivity in the carcass and cage washings.

Species (formulation)	Dose (mg/kg/day)	Systemic (plasm	a) exposure	References
		C _{max} (ng/mL)	AUC (ng.h/mL)#	_
Man (tablet)	0.48\$	36.7	557	Х
Mouse (solution)	8.8	68.9 (1.9)*	72.7 (0.2)*	Y
	21.9	267 (7.3)*	207 (0.5)*	
	43.8	430 (11.7)*	325 (0.7)*	
Rat (solution)	50	479 (13.0)*	1580 (2.8)*	Ζ
Dogs (solution)	1.5	5.58 (0.2)*	15.9 (<0.1)*	V
	5	24.8 (0.7)*	69.3 (0.1)*	
	15	184 (5.0)*	511 (0.9)*	

 Table X: Comparative Pharmacokinetic Data and Systemic Exposure to X Following Oral Administration to Mice, Rats, Dogs, and Patients [ref]

Data presented are for male and female animals and are after daily repeated oral administration (at the end of the 60-day mouse study, 14-day rat study, and 1-year dog study). Data for man are extrapolated from dose normalized data obtained in male and female patients following t.i.d regimen.

- AUC₀₋₆ in the mouse, AUC_{0-t} in the rat and in the dog and dose normalized AUC_{0-t} x 24 in man.

\$ - calculated from the total daily dose assuming a body weight of 50 kg for man.

* - Numbers in parentheses represent ratios of exposure in animals to those in patients.

	Dose Groups			
Lesion	Control	3 mg/kg	30 mg/kg	100 mg/kg
Hyperplasia (only)	x/50 (%)	x/50 (%)	x/50 (%)	x/50 (%)
Adenoma (only)	x/50 (%)	x/50 (%)	x/50 (%)	x/50 (%)
Adenoma + Hyperplasia	x/50 (%)	x/50 (%)	x/50(%)	x/50 (%)
Total*	x/50 (%)	x/50 (%)	x/50 (%)	x/50 (%)

 Table X: Incidence of Proliferative Interstitial (Leydig) Cell Lesions in Rats [ref]

* Adenoma and/or Hyperplasia.

APPENDIX B: THE NONCLINICAL TABULATED SUMMARIES TEMPLATES

- 2.6.3 Pharmacology
 - 2.6.3.1 Pharmacology: Overview
 - 2.6.3.2 Primary Pharmacodynamics*
 - 2.6.3.3 Secondary Pharmacodynamics*
 - 2.6.3.4 Safety Pharmacology
 - 2.6.3.5 Pharmacodynamic Drug Interactions*

2.6.5 Pharmacokinetics

- 2.6.5.1 Pharmacokinetics: Overview
- 2.6.5.2 Analytical Methods and Validation Reports*
- 2.6.5.3 Pharmacokinetics: Absorption After a Single Dose
- 2.6.5.4 Pharmacokinetics: Absorption after Repeated Doses
- 2.6.5.5 Pharmacokinetics: Organ Distribution
- 2.6.5.6 Pharmacokinetics: Plasma Protein Binding
- 2.6.5.7 Pharmacokinetics: Study in Pregnant or Nursing Animals
- 2.6.5.8 Pharmacokinetics: Other Distribution Study
- 2.6.5.9 Pharmacokinetics: Metabolism In Vivo
- 2.6.5.10Pharmacokinetics: Metabolism In Vitro
- 2.6.5.11Pharmacokinetics: Possible Metabolic Pathways
- 2.6.5.12Pharmacokinetics: Induction/Inhibition of Drug-Metabolizing Enzymes
- 2.6.5.13Pharmacokinetics: Excretion
- 2.6.5.14Pharmacokinetics: Excretion into Bile
- 2.6.5.15Pharmacokinetics: Drug-Drug Interactions
- 2.6.5.16Pharmacokinetics: Other

2.6.7 Toxicology

- 2.6.7.1 Toxicology: Overview
- 2.6.7.2 Toxicokinetics: Overview of Toxicokinetics Studies
- 2.6.7.3 Toxicokinetics: Overview of Toxicokinetics Data
- 2.6.7.4 Toxicology: Drug Substance
- 2.6.7.5 Single-Dose Toxicity
- 2.6.7.6 Repeat-Dose Toxicity: Nonpivotal Studies
- 2.6.7.7 Repeat-Dose Toxicity: Pivotal Studies
- 2.6.7.8 Genotoxicity: In Vitro
- 2.6.7.9 Genotoxicity: In Vivo
- 2.6.7.10Carcinogenicity
- 2.6.7.11 Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity: Nonpivotal Studies
- 2.6.7.12Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity: Fertility and Early Embryonic Development to Implantation (Pivotal)
- 2.6.7.13Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity: Effects on Embryofetal Development (Pivotal)
- 2.6.7.14Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity: Effects on Pre- and Postnatal Development, Including Maternal Function (Pivotol)

2.6.7.15Studies in Juvenile Animals^a (template not provided; see footnote a)
2.6.7.16Local Tolerance
2.6.7.17Other Toxicity Studies

* : Tabulated summary is optional. It is preferable to include text tables and figures with the Nonclinical Written Summary.

^a : When a juvenile animal study has been conducted, it should be tabulated using the template appropriate for the type of study and located in Section 2.6.7.15.

2.6.3.1 Pharmacology	Overview		Test Article:		
<u>Type of Study</u>	Test <u>System</u>	Method of <u>Administration</u>	Testing <u>Facility</u>	Study <u>Number<i>(4)</i></u>	Location <u>Vol. Page</u>
Primary Pharmacodynamics (2)					(3)
Secondary Pharmacodynamics					
Safety Pharmacology					
Pharmacodynamic Drug Interactions					

Notes: (1) International Nonproprietary Name (INN)

(2) There should be one line for each pharmacology report, in the same order as the CTD. Reports that contain a GLP Compliance Statement should be identified in a footnote.

(3) The location of the Technical Report in the CTD should be indicated.

(4) Or Report Number (on all tables).

2.6.3.4 Safety Pharmacology(1)

Test Article: (2)

Organ				Sex			
Systems	Species/	Method of	Doses ^a	and No.		GLP	Study
Evaluated	<u>Strain</u>	<u>Admin.</u>	<u>(mg/kg)</u>	<u>per Group</u>	Noteworthy Findings	<u>Compliance</u>	<u>Number(</u> 3)

- Notes: (1) All safety pharmacology studies should be summarized. (2) International Nonproprietary Name (INN). (3) Or Report Number (on all tables). Single dose unless specified otherwise.
- a -

2.6.5.1 Pharmacokinetics	Overview	Te	Test Article: (1)				
Type of Study	Test <u>System</u>	Method of <u>Administration</u>	Testing Facility	Study <u>Number</u>	Loca <u>Vol.</u>	tion <u>Page</u>	
Absorption (2)					(3)		
Distribution							
Metabolism							
Excretion							
Pharmacokinetic Drug Interactions							
Other							

Notes: (1) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).

(2) There should be one line for each pharmacokinetics report, in the same order as the CTD. Reports that contain a GLP Compliance Statement should be identified in a footnote.

(3) The location of the Technical Report in the CTD should be indicated.

2.6.5.3 Pharmacokinetics: Absorption After a Single Dose

Species Sex (M/F)/Number of animals Feeding condition Vehicle/Formulation Method of Administration Dose (mg/kg) Sample (e.g., whole blood, plasma, serum) Analyte Assay (2) PK parameters:

(4)

Additional Information: (3)

Notes: (1) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).

- (2) For example, HPLC, LSC with 14 C-labeled compound.
- (3) For example, brief textual results, species differences, sex differences, dose dependency, or special comments.
- (4) There should be one column for each study conducted. For comparison, representative information on humans at the maximum recommended dose should be included.

Test Article: (1)

Location in CTD: Vol. Page Study No.

The Common Technical Document — Safety

2.6.5.4 Pharmacokinetics: Absorption after Repeated Doses

Test Article:

[Data can be tabulated as in the format of 2.6.5.3 if applicable.]

Format A 2.6.5.5 Pharmacokinetics: Organ Distribution

Test Article:

Location in CTD: Vol. Page Study No.

Species:
Sex (M/F)/Number of animals:
Feeding condition:
Vehicle/Formulation:
Method of Administration:
Dose (mg/kg):
Radionuclide:
Specific Activity:
Sampling time:

Tissues/organs



Additional information:

2.6.5.5 Pharmacokinetics: Organ Distribution	Alterna	te Format l	3		Test Arti	cle:		
					Location	in CTD.	Vol	Daga
					Study No	шст <i>р</i> .	VOI.	rage
Species:								
Sex (M/F)/Number of animals:								
Feeding condition:								
Vehicle/Formulation:								
Method of Administration:								
Dose (mg/kg):								
Radionuclide:								
Specific Activity:								
Analyte/Assay (unit):								
Sampling time:								
		Ct	Last tim	e point				
Tissues/organs	conc.	T/P ¹⁾	conc.	T/P ¹⁾	Time	AUC		t _{1/2?}

Additional information:

¹⁾ [Tissue]/[Plasma]

2.6.5.6 Pharmacokinetics: Plasma Protein Bi	nding		Test Article:			
Study system: Target entity, Test system and method: <u>Species</u>	<u>Conc. tested</u>	<u>% Bound</u>		Study <u>No.</u>	<u>Location</u> <u>Vol</u> .	<u>in CTD</u> <u>Page</u>

Additional Information:

2.6.5.7 Pharmacokinetics: Study in Pregnant or Nursing Animals (1)

Placental transfer
Species:
Gestation day/Number of animals:
Vehicle/Formulation:
Method of Administration:
Dose (mg/kg):
Analyte:
Assay:
Time (hr)
Concentration/Amount (% of dose)
Dam (3):
Fetus (3):
Additional Information:

Test Article: (2)

Location in CTD: Vol. Page Study No.

Location in CTD: Vol. Page

Excretion into milk	Study No.
Species:	
Lactating date/Number of ani	imals:
Feeding condition:	
Vehicle/Formulation:	
Method of Administration:	
Dose (mg/kg):	
Analyte:	
Assay:	
Time [hr]	
Concentration:	
Milk:	
Plasma:	
Milk/plasma:	
Neonates:	
Additional Information:	

Notes for Table 2.6.5.7

- (1) Even if the data are obtained in reproduction toxicology studies, they should be presented in this table.
- (2) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).
- (3) The tissue sampled should be described (e.g., plasma for dams, fetal concentrations).

2.6.5.8 Pharmacokinetics: Other Distribution Study

Test Article:

2.6.5.9 Pharmacokinetics: Metabolism In Vivo					Test A	Article:			
Sex (M/F)/Nu Feeding cond Vehicle/Form Method of Ac Dose (mg/kg) Radionuclide Specific Activ	imber of animals: ition: iulation: iministration: : : vity:								
				% of C	ompound in S	ample	_	Locatio	n in CTD
<u>Species</u>	Sample	Sampling Time or Period	% of Dose <u>in Sample</u>	Parent_	<u>M1</u>	<u>M2</u>	Study <u>No.</u>	Vol	Page
	Plasma Urine Bile Feces								
	Plasma Urine Bile Feces								
	Plasma Urine Bile Feces								
Additional In	formation:								

Note: Human data should be included for comparison if available.

2.6.5.10 Pharmacokinetics: Metabolism In Vitro

Test Article:

Location in CTD: Vol. Page Study No.

Study system:

Time	 	 	
Concentration:			
Compounds			
Parent			
M-1			
M-2			

Additional Information:

Note: Human data should be included for comparison if available.

The Common Technical Document — Safety

2.6.5.11 Pharmacokinetics: Possible Metabolic Pathways Test Article:

(Illustrate possible metabolic map indicating species in which metabolic reactions occur.)

2.6.5.12 Pharmacokinetics: Induction/Inhibition of Drug-Metabolizing Enzymes

Test Article:

Location in CTD: Vol. Page Study No.

Note: Nonclinical studies only.

Type of study:

Method:

Tabulated results:

Additional Information:

2.6.5.13 Pharmacokinetics: Excretion	Test Article: (1)	
Species Sex (M/F)/Number of animals Feeding condition	(3)	
Vehicle/Formulation Mothed of Administration		
Dose (mg/kg)		
Analyte Assay		
Excretion route (4) Time	<u>Urine Feces Total Urine Feces Total Urine Feces Tota</u>	l <u>l Urine Feces</u> <u>Total</u>
0 - 1 hr		

Study number Location in CTD Additional Information: (2)

Notes: (1) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).

(2) For example, brief textual results, species differences, sex differences, dose dependency, or special comments.

(3) There should be one column for each study conducted. For comparison, representative information on humans at the maximum

recommended dose should be included. Can be combined with the Absorption Table if appropriate.

(4) Other routes (e.g., biliary, respiratory) should be added, if performed.

2.6.5.14 Pharmacokinetics: Excretion into Bile

Test Article:

[Data can be tabulated as in the format of 2.6.5.13 if applicable.]

2.6.5.15 Pharmacokinetics: Drug-Drug Interactions

Test Article:

Location in CTD: Vol. Page Study No.

Type of study:

Method:

Tabulated results:

Additional Information:

2.6.5.16 Pharmacokinetics: Other

Test Article: Location in CTD: Vol. Page Study No.

Type of study:

Method:

Tabulated results:

Additional Information:

The Common Technical Document — Safety

2.6.7.1 Toxicology	Overview			Test Article: (1)				
Type of Study	Species and <u>Strain</u>	Method of <u>Administration</u>	Duration <u>of Dosing</u>	Doses (mg/kg ^a)	GLP <u>Compliance</u>	Testing <u>Facility</u>	Study <u>Number</u>	Location <u>Vol. Page</u>
Single-Dose Toxicity	(2)							(3)
Repeat-Dose Toxicity								
Genotoxicity								
Carcinogenicity								
Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity								
Local Tolerance								
Other Toxicity Studies								

Notes:

(1) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).
(2) There should be one line for each toxicology report, in the same order as the CTD.
(3) The location of the Technical Report in the CTD should be indicated.

Unless otherwise specified. For Repeat-Dose Toxicity, the highest No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) is underlined. a -

2.6.7.2 Toxicokinetics		Overview of	Toxicokinetics Studies	Test Artic	Test Article: (1)		
<u>Type of Study</u>	Test <u>System</u>	Method of <u>Administration</u>	Doses (mg/kg)	GLP <u>Compliance</u>	Study <u>Number</u>	Loc <u>Vol.</u>	ation <u>Page</u>
(2)						(3)	

Notes: (1) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).

(2) There should be one line for each toxicokinetics report, in the same order as the CTD (Section 3, Toxicology).

(3) The location of the Technical Report in the CTD should be indicated.

2.6.7.3 Toxicokinetics

Overview of Toxicokinetics Data

Test Article: (1)

(2)

Notes: (1) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).

⁽²⁾ A one- to three-page summary (tables and/or figures) of steady state toxicokinetic data should be prepared in a format that facilitates comparisons across species, including humans.

2.6.7.4 Toxicology	Drug Substance	Test Article: (1)		
Batch No.	<u>Purity</u> (%)	<u>Specified Impurities ()</u>	Study <u>Number</u>	Type of Study
PROPOSED <u>SPECIFICATION:</u>				
(2)				(3)

Notes: (1) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).

(2) All batches used in the Toxicology studies should be listed in approximate chronological order.

(3) The Toxicology studies in which each batch was used should be identified.

2.6.7.5 Single-Dose Toxicity (1)

Test Article: (2)

	Method of			Observed			
	Administration		Sex	Maximum	Approximate		
Species/	(Vehicle/	Doses	and No.	Nonlethal Dose	Lethal		Study
<u>Strain</u>	Formulation)	<u>(mg/kg)</u>	<u>per Group</u>	<u>(mg/kg)</u>	Dose (mg/kg)	Noteworthy Findings	Number

Notes: (1) All single-dose toxicity studies should be summarized, in the same order as the CTD. Footnotes should be used to indicate special features, such as unusual duration, infusion rate, or age of test subjects.
 (2) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).
2.6.7.6 Rep	eat-Dose Toxicity			Nonpiv	<u>votal Studies</u> (1)	Test Article: (2)	
Species/ <u>Strain</u>	Method of Administration (Vehicle/ <u>Formulation)</u>	Duration <u>of Dosing</u>	Doses <u>(mg/kg)</u>	Sex and No. <u>per Group</u>	NOAELª (<u>mg/kg</u>)	<u>Noteworthy Findings</u>		Study <u>Number</u>

- Notes: (1) All repeat-dose toxicity studies (including all range-finding toxicity studies), other than the definitive GLP studies specified by ICH Guidance M3 Nonclinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical Trials for Pharmacaeuticals (November 1997), should be summarized in the same order as the CTD. Footnotes should be used to indicate special features, such as unusual age of test subjects.
 - (2) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).

a - No Observed Adverse Effect Level.

2.6.7.7 (1) Repeat-Dose Toxicity (2)	Report T	itle:					Test Artie	cle: (3)
Species/Strain: Initial Age: Date of First Dose:	D D N V	uration of uration of lethod of ehicle/For	f Dosing: f Postdose: Administratio rmulation:	n:		Stu Loc GL	dy No. cation in CTD P Compliance	: Vol. Page
Special Features: No Observed Adverse Effect Level:	·							
Daily Dose (mg/kg)	<u>0 (Contr</u>	<u>ol)</u>						
Number of Animals	<u>M:</u>	<u>F:</u>	<u>M:</u>	<u>F:</u>	<u>M:</u>	<u>F:</u>	<u>M:</u>	<u>F:</u>
Toxicokinetics: AUC () (4)	(5)							
<u>Noteworthy Findings</u> Died or Sacrificed Moribund								
Body Weight (% ^a)								
Food Consumption (% ^a)	(5)							
Water Consumption ()	(5)							
Onhthalmoscony								
Electrocardiography								

No noteworthy findings. * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01 + Mild ++ Moderate +++ Marked (6) -

* - p<0.05 (7)

a - At end of dosing period. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences).

(Continued)

2.6.7.7 (1) Repeat-Dose Toxicity			Study No	. (Continue	d)			
Daily Dose (mg/kg) Number of Animals	<u>0 (Cor</u> <u>M:</u>	<u>ntrol)</u> <u>F:</u>	<u>M:</u>	<u>F:</u>	<u>M:</u>	<u>F:</u>	<u>M:</u>	<u>F:</u>
Hematology								
Serum Chemistry								
Urinalysis								
Organ Weights ^a (%)								
Gross Pathology								
Histopathology								
Additional Examinations								
Postdose Evaluation: Number Evaluated (8) (9)								

- No noteworthy findings. * p<0.05 -
- (7) * p<0.05 ** p<0.01a Both absolute and relative weights differed from controls in the direction indicated. Number indicates percent difference for the absolute organ weights.

Notes for Table 2.6.7.7

- (1) The tables should be numbered consecutively (e.g., 2.6.7.7A, 2.6.7.7B, 2.6.7.7C).
- (2) There should be one table for each of the repeat-dose toxicity studies specified by ICH Guidance M3 Nonclinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical Trials for Pharmacaeuticals (November 1997), as well as any other repeat-dose toxicity studies that could be considered pivotal.
- (3) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).
- (4) Steady state AUC, Cmax, Css, or other toxicokinetic information supporting the study. If from a separate study, the study number should be given in a footnote.
- (5) ONLY NOTEWORTHY FINDINGS SHOULD BE PRESENTED. If additional parameters (other than those in the template) showed noteworthy changes, these should be added to the tables. In general, data at end of dosing period can be shown; however, if there were additional noteworthy findings at earlier timepoints, these should be included. Footnotes should be used as needed to provide additional information about the tests or the results.
- (6) Or other scale, as appropriate.
- (7) Methods of statistical analyses should be indicated.
- (8) All parameters that still show drug-related changes should be listed. This section should be deleted if the study does not include a postdose evaluation.
- (9) When appropriate, information on animals that were necropsied early should be presented separately.

2.6.7.8 <i>(1)</i> Genotoxicity: In Vitro	Report Title:		Test Article: (2)
Test for Induction of: Strains: Metabolizing System: Vehicles: For Test Article: Treatment: Cytotoxic Effects: Genotoxic Effects:	For Positive (No. of Independent Assays: No. of Replicate Cultures: No. of Cells Analyzed/Culture: Controls:	Study No. Location in CTD: Vol. Page GLP Compliance: Date of Treatment:
MetabolicTestActivationArticleWithoutActivation	Concentration or Dose Level ((3))		
	(4)		
With Activation			
 Notes: (1) The tables should be numpages. (2) International Nonpropriet (3) Units should be inserted. (4) If precipitation is observed (5) Methods of statistical and 	nbered consecutively (e.g. etary Name (INN). ed, this should be indicate alyses should be indicated	.,2.6.7.8A, 2.6.7.8B). Results of replicate ed in a footnote. d.	assays should be shown on subsequent
(5) * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01			

The Common Technical Document — Safety

<u>(mg/kg)</u>

Test Article

2.6.7.9 (1) Genotoxicity: In Vivo	Report Title:	Test Article: (2)
Test for Induction of:	Treatment Schedule:	Study No.
Species/Strain:	Sampling Time:	Location in CTD: Vol. Page
Age:	Method of Administration:	
Cells Evaluated:	Vehicle/Formulation:	GLP Compliance:
No. of Cells Analyzed/Animal:		Date of Dosing:
Special Features:		
Toxic/Cytotoxic Effects:		
Genotoxic Effects:		
Evidence of Exposure:		
Dose	No. of	

Notes: (1) The tables should be numbered consecutively (e.g., 2.6.7.9A, 2.6.7.9B).

<u>Animals</u>

- (2) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).
- (3) Methods of statistical analysis should be indicated.

(3) * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01).

The Common Technical Document — Safety

2.6.7.10 (1) Carcinogenicity	Repor	t Title:					Test Artic	cle: (2)
Species/Strain: Initial Age: Date of First Dose: Basis for High-Dose Selection: (3) Special Features:		Duration o Method of Vehicle/Fo Treatment	f Dosing: Administ rmulation of Contro	ration: :: bls:			Study Locat GLP	No. ion in CTD: Vol. Page Compliance:
Daily Dose (mg/kg) Sex Toxicokinetics: AUC () <i>(4)</i> Number of Animals At Start	<u>0</u> <u>M</u>	<u>(Control)</u> <u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>F</u>
Died/Sacrificed Moribund Terminal Sacrifice Survival (%) Body Weight (% ^a) Food Consumption (% ^a)	(5)							

(6) * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

At 6 months. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences). (Continued)

Daily Dose (mg/kg) (Control) 0 (Control) Number Evaluated M: F: M: F: M: F: Number of Animals with Neoplastic Lesions: (7) Noteworthy Findings: Gross Pathology Histopathology - Non-Neoplastic Lesions	2.6.7.10 <i>(1)</i> Carcinogenicity		Study No. (Continued)								
Number Evaluated M: F: M:<	Daily Dose (mg/kg)	<u>(C</u>	<u>Control)</u>	<u> 0 (0</u>	Control)						
	Number Evaluated <u>Number of Animals</u> <u>with Neoplastic Lesions:</u> (7) <u>Noteworthy Findings:</u> Gross Pathology Histopathology - Non-Neoplastic Lesions	<u>M:</u>	<u>F:</u>	<u>M:</u>	<u>F:</u>	<u>M:</u>	<u>F:</u>	<u>M:</u>	<u>F:</u>	<u>M:</u>	<u>F:</u>

- No noteworthy findings. * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

Notes for Table 2.6.7.10

- (1) Tables should be numbered consecutively (e.g., 2.6.7.10A, 2.6.7.10B). There should be one table for each carcinogenicity study.
- (2) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).
- (3) From ICH Guidance S1C Dose Selection for Carcinogenicity Studies of Pharmaceuticals (March 1995).
- (4) Steady state AUC, Cmax, Css, or other toxicokinetic information supporting the study. If the information is from a separate study, the Study Number should be given in a footnote.
- (5) If additional parameters showed drug-related changes, these should be added to the tables. Footnotes should be used as needed to provide additional information about the tests or the results.
- (6) Methods of statistical analysis should be indicated.
- (7) Drug-related lesions should be listed first. Then other lesions should be listed by alphabetically ordered organs and/or tissues.

2.6.7.11 Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity				<u>Nonpivotal Studies</u> (1	1)	Test Article: (2)	
Species/ <u>Strain</u>	Method of Administration (Vehicle/ <u>Formulation</u>)	Dosing <u>Period</u>	Doses <u>mg/kg</u>	No. per Group	<u>Noteworthy Findings</u>		Study <u>Number</u>

 Notes: (1) All reproduction toxicity studies (including all relevant range-finding studies), other than the definitive GLP studies specified by M3 Nonclinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical Trials for Pharmaceuticals, November 1997, should be summarized in the same order as the CTD. However, investigative studies should be summarized using a more detailed template.
 (2) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).

2.6.7.12 (1) Reproductive and Developmental Tox Fertility and Early Embryonic	icity - Report Title:	Test Article: (2)
Development to Implantation (3) Design similar to ICH 4.1.1? Species/Strain: Day of Mating: (8)F: Initial Age	Duration of Dosing: M: Location in CTD: Vol. Page Day of C-Section:	Study No.
Date of First Dose: Special Features: No Observed Adverse Effect Level: F ₀ Males: F ₀ Females: F ₁ Litters:	Method of Administration: Vehicle/Formulation:	GLP Compliance:
Daily Dose (mg/kg)	<u>0 (Control)</u>	
<u>Males</u> Toxicokinetics: AUC () (4)		
No. Evaluated No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund Clinical Observations Necropsy Observations Body Weight (% ^a) Food Consumption (% ^a) Mean No. Days Prior to Mating No. of Males that Mated No. of Fertile Males	(5)	
-No noteworthy findings. + Mild ++Mode (7) *- p<0.05 ** - p<0.01	rate +++Marked (6)	

a - After 4 weeks of dosing. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown.
 Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences). (Continued)

2.6.7.12 (1) Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

Daily Dose (mg/kg)

<u>0 (Control)</u>

<u>Females</u> Toxicokinetics: AUC () (4)

No. Evaluated No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund **Clinical Observations** Necropsy Observations Premating Body Weight (%^a) Gestation Body Weight (%^a) Premating Food Consumption (%^a) Gestation Food Consumption (%^a) Mean No. Estrous Cycles/14 days Mean No. Days Prior to Mating No. of Females Sperm Positive No. of Pregnant Females No. Aborted or with Total Resorption of Litter Mean No. Corpora Lutea Mean No. Implantations Mean % Preimplantation Loss Mean No. Live Conceptuses Mean No. Resorptions No. Dead Conceptuses Mean % Postimplantation Loss

-No noteworthy findings. + Mild ++Moderate +++Marked (6) (7)* - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

a - At end of premating or gestation period. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences).

Study No. (Continued)

Notes for Tables 2.6.7.12, 2.6.7.13, and 2.6.7.14

- (1) If there are multiple studies of this type, the tables should be numbered consecutively (e.g., 2.6.7.12A, 2.6.7.12B, 2.6.7.13A, 2.6.7.13B).
- (2) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).
- (3) If a modified study design is used, tables should be modified accordingly.
- (4) Steady state AUC, Cmax, or other toxicokinetic information supporting the study. If the information is from a separate study, the study number should be given in a footnote.
- (5) POSSIBLE PRESENTATIONS OF THE RESULTS ARE SHOWN IN THESE TEMPLATES. DATA PRESENTATION SHOULD BE FLEXIBLE AND APPROPRIATE ACCORDING TO OPTIMAL STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND THE DESIGN OF THE STUDY. If additional parameters showed drug-related changes, these should be added to the tables. Footnotes should be used as needed to provide additional information about the tests or the results.
- (6) Or other scale as appropriate.
- (7) Methods of statistical analysis should be indicated.
- (8) Day of mating should be indicated (e.g., Day 0 or Day 1).

2.6.7.13 <i>(1)</i> Re Effect	productive and Developmental Toxicit s on Embryofetal	y -	Report Title:	Test Article: (2)
Design similar	to ICH 4.1.3?	Duration of I Day of Matin	Dosing: g: <i>(8)</i>	Study No.
Species/Strain:		Day of C-Sec	tion:	Location in CTD: Vol. Page
Initial Age:		Method of A	dministration:	
Date of First D	ose:	Vehicle/Form	ulation:	GLP Compliance:
Special Featur	es:			
No Observed A	Adverse Effect Level:			
F ₀ Female	S:			
r ₁ Litters.				
Daily Dose (m	ng/kg)	2	<u>0 (Control)</u>	
Dams/Does:	Toxicokinetics: AUC () (4)			
	No. Pregnant			
	No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund		(5)	
	No. Aborted or with Total Resorption of	Litter		
	Clinical Observations			
	Necropsy Observations			
	Body Weight ($\%^a$)			
	Food Consumption (%")			
	Mean No. Corpora Lutea			
	Mean No. Implantations			
	wean 70 Flemplantation Loss			

- No noteworthy findings. + Mild ++Moderate (7) * - p < 0.05 ** - p < 0.01

a- At end of dosing period. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences). (Continued)

+++Marked (6)

G = Gestation day

2.6.7.13 (1) Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

Daily Dose (mg/kg)

Litters: No. Litters Evaluated No. Live Fetuses Mean No. Resorptions No. of Litters with Dead Fetuses Mean % Postimplantation Loss Mean Fetal Body Weight (g) Fetal Sex Ratios Fetal Anomalies: Gross External Visceral Anomalies Skeletal Anomalies Total Affected Fetuses (Litters) Study No. (Continued)

<u>0 (Control)</u>

- No noteworthy findings.

* - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

-

2.6.7.14 <i>(1)</i> Reproductive and Developmental Tox Effects on Pre- and Postnatal Development Including Maternal Function	cicity - Report Title:	Test Article: (2)
Development, including Water har Functi Design similar to ICH 4.1.2?	Duration of Dosing: Day of Mating: (8)	Study No.
Species/Strain: Initial Age	Method of Administration: Vehicle/Formulation:	Location in CTD: Vol. Page
Date of First Dose: Special Features: No Observed Adverse Effect Level: F ₀ Females: F ₁ Males: F ₁ Females:	Litters Culled/Not Culled:	GLP Compliance:
Daily Dose (mg/kg)	<u>0 (Control)</u>	
<u>F_0 Females</u> : Toxicokinetics: AUC () (4)		
No. Pregnant No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund No. Aborted or with Total Res. of Lit Clinical Observations Necropsy Observations Gestation Body Weight (% ^a) Lactation Body Weight (% ^a) Gestation Food Consumption (% ^a) Lactation Food Consumption (% ^a) Mean Duration of Gestation (days) Abnormal Parturition	ter (5)	
No noteworthy findings. + Mild ++Moder (7) * $- p < 0.05$ ** $- p < 0.01$)	rate $+++$ Marked (6) G = Gestation day	L = Lactation day

a -At end of gestation or lactation. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences). (Continued)

2.6.7.14 (1) Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

Daily Dose (mg/kg)

<u>0 (Control)</u>

<u>F₁ Litters:</u>	No. Litters Evaluated	
(Preweaning)	Mean No. of Implantations	
	Mean No. Pups/Litter	
	Mean No. Liveborn Pups/Litter	
	No. of Litters with Stillborn Pups	
	Postnatal Survival to Day 4	
	Postnatal Survival to Weaning	
	No. of Total Litter Losses	
	Change in Pup Body Weights ^a (g)	
	Pup Sex Ratios	
	Pup Clinical Signs	
	Pup Necropsy Observations	
	No. Evaluated Postweaning	
F ₁ Males:	Per Litter	
(Postweaning)	No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund	
	Clinical Observations	
	Necropsy Observations	
	Body Weight Change ^b (g)	
	Food Consumption (% ^c)	
	Preputial Separation	
	Sensory Function	
	Motor Activity	
	Learning and Memory	
	Mean No. Days Prior to Mating	
	No. of Males that Mated	
	No. of Fertile Males	
No noteworthy fin $(7)^* - p < 0.05$ a - From birth	dings. + Mild ++Moderate ** - p<0.01 to weaning.	++++Marked (6)

b - From weaning to mating.

c - At end of postweaning period. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences).

Study No. (Continued)

2.6.7.14 (1) Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

Daily Dose (mg/kg)

<u>0 (Control)</u>

<u>F₁ Females:</u>	No. Evaluated Postweaning					
(Postweaning)	No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund					
	Clinical Observations					
	Necropsy Observations					
	Premating Body Weight Change ^a (g)					
	Gestation Body Weight Change (g)					
	Premating Food Consumption (% ^b)					
	Gestation Food Consumption (% ^b)					
	Mean Age of Vaginal Patency (days)					
	Sensory Function					
	Motor Activity					
	Learning and Memory					
	Mean No. Days Prior to Mating					
	No. of Females Sperm-Positive					
	No. of Pregnant Females					
	Mean No. Corpora Lutea					
	Mean No. Implantations					
	Mean % Preimplantation Loss					
<u>F₂ Litters:</u>	Mean No. Live Conceptuses/Litter					
	Mean No. Resorptions					
	No. of Litter with Dead Conceptuses					
	No. Dead Conceptuses					
	Mean % Postimplantation Loss					
	Fetal Body Weights (g)					
	Fetal Sex Ratios (% males)					
	Fetal Anomalies					
No noteworthy fin	dings. + Mild ++Moderate ++++Marked (6)					

 $^{(7)^*}$ - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

-

b - At end of premating or gestation period. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences).

a - From weaning to mating

2.6.7.14 (1) Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

Study No. (Continued)

Daily Dose (mg/kg)

0 (Control)

<u>F₁ Females:</u>	No. Evaluated Postweaning						
(Postweaning)	No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund						
	Clinical Observations						
	Necropsy Observations						
	Premating Body Weight Change ^a (g)						
	Gestation Body Weight Change (g)						
	Premating Food Consumption (% ^b)						
	Gestation Food Consumption (% ^{ab})						
	Mean Age of Vaginal Patency (days)						
	Sensory Function	Note: Alternate					
	Motor Activity	Format for					
	Learning and Memory	Natural					
	Mean No. Days Prior to Mating	Parturition.					
	No. of Females Sperm Positive						
	No. of Pregnant Females						
	Mean Duration of Gestation						
	Abnormal Parturition						
F ₂ Litters:	No. Litters Evaluated						
	Mean No. of Implantations						
	Mean No. Pups/Litter						
	Mean No. Liveborn Pups/Litter						
	Mean No. Stillborn Pups/Litter						
	Postnatal Survival to Day 4						
	Postnatal Survival to Weaning						
	Change in Pup Body Weights ^a (g)						
	Pup Sex Ratios						
	Pup Clinical Signs						
	Pup Necropsy Observations						
No noteworthy fin (7)* $- p < 0.05$	dings. + Mild ++Moderate ** - p<0.01	+++Marked (6)					

a -

From birth to mating. At end of premating or gestation period. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences). b -

The Common Technical Document — Safety

2.6.7.16 Local Tolerance (1) Test Article: (2)

Species/Method ofDosesSex andStudyStrainAdministration(mg/kg)No. per GroupNoteworthy FindingsNumber

Notes: (1) All local tolerance studies should be summarized. (2) International Nonproprietary Name (INN).

2.6.7.17 Other	• Toxicity	Studies (1)
	IOAIcity	Studies (1	/

Test Article: (2)

Species/	Method of	Duration	Doses	Sex and		Study
<u>Strain</u>	<u>Administration</u>	<u>of Dosing</u>	<u>(mg/kg)</u>	<u>No. per Group</u>	Noteworthy Findings	Number

Notes: (1) All supplementary toxicity studies should be summarized. (2) International Nonproprietary Name (INN)

APPENDIX C: THE NONCLINICAL TABULALTED SUMMARIES - EXAMPLES

(The following examples correspond to the templates in Appendix B; examples are not provided for the templates Studies in Juvenile Animals or Local Tolerance)

EXAMPLE

2.6.3.1	Pharmacology
---------	--------------

Overview

Test Article: Curitol Sodium

Type of Study	Test <u>System</u>	Method of <u>Administration</u>	Testing Facility	Study <u>Number</u>	Loca <u>Vol.</u>	ation <u>Page</u>
Primary Pharmacodynamics						
Antiviral activity vs. VZV	Human embryonic lung	In vitro	Sponsor Inc.	95401	1	1
Antiviral activity vs. VZV	fibroblasts	In vitro	Sponsor Inc.	95402	1	20
Antiviral activity vs. HSV	Clinical isolates	In vitro	Sponsor Inc.	95406	1	30
Antiviral activity vs. CMV	Human embryonic lung	In vitro	Sponsor Inc.	95408	1	45
Antiviral activity vs. VZV	fibroblasts	Gavage	Sponsor Inc.	95411	1	55
Antiviral activity vs. SVV	Human embryonic lung fibroblasts ICR mice African Green monkeys	Nasogastric Intubation	Sponsor Inc.	95420	1	100
Secondary Pharmacodynamics						
Antimicrobial activity	Gram positive and gram negative bacteria; yeasts	In vitro	Sponsor Inc.	95602	1	200
Safety Pharmacology						
Effects on central nervous system ^a	Mice rats rabbits and cats	Gavage	Sponsor Inc.	95703	2	1
Effects on cardiovascular system	Dogs	Gavage, i.v.	Sponsor Inc.	95706	2	75
Pharmacodynamic Drug Interactions						
Interactions with anti-HIV activity of AZT	Human T lymphocytes	In vitro	Sponsor Inc.	95425	2	200

a - Report contains a GLP Compliance Statement.

2.6.3.4 Safety Pharmacology

Test Article: Curitol Sodium

Organ Systems <u>Evaluated</u>	Species/ <u>Strain</u>	Method of <u>Admin.</u>	Doses ^a (mg/kg)	Sex and No. <u>per Group</u>	Noteworthy Findings	GLP <u>Compliance</u>	Study <u>Number</u>
CNS	CD-1 Mice	Gavage	0, 10, 50, 250	10M	Slight prolongation of hexobarbital anesthesia (≥10 mg/kg). No analgesic, anticonvulsive, or cataleptic properties. No effects on coordination, traction, or spontaneous motility.	Yes	92201
Renal, GI, CNS, and Hemostasis	CD-1 Mice	Gavage	0, 10, 50, 250	6M	Slight increases in urinary excretion of sodium and potassium (≥50 mg/kg). No effects on GI transit time (charcoal meal), pupillary diameter, blood coagulation time, or urine volume.	No	92205
Cardiovascular	Mongrel Dogs	Intravenous	0, 3, 10, 30	3M	Dose-related transient decreases in blood pressure and increases in heart rate and respiratory rate (all doses). Minor ECG changes at 30 mg/kg. No effects on cardiac output, stroke volume, or total peripheral resistance.	Yes	92210

a - Single dose unless specified otherwise.

The Common Technical Document — Safety

EXAMPLE	EXAMPLE		Test Article: Curital Sodium			
2.0.5.1 Fharmacokineucs	Overview	10	est Article: (oonum	
Type of StudyTestSystem	Method of <u>Administration</u>	Testing <u>Facility</u>	Study <u>Number</u>	Loc <u>Vol.</u>	ation <u>Page</u>	
Absorption						
Absorption and excretion Rats	Gavage, i.v.	Sponsor Inc.	93302	1	1	
Absorption and excretion Dogs	Gavage, i.v.	Sponsor Inc.	93304	1	25	
Absorption and excretion Monkeys	Gavage, i.v.	Sponsor Inc.	93306	1	50	
Distribution						
Single-dose tissue distribution Rats	Gavage	Sponsor Inc.	93307	1	100	
Repeat-dose tissue distribution Rats	Gavage	Sponsor Inc.	93308	1	125	
Plasma protein binding Mice, rats, dogs,	In vitro	Sponsor Inc.	93311	1	150	
Plasma protein binding monkeys, Humans, rats, dogs	Tablets/Gavage/ Capsules	Sponsor Inc.	93312	1	200	
Metabolism						
Metabolites in blood, urine, and feces Rats	Gavage	Sponsor Inc.	93402	1	250	
Metabolites in blood, urine, and feces Dogs	Gavage	Sponsor Inc.	93407	1	300	
Excretion						
Absorption and excretion Rats	Gavage, i.v.	Sponsor Inc.	93302	1	1	
Absorption and excretion Dogs	Gavage, i.v.	Sponsor Inc.	93304	1	25	
Absorption and excretion Monkeys	Gavage, i.v.	Sponsor Inc.	93306	1	50	
Pharmacokinetic Drug Interactions						
Interaction with AZT ^a Rats	Gavage	Sponsor Inc.	94051	1	350	

a - Report contains a GLP Compliance Statement.

	EXAMPLE				
2.6.5.3 Pharmacokinetics: Absorption After a Single Dose		Test Article: Cu	ritol Sodium		
			Location in CT	D Volume 1, Page	258
			Study number 9	95104	
Species	Mouse	Rat	Dog	Monkey	Human
Sex (M/F)/Number of animals	4M	<u>3M</u>	4F	2M	6M
Feeding condition	Fed	Fasted	Fasted	Fed	Fasted
Vehicle/Formulation	Suspension	Suspension	Capsule	Suspension	Tablet
	10% acacia	10% acacia	_	10% acacia	
Method of Administration	Gavage	Gavage	Capsule	Gavage	Oral
Dose (mg/kg)	15	8	5	5	4 mg
Sample (e.g., whole blood, plasma, serum)	Plasma	Plasma	Plasma	Plasma	Plasma
Analyte	TRA ^a	MM-180801	MM-180801	MM-180801	MM-180801
Assay	LSC	HPLC	HPLC	HPLC	HPLC
PK parameters:					
Tmax (hr)	4.0	1.0	3.3	1.0	6.8
Cmax (ng/ml or ng-eq/ml)	2,260	609	172	72	8.2
AUC (ng or ng-eq x hr/ml)	15,201	2,579	1,923	582	135
(Time for calculation – hr)	(0-72)	(0-24)	(0.5-48)	(0-12)	(0-24)
T 1/2 (hr)	10.6	3.3	9.2	3.2	30.9
(Time for calculation – hr)	(7-48)	(1-24)	(24-96)	(1-12)	(24-120)

Additional Information:

A single oral dose was well absorbed in mice, rats, dogs, and monkeys.

In a study examining the concentration of compound in the portal vein and inferior vena cava, 30 minutes after a dose to rats, the concentration of compound was approximately 15-fold higher in the portal circulation compared to systemic circulation. This result indicated extensive metabolism and/or biliary secretion of compound in the rat.

a - Total radioactivity, ¹⁴C

Format A

2.6.5.5 Pharmacokinetics: Organ Distribution

Species: Rat

Species: Tatt Sex (M/F)/Number of animals: 3M/each time point Feeding condition: Fasted Vehicle/Formulation: Solution/Water Method of Administration: Oral Gavage Dose (mg/kg): 10 Radionuclide: ¹⁴C Specific Activity: 2x10⁵ Bq/mg Sampling time: 0.25, 0.5, 2, 6, 24, 96, and 192 hr Test Article: Curitol Sodium Location in CTD: Vol.21 Page 1 Study No. 95207

	Concentration (mcg/mL)					
Tissues/organs	0.25	0.5	2	6	24	t _{1/2}
Blood	9.2	3.7	1.8	0.9	0.1	
Plasma	16.5	7.1	3.2	1.6	0.2	
Brain	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	nd	
Lung	9.6	14.1	7.3	2.9	0.1	
Liver	73.0	54.5	19.9	12.4	3.2	
Kidney	9.6	13.2	4.9	3.8	0.6	
Testis	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.1	
Muscle	1.0	1.2	0.8	0.3	nd	

Additional information:

Tissues and organs such as the heart, thymus, adrenal, spleen, stomach, intestine....are examined but not shown.

nd = Not detected.

Alternate Format B

2.6.5.5 Pharmacokinetics: Organ Distribution

Species: Rat

Species Fau Sex (M/F) / Number of animals: 3M/each time point Feeding condition: Fed Vehicle/Formulation: Solution/Saline Method of Administration: Intravenous Dose (mg/kg): 1 Radionuclide: Nonlabeled compound Specific Activity: -Analyte/Assay: Unchanged compound (mcg/mL)/HPLC Sampling time: 10 min, 1, 4, 8, 24, 48, 96, and 168 hr **Test Article:** Curitol Sodium **Location in CTD:** Vol. 21 Page 1 **Study No.** 95207

		C _{1hr}		Last time point			
Tissues/organs	conc.	T/P ¹⁾	conc.	T/P ¹⁾	Time	AUC	t _{1/2}
Heart	1.4	0.08	0.44	22	48	57.3	37.3
Liver	4.5	6	1.85	92.5	48	290	51.7
Kidney	2.8	0.20	1.07	53.5	48	126	36.3
Spleen	6.5	8.6	3.5	175	48	410	46.9

Additional information:

¹⁾ [Tissue]/[Plasma]

2.6.5.6 Pharmacokinetics: Plasma Protein Binding

Test Article: Curitol Sodium

Study system: In vitro

Target entity, Test system and method: Plasma, Ultrafiltration

	<i>,</i>		Study	Location in CTD		
<u>Species</u>	Conc. tested	<u>% Bound</u>	<u>No.</u>	Vol.	Page	
Rat	1 - 100uM	82.1 - 85.4	95301	21	150	
Dog	1 - 100uM	83.5 - 88.2	95301	21	150	
Human	1 - 100uM	75.2 - 79.4	96-103-03	45	1	

Additional Information:

		EXAMPLE							
2.6.5.7 Pharmacokinetics: Study in	Pregnant or	· Nursing Animals		Test Article: Cu Location in CT	uritol Sodium D: Vol. 22 Page 1				
Placental transfer				Study No. 95702					
Species: Rat				,					
Gestation day/Number of animals:	14 and 19 da	ays gestation/3 animal	s at each	time point					
Vehicle/Formulation: Solution/Wat	er								
Method of Administration: Oral ga	lvage								
Dose (mg/kg): 5	e								
Analyte: Total radioactivity, ¹⁴ C									
Assay: LSC									
Time (hr.)		<u>14 days/30 m</u>	nin. <u>1</u> 4	days/24 hr.	<u>19 days/30 min.</u>	<u>19 days/24 hr.</u>			
Concentration/Amount (% of dose)									
Maternal plasma		12.4	0.	32	13.9	0.32			
Placenta		3.8	0.	14	3.3	0.32			
Amniotic fluid		0.07	0.0)4	0.04	0.13			
Whole fetus	0.54	0.	03	0.39	0.10				
Additional Information:									
Maternal blood, liver, kidney, ovary,	uterus were a	also examined but not	shown.						
Loca	ation in CTE	: Vol. 22 Page 102							
Excretion into milk Study No. 93	5703								
Species: Rat									
Lactating date/Number of animals:	day 7/3								
Feeding condition: Fed									
venicie/Formulation: Solution/wat	ler								
Method of Administration: Oral ga	ivage								
Dose (mg/kg): 5									
Analyte: Total radioactivity, ¹⁴ C									
Assay: LSC		•		<i>.</i>	0				
Time [hr]	1	2	4	6	8	24			
Concentration:	0.6		1.0		1.0				
Milk:	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.3	0.4			
Plasma:	1.5	1.4	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.1			
Milk/plasma:	0.40	0.57	0.83	1.4	2.2	4.0			
Neonates									
Additional Information:									

2.6.5.9 Pharmacokinetics: Metabolism In Vivo

Test Article: Curitol Sodium

Sex (M/F)/Number of animals:	Rats: 4M	Dogs: 3F	Humans: 8M
Feeding condition: Fed		-	
Vehicle/Formulation:	Rats: Solution/water	Dogs: Capsules	Humans: 75 mg tablets
Method of Administration:	Rats: Gavage*	Dogs: Oral Capsule*	Humans: Oral Tablet
Dose (mg/kg):	Rats: 5 mg/kg	Dogs: 5 mg/kg	Humans: 75 mg
Radionuclide: ¹⁴ C			
Specific Activity: 2 x 10 ⁵ Bq/mg			

				% of Compound in Sample				Locatio	n in CTD
<u>Species</u>	<u>Sample</u>	Sampling Time <u>or Period</u>	% of Dose in <u>Sample</u>	Parent	<u>M1</u>	<u>M2</u>	Study <u>Number</u>	<u>Vol.</u>	Page
Rats	Plasma Urine Bile Feces	0.5 hr 0-24 hr 0-4 hr	2.1 28.0	87.2 0.6 15.5	6.1 n.d. 7.2	3.4 0.2 5.1	95076	26	101
Dogs	Plasma Urine Bile Feces	0.5 hr 0-24 hr 0-4 hr	6.6 32.0	92.8 6.4 28.5	n.d. n.d. 2.8	7.2 n.d. n.d.	95082	26	301
Humans	Plasma Urine Bile Feces	1 hr 0-24 hr -	- 5.5 -	87.5 2.4 -	trace 2.9 -	12.5 n.d. -	CD-102	42	1

Additional Information

* - Intraduodenal administration for collection of bile. n.d. - None detected.

The Common Technical Document — Safety

EXAMPLE

2.6.5.13 Pharmacokinetics: Excretion			Test A	rticle: C	uritol So	dium					
Species	Rat			Rat			Dog			Dog	
Sex (M/F)/Number of animals	$\overline{4M}$			$\overline{4M}$			3M			3M	
Feeding condition	Faster	1		Fasted			Fasted	l		Fasted	l
Vehicle/Formulation	Soluti	on		Solution	1		Capsu	le		Soluti	on
	Water			Saline			1			Saline	
Method of Administration	Oral			Intravenous		Oral			Intravenous		
Dose (mg/kg)	10 TRA ^a			5			10 TRA ^a		5 TRA ^a		
Analyte				TRA ^a							
Assav	LSC			LSC			LSC			LSC	
Excretion route	Urine	Feces	Total	Urine	Feces	Total	Urine	Feces	Total	Urine	Feces
Time		1000	<u> </u>	<u></u>	1 0000	<u></u>	<u></u>			<u></u>	
0 - 24 hr	26	57	83	22	63	85	20	29	49	23	42
0 - 48 hr	30	65	95	27	69	96	25	65	90	28	78
0 - 72 hr	31	65	97	28	70	98	26	73	99	20	72
0 - 96 hr	31	67	98	29	70	99	26	74	100	29	73
Study number			95102						95156		
Location in CTD		Volun	ne 20, Pa	nge 75				Volur	ne 20, Pa	age 150	

Total

Additional Information:

a - Total radioactivity; percent recovery, $^{14}\mathrm{C}$

2.6.5.14 Pharmacokinetics: Excretion into Bile

Test Article: Curitol Sodium

Species	<u>Rat</u>			Rat		
Sex (M/F) / Number of animals	4M			4M		
Feeding condition	Faste	d		Fasted		
Vehicle/Formulation	Solut	ion		Solution	l	
	Wate	r		Saline		
Method of Administration	Oral			Intraven	ous	
Dose (mg/kg)	10 5			5		
Analyte	TRA ^a TRA ^a					
Assay	LSC			LSC		
Excretion route	Bile	<u>Urine</u>	<u>Total</u>	Bile	<u>Urine</u>	<u>Total</u>
Time						
0 - 2 hr	37	-	37	75	-	75
0 - 4 hr	50	-	50	82	-	82
0 - 8 hr	62	-	62	86	-	86
0 - 24 hr	79	9	86	87	11	98
0 - 48 hr	83	10	93	88	11	99

Study number 95106 Location in CTD Volume 20, Page 150

a - Total radioactivity; percent recovery, ¹⁴C

The Common Technical Document — Safety

			EXAMPI	LE					
2.6.7.1 Toxicology			<u>Over</u>	view Test Article:	Curitol Sodium				
Type of Study	Species and <u>Strain</u>	Method of <u>Administration</u>	Duration of Dosing	Doses (mg/kg ^a)	GLP <u>Compliance</u>	Testing Facility	Study <u>Number</u>	Loc <u>Vol.</u>	ation <u>Page</u>
Single-Dose Toxicity	CD-1 Mice	Gavage Intravenous	-	0, 1000, <u>2000</u> , 5000 0, <u>100</u> , 250, 500	Yes Yes	Sponsor Inc. CRO Co.	96046 96047	1 1	1 100
	Wistar Rats	Gavage Intravenous	-	0, <u>1000</u> , 2000, 5000 0, 100, <u>250</u> , 500	Yes Yes	Sponsor Inc. CRO Co.	96050 96051	1 1	200 300
Repeat- Dose	CD-1 Mice	Diet	3 Months	0, 62.5, <u>250</u> , 1000, 4000, 7000	Yes	CRO Co.	94018	2	1
ιοχιειτγ	Wistar Rats	Diet Gavage Gavage Gavage	2 Weeks 2 Weeks 3 Months 6 Months	0, <u>1000</u> , 2000, 4000 0, <u>500</u> , 1000, 2000 0, <u>200</u> , 600, 1800 0, 100, <u>300</u> , 900	No No Yes Yes	Sponsor Inc. Sponsor Inc. Sponsor Inc. Sponsor Inc.	94019 94007 94214 95001	3 3 4 5	1 200 1 1
	Beagle Dogs	Capsules Capsules	1 Month 9 Months	0, 10, <u>40</u> , 100 0, <u>5</u> , 20, 50	Yes Yes	Sponsor Inc. Sponsor Inc.	94020 96041	6 7	1 1
	Cynomolgus Monkeys	Gavage	5 Days	0, <u>500</u> , 1000	No	CRO Co.	94008	8	1
Genotoxicity	S. typhimurium and E. coli	In Vitro	-	0, 500, 1000, 2500, and/or	Yes	Sponsor Inc.	96718	9	1
	Human Lymphocytes	In Vitro	-	5000 mcg/plate 0, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, and 40 mcg/ml	Yes	CRO Co.	97634	9	100
	Wistar Rats	Gavage	3 Days	0, 1000, 2000	Yes	Sponsor Inc.	96037	9	200

a - Unless otherwise specified. For Single-Dose Toxicity and Repeat-Dose Toxicity, the highest No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) is underlined.

(Continued)

2.6.7.1 Toxicology

Test Article: Curitol Sodium **Overview** (Continued)

Type of Study	Species and <u>Strain</u>	Method of <u>Administration</u>	Duration <u>of Dosing</u>	Doses (mg/kg)	GLP <u>Compliance</u>	Testing <u>Facility</u>	Study <u>Number</u>	Loc <u>Vol.</u>	ation <u>Page</u>
Carcinogenicity	CD-1 Mice	Diet	21 Months	0, 0, 25, 100, 400	Yes	CRO Co.	95012	10	1
	Wistar Rats	Gavage	24 Months	0, 0, 25, 100, 400	Yes	Sponsor Inc.	95013	12	1
Reproduction	Wistar Rats	Gavage	a	0, 5, 30, 180	Yes	CRO Co.	96208	14	1
Toxicity	Wistar Rats	Gavage	F: G6 - G15 ^b	0, 10, 100, 1000	Yes	Sponsor Inc.	94211	15	1
	NZW Rabbits	Gavage	F: G6 - G18 ^b	0, 1, 5, 25	Yes	CRO Co.	97028	16	1
	Wistar Rats	Gavage	F: G6 - L21 ^b	0, 7.5, 75, 750	Yes	Sponsor Inc.	95201	17	1
Local Tolerance	NZW Rabbits	Dermal	1 Hour	0, 15 mg	No	Sponsor Inc.	95015	18	1
Other									
Toxicity Studies									
Antigenicity	Guinea Pigs	Subcutaneous	Weekly for 3 weeks	0, 5 mg	No	CRO Co.	97012	18	20
Impurities	Wistar Rats	Gavage	2 Weeks	0, 1000, 2000	Yes	Sponsor Inc.	97025	18	200

 \overline{a} - Males: 4 weeks prior to mating. Females - 2 weeks prior to mating through Gestation Day 7.b - G = Gestation DayL = Lactation Day

2.6.7.2 Toxicokinetics

Overview of Toxicokinetics Studies

Test Article: Curitol Sodium

	Test	Method of		GLP	Study	Loc	ation
Type of Study	<u>System</u>	<u>Administration</u>	Doses (mg/kg)	<u>Compliance</u>	Number	<u>Vol.</u>	<u>Page</u>
Three-month range-finding study	Mice	Diet	62.5, 250, 1000, 4000, 7000	Yes	94018	2	1
Two-week toxicity study	Rats	Gavage	500, 1000, 2000	No	94007	3	200
Six-month toxicity study	Rats	Gavage	100, 300, 900	Yes	95001	5	1
One-month toxicity study	Dogs	Capsules	10, 40, 100	Yes	94020	6	1
Nine-month toxicity study	Dogs	Capsules	5, 20, 50	Yes	96041	7	1
Carcinogenicity study	Mice	Diet	25, 100, 400	Yes	95012	10	1
Carcinogenicity study	Rats	Gavage	25, 100, 400	Yes	95013	12	1
Toxicokinetics study	Rabbits	Gavage	1, 5, 25	No	97231	16	1

2.6.7.3 Toxicokinetics

Overview of Toxicokinetics Data

Test Article: Curitol Sodium

	Steady State AUC (mcg-hr/ml)										
Daily Dose	Mi	ice ^a	Rat	s ^b		Female					
<u>(mg/kg</u>)	Μ	F	M	F	<u>Dogs</u> ^c	<u>Rabbits^b</u>	<u>Humans^f</u>				
1						9	3				
5					3	25					
10					4						
20					10						
25	10	12	6	8		273					
40					10						
50					12						
62.5	35	40									
100	40	48	25 ^d , 20 ^e	27 ^d , 22 ^e	40						
250	120	135									
300			68	72							
400	815	570	90	85							
500			125	120							
900			200	190							
1000	2,103	1,870	250	240							
2000			327	321							
4000	4,975	3,987									
7000	8,241	7,680									

a - In diet.

b - By gavage.
c - In capsules. Males and females combined.
d - Six-month toxicity study.

Carcinogenicity study. e -

f - Protocol 147-007.
EXAMPLE

2.6.7.3 Toxicokinetics

Overview of Toxicokinetics Data

Test Article : Curitol Sodium



Steady state AUC_{24hr} values of unchanged MM-180801 in humans after repeated oral administration of 1, 2.5, and 5 mg OD, in comparison with those in mice in the carcinogenicity study, rats in the 6-month toxicity study, and dogs in the 9-month toxicity study.

2.6.7.4 Toxicology				EXAMP Drug	LE Substance	Test Article: Curitol Sodium
Batch No.	Purity (%)	<u>Specifi</u>	ed Impu	rities ^a	Study Number	Type of Study
	<u> </u>	A	B	<u>C</u>		<u>_</u>
PROPOSED <u>SPECIFICATION:</u>	<u>>95</u>	<u>≤ 0.1</u>	<u>≤ 0.2</u>	<u>≤0.3</u>	-	-
LN125	98.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	94007 94008 96718	Two-Week Oral Range-Finding Study in Rats Five-Day Oral Range-Finding Study in Monkeys Ames Test
94NA103	99.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	96046 96050 94214 94020 97634	Single-Dose Oral Study in Mice Single-Dose Oral Study in Rats Three-Month Oral Study in Rats One-Month Oral Study in Dogs Human Lymphocytes Assay In Vitro
95NA215	97.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	96047 96051 96037 94211 97028	Single-Dose Intravenous Study in Mice Single-Dose Intravenous Study in Rats Micronucleus Test in Rats Embryofetal Development Study in Rats Embryofetal Development Study in Rabbits
95NB003	94.6	0.2	0.3	0.4	94019 97012	Two-Week Palatability Study in Rats Antigenicity Study in Hamsters
96NB101	99.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	94018 95001 95002 95012 95013 96208 95015	Three-Month Dietary Range-Finding Study in Mice Six-Month Oral Study in Rats One-Year Oral Study in Dogs Dietary Carcinogenicity Study in Mice Oral Carcinogenicity Study in Rats Fertility and Early Embryonic Development Study in Rats Dermal Irritation Study in Rabbits

a - Area percent.

EXAMPLE

2.6.7.5 Single-Dose Toxicity

Test Article: Curitol Sodium

Species/ <u>Strain</u>	Method of Administration (Vehicle/ <u>Formulation</u>)	Doses (mg/kg)	Sex and No. <u>per Group</u>	Observed Maximum Nonlethal Dose <u>(mg/kg)</u>	Approximate Lethal <u>Dose (mg/kg)</u>	Noteworthy Findings	Study <u>Number</u>
CD-1 Mice	Gavage (Water)	0, 1000, 2000, 5000	10M 10F	≥5000 ≥5000	>5000	≥2000: Transient body weight losses.5000: Decreased activity, convulsions, collapse.	96046
	Intravenous (Saline)	0, 100, 250, 500	10M 10F	250 250	>250 <500	≥250: Body-weight losses. 500: 3M and 2F died.	96047
Wistar Rats	Gavage (CMC Suspension)	0, 1000, 2000, 5000	5M 5F	2000 ≥5000	>2000 <5000	≥2000: Transient body weight losses; inactivity; chromorhinorrhea. 5000: 2M died.	96050
	Intravenous (5% Dextrose)	0, 100, 250, 500	5M 5F	250 ≥500	>250 <500	≥250: Body weight losses in males. 500: 3M died.	96051

EXAMPLE

2.6.7.6 Repeat-Dose Toxicity

Nonpivotal Studies

Test Article: Curitol Sodium

Species/ <u>Strain</u>	Method of Administration (Vehicle/ <u>Formulation)</u>	Duration <u>of Dosing</u>	Doses <u>(mg/kg)</u>	Sex and No. <u>per Group</u>	NOAEL ^a (<u>mg/kg</u>)	<u>Noteworthy Findings</u>	Study <u>Number</u>
CD-1 Mice	Diet	3 Months	0, 62.5, 250, 1000, 4000, and 7000	10M, 10F	M:4000 F: 1000	≥4000: Lower body weights; gastric erosions/ulcers in some mice. 7000: 4M and 6F died/ sacrificed; lower body weights; single-cell necrosis in liver.	94018
Wistar Rats	Diet	2 Weeks	0, 1000, 2000, and 4000	5M, 5F	1000	≥2000: Lower body weights. 4000: 2M and 1F sacrificed moribund.	94019
	Gavage (Water)	2 Weeks	0, 500, 1000, and 2000	5M, 5F	1000	2000: Lower body weights; single-cell necrosis in liver.	94007
Beagle Dogs	Gavage (CMC Suspension)	5 Days	0, 500, and 1000	1M, 1F	<500	≥500: Weight losses, inappetence.	94008

a - No Observed Adverse Effect Level.

2.6.7.7A Repeat-Dose Toxicity	Report Title: MM-180801: ThreeMonth Oral Toxicity St	udy in Rats	Test Article: Curitol Sodium
Species/Strain: Wistar Rats	Duration of Dosing: 3 Months	Stu	ıdy No. 94214
Initial Age: 5 Weeks	Duration of Postdose: 1 Month	Lo	cation in CTD: Vol. 4 Page 1
Date of First Dose: 15 Jan 94	Method of Administration: Gavage		-
	Vehicle/Formulation: Aqueous Solution	GI	P Compliance: Yes

Special Features: None No Observed Adverse Effect Level: 200 mg/kg

Daily Dose (mg/kg)	<u> </u>	ontrol)	2	<u>00</u>	6	00	180	00
Number of Animals	<u>M:30</u>	<u>F:30</u>	<u>M:20</u>	<u>F:20</u>	<u>M:20</u>	<u>F:20</u>	<u>M:30</u>	<u>F:30</u>
Toxicokinetics: AUC (mcg-hr/ml):								
Day 1	-	-	30	28	130	125	328	302
Day 28	-	-	52	47	145	140	400	380
Day 90	-	-	50	51	160	148	511	475
Noteworthy Findings								
Died or Sacrificed Moribund	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Body Weight (% ^a)	394 g	244 g	0	-1	-10*	-11*	-25**	-45**
Food Consumption (% ^a)	20.4 g	17.2 g	0	-1	-1	-8*	-30**	-50**
Clinical Observations	-	-						
Hyperactivity	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	++
Chromorhinorrhea, reddish-								
stained coat, white feces	-	-	-	-	-	-	++	++
Emaciated, piloerection, stilted gait	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	++
Ophthalmoscopy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- No noteworthy findings. + Mild ++ Moderate +++ Marked

Dunnett's Test: *- p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

a - At end of dosing period. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences).

(Continued)

2.6.7.7A Repeat-Dose Toxicity

Study No. 94214 (Continued)

Daily Dose (mg/kg)	<u>0 (C</u>	ontrol)	2	200	60	<u>00</u>	18	<u>800</u>
Number of Animals	<u>M:30</u>	<u>F:30</u>	<u>M:20</u>	<u>F:20</u>	<u>M:20</u>	<u>F:20</u>	<u>M:30</u>	<u>F:30</u>
Hematology								
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	15.8	15.0	15.7	14.9	15.8	14.6	14.0*	13.1*
Erythrocyte Count (x10 ⁶ /mm ³)	8.1	-	7.9	-	8.1	-	7.4*	-
MCH	-	22	-	21	-	22	-	19*
MCHC	-	34	-	34	-	34	-	30*
Platelet Count (x10 ³ /mm ³)	846	799	825	814	914	856	931*	911*
Serum Chemistry								
Creatinine (IU/L)	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.1*	1.1*
Proteins g/dl)	-	6.7	-	6.6	-	6.6	-	5.0**
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	96	-	86	-	90	-	105*	-
ALT (IU/L)	67	56	60*	52	55*	47*	53*	58
AST (IU/L)	88	92	96	90	87*	84*	85*	93
Bilirubin (mg/dl)	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.22**	0.26**
Calcium (mEg/L)	-	10.7	-	10.8	-	10.8	-	9.8**
Phosphorus (mEq/L)	9.3	-	9.3	-	9.3	-	8.2*	-
Urinalysis								
Protein Conc. (mg/dl)	260	49	102	34	123	54	126*	22*
рН	7.5	-	7.5	-	7.2	-	6.3**	-
Glucose (mg/dl)	-	0	-	0	-	20	-	98**
Urine Volume (ml)	-	18	-	18	-	16	-	12*
No notoworthy findings								

- No noteworthy findings. Dunnett's Test: *- p<0.05

**- p<0.01

(Continued)

2.6.7.7A Repeat-Dose Toxicity

Study No. 94214 (Continued)

Daily Dose (mg/kg)	<u>0 (Co</u>	<u>ntrol)</u>	20	<u>0</u>	600	<u>)</u>	1800	
Number of Animals	<u>M:30</u>	<u>F:30</u>	<u>M:20</u>	<u>F:20</u>	M:20	<u>F:20</u>	<u>M:30</u>	<u>F:30</u>
Organ Weights ^b (%)								
Kidney	3.01 g	1.75 g	0	+5*	+1	+8**	+12**	+20**
Liver	15.9 g	8.01 g	0	+1	+10*	+12*	+12*	+20**
Gross Pathology								
Number examined	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Kidneys: Pallor	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	2
Glandular Stomach: Discoloration	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
Histopathology								
Number examined	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Kidneys: Tubular dilatation	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	4
Mild	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	0
Moderate	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4
Glandular Stomach: Erosions	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	9
Additional Examinations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Postdose Evaluation:								
Number Evaluated	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	10
Body Weight ^a (%)	422 g	265 g	-1	-2	-3	-4	-10*	-20**
Kidney Weight ^b (%)	3.24 g	1.81 g	0	-1	-1	0	+8*	+10

- No noteworthy findings.

Dunnett's Test: * - p<0.05 **- p<0.01

a - At end of postdose recovery period. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences).

b - Both absolute and relative weights differed from controls in the direction indicated. Number indicates percent difference for the absolute organ weights.

EXAMPLE #2

2.6.7.7B Repeat-Dose Toxicity	Report 7	Fitle: MM-18	0801: One-N	Ionth Oral Toxi	city Study	in Dogs Te	st Article: C	uritol Sodium
Species/Strain: Beagle Dogs Initial Age: 5-6 Months Date of First Dose: 2 Feb 94	I I N	Duration of D Duration of P Method of Ad Vehicle/Form	osing: 1 Mc ostdose: No ministratio	onth ne n: Oral latin Capsules	Study No. 94020 Location in CTD: Vol. 6 Page			
Special Features: Hepatic enzyme ind No Observed Adverse Effect Level: 1	uction eval 0 mg/kg	luated at termi	nation.			GLI	Compliance	. 105
Daily Dose (mg/kg)	0 (C	ontrol)	1	.0		40	1	00
Number of Animals	M:3	F:3	M:3	F:3	M:3	F:3	M:3	F:3
Toxicokinetics: AUC (mcg-hr/ml):								
Day 1	-	-	5	6	10	12	40	48
Day 28	-	-	4	5	8	11	35	45
Noteworthy Findings								
No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund								
Body Weight (% ^a)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinical Observations:	9.8 kg	9.2 kg	0	0	-1	-19**	0	-18**
Hypoactivity (after dosing)	C	C						
Ophthalmoscopy	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	++
Electrocardiography	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hematology	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Serum Chemistry	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALT (IU/L): Week 2								
Week 4	22	25	24	27	21	24	48*	69**
	25	27	26	25	23	25	54*	84**

- No noteworthy findings. + Mild ++ Moderate +++ Marked Dunnett's Test: * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

a - At end of dosing period. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences). (Continued)

2.6.7.7B Repeat-Dose Toxicity

Study No. 94020 (Continued)

Daily Dose (mg/kg)	<u>0 (Cor</u>	<u>ntrol)</u>	10		40		100	
Number of Animals	<u>M:3</u>	<u>F:3</u>	<u>M:3</u>	<u>F:3</u>	<u>M:3</u>	<u>F:3</u>	<u>M:3</u>	<u>F:3</u>
Organ Weights ^a (%)								
Liver	339 g	337 g	+1	-1	+17**	+16**	+23**	+21**
Gross Pathology	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Histopathology								
Number Examined	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Liver: Centrilobular hypertrophy	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
Additional Examinations								
Hepatic Enzyme Induction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- No noteworthy findings.

Dunnett's Test: * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

a - Both absolute and relative weights differed from controls in the direction indicated. Number indicates percent difference for the absolute organ weights.

EXAMPLE #1

2.6.7.8A Genotoxicity: In Vitro Report Title: M			M-180801: Amo Imonella and E.	es Reverse Mutation Coli	Study in	Test Article: Curitol Sodium		
Test for Indu Strains: S. ty Metabolizing	ction of: Reverse mutat phimurium and E. coli System: Aroclor-induc	ion in bacterial cell ed rat liver S9, 7.1%	 No. of Independent Assays: 2 No. of Replicate Cultures: 3 No. of Cells Analyzed/Culture: - 			Study No. 96669 Location in CTD: Vol. 10 Page211		
Vehicles: Treatment: P Cytotoxic Eff Genotoxic Ef	Test Article: DMSO Plate incorporation for 48 fects: None. fects: None.	Positive 3 hr.	Controls: DMS	30		GLP Compliance: Ye Date of Treatment: F	es Seb. 1996	
Metabolic <u>Activation</u>	Test <u>Article</u>	Dose Level (mcg/plate)	Assay #1 Revertant Co	lony Counts (Mean -	±SD)			
			<u>TA 98</u>	<u>TA 100</u>	<u>TA 1535</u>	<u>TA 1537</u>	WP2 uvrA	
Without Activation	DMSO MM-180801 2-Nitrofluorene Sodium azide 9-Aminoacridine MMS	100 mcl/plate 312.5 625 1250 2500 5000 ^a 2 1 100 2.5 mcl/plate	$24 \pm 924 \pm 632 \pm 930 \pm 427 \pm 530 \pm 3696$	$129 \pm 4 \\ 128 \pm 11 \\ 153 \pm 9 \\ 152 \pm 12 \\ 140 \pm 6 \\ 137 \pm 21 \\ 542$	$15 \pm 4 12 \pm 4 9 \pm 2 9 \pm 3 9 \pm 3 15 \pm 1 468$	$4 \pm 2 4 \pm 2 8 \pm 2 9 \pm 2 5 \pm 1 7 \pm 2 515$	$17 \pm 3 \\ 14 \pm 2 \\ 17 \pm 5 \\ 18 \pm 4 \\ 19 \pm 1 \\ 13 \pm 4 \\ 573$	
With Activation	DMSO MM-180801 2-Aminoanthracene	100 mcl/plate 312.5 625 1250 2500 5000 ^a 2.5	$27 \pm 6 31 \pm 4 30 \pm 1 33 \pm 2 35 \pm 8 31 \pm 4 1552$	$161 \pm 12 \\ 142 \pm 8 \\ 156 \pm 15 \\ 153 \pm 13 \\ 160 \pm 4 \\ 153 \pm 5 \\ 1487$	$12 \pm 5 12 \pm 5 17 \pm 2 13 \pm 3 10 \pm 2 9 \pm 4 214$	5 ± 1 4 ± 2 9 ± 5 8 ± 2 8 ± 2 7 ± 1 61	$21 \pm 8 \\ 17 \pm 3 \\ 23 \ 3 \\ 18 \pm 3 \\ 19 \pm 5 \\ 17 \pm 4 $	

a - Precipitation.

EXAMPLE #2

2.6.7.8B Gen	otoxicity: <u>In</u> <u>Vitro</u>	Report Title: MM-18	0801: Cytogenetics Study in Primary	Test Article: Curitol Sodium
Test for Indu	uction of: Chromosome ab	perrations	No. of Independent Assays: 1	Study No. 96668
Strains: Primary human lymphocytes			No. of Replicate Cultures: 2	Location in CTD: Vol. 10 Page245
Metabolizing	g System: Aroclor-induced	-		
Vehicles:	Test Article: DMSO	Positive Cont	trols: DMSO	GLP Compliance: Yes
Treatment:	Continuous treatment for	Date of Treatment: Aug. 1996		
	and recovery time 24 hrs.	with and without S9.		_
~				

Cytotoxic Effects: Dose-related decreases in mitotic indices.

Genotoxic Effects: Chromosome aberrations without S9 at 10 and 20 µg/ml, and with S9 at 50 and 200 µg/ml.

Metabolic <u>Activation</u>	Test <u>Article</u>	Concentration (mcg/ml)	Cytotoxicity ^a (% of control)	Aberrant Cells <u>Mean %</u>	Abs/Cell	<u>Total polyploid</u> <u>cells</u>
Without Activation	DMSO	-	100	2.0	0.02	4
	MM-180801	2.5	78	3.0	0.03	3
		5	59	4.0	0.05	4
		10	36	16.5**	0.20	2
		20	32	35.0**	0.55	3
	Mitomycin	0.10	52	38.5**	0.64	5
With	DMSO	-	100	4.0	0.04	3
Activation	MM-180801	2.5	91	4.5	0.05	3
		10	88	4.5	0.05	2
		50	80	9.5*	0.10	4
		200	43	34.0**	0.66	3
	Cyclophosphamide	4	68	36.5**	0.63	6
	—					

Dunnett's Test: * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

a - Based on mitotic indices.

2.6.7.9A Genotoxicity: In Vivo Report T	Title: MM-180801: Oral Micronucleus Study in Rats	Test Article: Curitol Solution
Test for Induction of: Bone marrow micronuclei	Treatment Schedule: Three daily doses.	Study No: 96683
Species/Strain: Wistar Rats	Sampling Time: 24 hrs. after last dose.	Location in CTD: Vol. 10 Page502
Age: 5 Weeks	Method of Administration: Gavage.	
Cells Evaluated: Polychromatic erythrocytes	Vehicle/Formulation: Aqueous solution.	GLP Compliance: Yes
No. of Cells Analyzed/Animal: 2000	-	Date of Dosing: July 1996
Special Features: None.		
Toxic/Cytotoxic Effects: At 2000 mg/kg, clinical	signs, two deaths, and decreases in bone marrow PCH	Ès.
Genotoxic Effects: None.	-	

Evidence of Exposure: Overt toxicity at 2000 mg/kg.

Test Article	Dose (mg/kg)	No. of <u>Animals</u>	Mean % PCEs (± <u>SD)</u>	Mean % MN-PCEs <u>(±SD)</u>
Vehicle	0	5M	52 ± 1.9	0.20 ± 0.12
MM-180801	2	5M	54 ± 3.7	0.25 ± 0.16
	20	5M	49 ± 3.1	0.20 ± 0.07
	200	5M	50 ± 2.1	0.26 ± 0.08
	2000	3M	31 ± 2.5	0.12 ± 0.03
Cyclophosphamide	7	5M	51 ± 2.3	2.49 ± 0.30 **

Dunnett's Test: * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

2.6.7.9B Genotoxicity: In Vivo	Report Title	: MM-180801: Oral	DNA Repair Study in Rats	Test Article: Curitol Solution
Test for Induction of: Unscheduled	DNA synthesis	Treatment Sched	ule: Single dose.	Study No: 51970
Species/Strain: Wistar Rats	·	Sampling Time:	2 and 16 hr.	Location in CTD: Vol. 11 Page 2
Age: 5 Weeks		Method of Admi	nistration: Gavage.	-
Cells Evaluated: Hepatocytes.		Vehicle/Formula	tion: Aqueous solution.	GLP Compliance: Yes
No. of Cells Analyzed/Animal: 100				Date of Dosing: Jan. 1997
Special Features: None.				0
Toxic/Cytotoxic Effects: None.				
Genotoxic Effects: None.				
Evidence of Exposure: Toxicokineti	cs - See Study N	o. 94007, Two-Wee	k Oral Toxicity Study in Rat	s.
*	2	*	5 5	
Deres	N C. T.	NT1		

Test Article	Dose (mg/kg)	No. of <u>Animals</u>	hrs.	Nuclear <u>Mean ± SD</u>	Cytoplasm <u>Mean ± SD</u>	NG <u>Mean ± SD</u>	$\frac{1}{Mean} \pm SD$	NGIR <u>Mean ± SD</u>
Vehicle	0	3M	16	3.5 ± 0.2	7.3 ± 0.3	-3.8 ± 0.4	0 ± 0	-
MM-180801	2	3M	2	3.0 ± 1.1	5.5 ± 1.4	-2.6 ± 0.4	0 ± 0	-
	2	3M	16	4.1 ± 0.5	6.5 ± 0.8	-2.4 ± 0.2	0 ± 0	-
	20	3M	2	3.9 ± 0.2	6.9 ± 0.3	-3.0 ± 0.1	1 ± 0	5.7 ± 0.4
	20	3M	16	3.6 ± 0.3	6.3 ± 0.4	-2.7 ± 0.2	0 ± 0	-
	200	3M	2	4.2 ± 0.2	7.5 ± 0.3	-3.4 ± 0.2	0 ± 0	-
	200	3M	16	3.1 ± 0.3	5.3 ± 0.3	-2.2 ± 0.1	0 ± 0	-
	2000	3M	2	4.8 ± 0.4	8.2 ± 0.7	-3.4 ± 0.4	0 ± 0	-
	2000	3M	16	2.7 ± 0.1	4.8 0.3	-2.1 ± 0.3	0 ± 0	-
DMN	10	3M	2	10.7 ± 3.0	5.8 ± 1.0	4.9 ± 2.1	41 ±15	11.4 ± 0.4

Nuclear = Nuclear grain count; the number of grains over the nucleus.

Cytoplasm = Cytoplasmic grain count; the highest grain count from 2 nuclear-sized areas adjacent to the nucleus.

NG = Net grains/nucleus; the nuclear count minus the cytoplasmic count.

% IR = Percentage of cells with at least 5 NG.

NGIR = Average net grains/nucleus of cells in repair.

EXAMPLE

2.6.7.10 Carcinogenicity	Report Title: MM-180801: Dietary Carcinogenicity Study in Mice	Test Article: Curitol Sodium
Species/Strain: CD-1 Mice	Duration of Dosing: 21 months	Study No. 95012
Initial Age: 6 Weeks	Method of Administration: Diet	Location in CTD: Vol. 4 Page 1
Date of First Dose: 20 Sep 95	Vehicle/Formulation: In Diet	
	Treatment of Controls: Drug-Free Diet	GLP Compliance: Yes

Basis for High-Dose Selection: Toxicity-based endpoint.

Special Features: 12 additional males and 12 additional females per drug-treated group bled at 6 months for toxicokinetic monitoring and then removed from the study.

Daily Dose (mg/kg)	<u> </u>	ontrol)		25	1	00	4(00
Sex	M	F	M	F	Μ	F	M	F
Toxicokinetics:								
AUC on Day 28 (mcg-hr/ml ^a)	-	-	10	12	40	48	815	570
Css on Day 180 (mcg/ml)	-	-	0.4	0.5	1.7	0.3	34	24
Number of Animals:								
At Start	60	60	60°	60	60	60	60	60
Died/Sacrificed Moribund	16	16	15	13	18	20	27	25
Terminal Sacrifice	44	44	44 ^c	47	42	40	33	35
Survival (%)	67	73	75	80	71	68	56	59
Body Weight (% ^b)	33g	31g	0	0	-7*	0	-13**	-19**
Food consumption (% ^b)	6g/day	5g/day	0	0	-9*	-8*	-17**	-15**

Dunnett's Test: * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

a - From Study No. 95013.

b - At 6 months. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences)

c - One missing mouse could not be evaluated.

(Continued)

EXAMPLE

2.6.7.10 Carcinogenicity

Study No. 95012 (Continued)

Daily Dose (mg/kg)	0 (Co	ntrol)	2	5	10	<u>)0</u>	40)0
Number Evaluated	<u>M: 60</u>	<u>F: 60</u>	<u>M: 59</u>	<u>F: 60</u>	<u>M: 60</u>	<u>F: 60</u>	<u>M: 60</u>	<u>F: 60</u>
Number of Animals								
with Neoplastic Lesions:								
Skin: Hemangioma	0	1	1	0	6 ^b	1	13 ^b	0
Hemangiosarcoma	1	3	2	2	9	11	18 ^a	24 ^a
Adrenal: Adrenocortical adenoma	4	1	2	0	4	3	3	1
Adrenocortical adenocarcinoma	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Adenoma + Adenocarcinoma	4	1	2	0	4	3	3	1
Pheochromocytoma	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
Bone: Osteochondrosarcoma	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Osteoma	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Epididymis: Sarcoma, undifferentiated	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Gallbladder: Adenoma	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Harderian gland: Adenoma	4	2	3	1	3	4	3	1
Kidney: Renal cell adenoma	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Liver: Hepatocellular adenoma	3	1	4	2	3	1	4	1
Hepatocellular carcinoma	2	1	1	2	3	1	0	1
Hepatocellular adenoma + carcinoma	3	2	4	3	5	2	4	1
Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	13	10	11	11	14	7	13	4
	4	0	1	1	2	2	1	1
Adenoma + carcinoma	15	10	11	12	15	9	13	5

a - Trend analysis, p<0.005 b - Trend analysis, p<0.025

(Continued)

EXAMPLE

2.6.7.10 Carcinogenicity

Study No. 95012 (Continued)

Daily Dose (mg/kg)	<u> </u>	<u>ontrol)</u>	2	<u>25</u>	1(<u>)0</u>	40	00
Number Evaluated	<u>M: 60</u>	<u>F: 60</u>	<u>M: 59</u>	<u>F: 60</u>	<u>M: 60</u>	<u>F: 60</u>	<u>M: 60</u>	<u>F: 60</u>
Mediastinum: Sarcoma, undifferentiated								
Oviduct: Adenoma	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Pancreas: Islet cell adenoma		1		1		0		0
Peritoneum: Osteosarcoma	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seminal vesicle: Adenoma	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Stomach: Osteochondrosarcoma	0		1		0		0	
Thymus: Thymoma	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Thyroid: Follicular cell adenoma	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uterus: Papillary cystadenoma	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Whole animal: Lymphosarcoma		1		0		2		0
Whole animal: Histiocytic sarcoma	6	13	4	11	3	12	5	11
·	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Noteworthy Findings:								
Gross Pathology	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Histopathology - Non-Neoplastic								
Lesions								
Liver: Hepatocellular hypertrophy	4	2	3	2	4	1	40**	45**
Testes: Hypospermatogenesis	1		2		15*		30**	

- No noteworthy findings. Fisher Exact Test: * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

EXAMPLE

2.6.7.11 Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

Nonpivotal Studies Tes

Test Article: Curitol Sodium

Species/ <u>Strain</u>	Method of Administration (Vehicle/ <u>Formulation</u>)	Dosing <u>Period</u>	Doses <u>mg/kg</u>	<u>No. per Group</u>	Noteworthy Findings	Study <u>Number</u>
Wistar Rats	Gavage (Water)	G6 through G15	0, 500, 1000, 2000	8 Pregnant Females	≥1000: Deaths; weight losses; decreased food consumption; clinical signs; resorptions.	94201
NZW Rabbits	Gavage (CMC Suspension)	13 Days	0, 5,15, 45	6 Nonpregnant Females	≥15: Decreased weight gain and food consumption.45: Four does died.	97020

G – Gestation day

EXAMPLE

2.6.7.12 Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity Fertility and Early Embryonic Development to Implantation	city Report Title: MM-180801: Oral Study of Effects on Fertility Test Article: Curitol Sodium and Early Embryonic Development in Rats					
Design similar to ICH 4.1.1? Yes Species/Strain: Wistar Rats Initial Age: 10 Weeks	Duration of Dosing: M: 4 w F: 2 w thro	Study No. 97072 Location in CTD: Vol. 6 Page 1				
0	Day of Mating: Day 0	8 9 8				
Date of First Dose: 3 Mar 97 Special Features: None No Observed Adverse Effect Level: F ₀ Males: 100 mg/kg F ₀ Females: 100 mg/kg F ₁ Litters: 1000 mg/kg	Day of C-Section: Day 16 of Method of Administration: Vehicle/Formulation: Aqueo	GLP Complian	nce: Yes			
Daily Dose (mg/kg)	<u>0 (Control)</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>1000</u>		
<u>Males</u> Toxicokinetics: AUC ^b (mcg-hr/ml)	-	1.8	25	320		
No. Evaluated No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund Clinical Observations: Salivation Necropsy Observations Body Weight (% ^a) Mean No. Days Prior to Mating No. of Males that Mated No. of Fertile Males	22 0 - 452 g 2.7 22 21	22 0 - 0 2.5 21 21	22 0 + - 0 2.3 22 21	22 0 +++ - -12* 2.8 22 21		

- No noteworthy findings. + Mild ++Moderate +++Marked Dunnett's Test * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

a -After 4 weeks of dosing. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences).

b -From Study No. 94220. (Continued)

EXAMPLE

(Continued)

2.6.7.12 Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

Study No. 97072

<u>Daily Do</u>	<u>se (mg/kg)</u>	<u>0 (Control)</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>1000</u>
<u>Females</u>	Toxicokinetics: AUC ^b (mcg-hr/ml)	-	2.1	27	310
	No. Evaluated	22	22	22	22
	No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund	0	1	0	0
	Clinical Observations				
	Salivation	-	-	-	+
	Necropsy Observations	-	-	-	-
	Premating Body Weight (% ^a)	175 g	0	0	-5*
	Gestation Body Weight (% ^a)	225 g	0	0	-12**
	Premating Food Consumption (% ^a)	14 g	0	0	-6*
	Gestation Food Consumption (% ^a)	15 g	0	0	-15**
	Mean No. Estrous Cycles/14 days	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9
	Mean No. Days Prior to Mating	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.2
	No. of Females Sperm Positive	21	22	22	21
	No. of Pregnant Females	21	21	22	20
	Mean No. Corpora Lutea	15.9	15.8	16.8	15.3
	Mean No. Implantations	14.5	14.0	15.3	13.8
	Mean % Preimplantation Loss	8.8	11.4	8.9	9.8
	Mean No. Live Conceptuses	13.3	13.3	14.3	12.8
	Mean No. Resorptions	1.2	0.7	1.0	1.0
	No. Dead Conceptuses	0	0	0	0
	Mean % Postimplantation Loss	8.3	5.0	6.5	7.2

- No noteworthy findings. + Mild ++Moderate +++Marked Dunnett's Test * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

a - At end of premating or gestation period. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences).

b - From Study No. 94220.

EXAMPLE

2.6.7.13 Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity - Effects on Embryofetal	Report Title: MM-180801: Oral Study of Effects Embryofetal Development in Rabbit	on Test Article: Curitol Sodium
Development		
Design similar to ICH 4.1.3? Yes	Duration of Dosing: G6-G18 Day of Mating: Day 0	Study No. 97028
Species/Strain: NZW Rabbits	Day of C-Section: G29	Location in CTD: Vol. 6 Page 200
Initial Age: 5 months	Method of Administration: Gavage	
Date of First Dose: 7 Aug 97	Vehicle/Formulation: Aqueous Solution	GLP Compliance: Yes
Special Features: None.	-	_
No Observed Adverse Effect Level:		
F ₀ Females: 1 mg/kg		
F ₁ Litters: 5 mg/kg		

Daily Dose (mg/kg)		<u>0 (Control)</u>	<u> </u>	5	25	
Dams/Does:	Toxicokinetics: AUC ^b (mcg-hr/ml)	-	2.6	31	345	
	No. Pregnant	20	19	20	20	
	No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund	0	1	1	0	
	No. Aborted or with Total Resorption of Litter	0	0	0	3	
	Clinical Observations	-	-	-	++	
	Necropsy Observations	-	-	-	-	
	Body Weight (% ^a)	3.2 kg	0	-15*	-20**	
	Food Consumption (% ^a)	60 g/day	0	-9*	-16**	
	Mean No. Corpora Lutea	9.4	9.3	9.4	10.4	
	Mean No. Implantations	7.9	8.1	9.1	9.4	
	Mean % Preimplantation Loss	15.8	13.1	4.0	8.9	

No noteworthy findings. + Mild ++Moderate +++Marked G = Gestation day Dunnett's Test * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01
 a - At end of dosing period. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences).
 b - From Study No. 97231. (Continued)

EXAMPLE									
2.6.7.13 R	Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity	(Continued)	(Continued)				Study No. 97028		
Daily Do	ose (mg/kg)	<u>0 (Cor</u>	<u>ntrol)</u>		<u>1</u>	5	<u>5</u>	25	
Litters:	No. Litters Evaluated	18		16		17		18	
	No. Live Fetuses	140		126		148		86*	
	Mean No. Resorptions	0.2		0.3		0.4		4.7**	
	No. Dead Fetuses	1		0		0		0	
	Mean % Postimplantation Loss	4.3		2.8		5.4		49.0**	
	Mean Fetal Body Weight (g)	44.82		42.44	Ļ	42.14	Ļ	42.39	
	Fetal Sex Ratios (% males)	46.3		57.7		57.4		52.8	
	Fetal Anomalies:								
	Gross External								
	Lower jaw: Short								
	No. Fetuses (%)	0		0		0		7 (8.0)*	
	No. Litters (%)	0		0		0		5 (27.8)**	
	Visceral Anomalies								
	Tongue: Absent								
	No. Fetuses (%)	0		0		0		6 (6.9)*	
	No. Litters (%)	0		0		0		6 (33.3)**	
	Skeletal Anomalies								
	Mandible: Cleft								
	No. Fetuses (%)	0		0		0		10 (11.5)**	
	No. Litters (%)	0		0		0		8 (44.4)**	
	Ribs: Cervical								
	No. Fetuses (%)		2 (1.4)	0			1 (0.7)	0	
	No. Litters (%)		1 (5.6)	0			1 (5.9)	0	
	Sternebrae: Misshapen								
	No. Fetuses (%)		2 (1.4)		1 (0.8)	0		1 (1.2)	
	No. Litters (%)		2 (11.1)		1 (6.3)	0		1 (5.6)	
	Total Affected Fetuses (Litters)		2 (2)		1(1)	0		15 (10)	

- No noteworthy findings. Fisher Exact Test * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

EXAMPLE

2.6.7.14 Repu Effects	roductive and Developmental Toxicity s on Pre- and Postnatal	 Report Title: MM-180801: Oral Study of Effects on Test Article: Curitol Sodium Pre- and Postnatal Development in Rats 				
Design simila	ar to ICH 4.1.2? Yes	Duration of I Day of Matin	Dosing: G6 - L21 g: Day 0	Study No. 95201		
Species/Strain Initial Age: 9	n: Wistar Rats -10 Weeks	Method of A Vehicle/Form	dministration: Galaction: Galaction: Water	Location in CTD: Vol. 10 Page 1		
Date of First Dose: 8 Oct 95 Special Features: None No Observed AdverseEffect Level: F ₀ Females: 7.5 mg/kg F ₁ Males: 75 mg/kg F ₁ Females: 75 mg/kg		Litters Culled	d/Not Culled: Cu	illed to 4/sex/litter	GLP Compliance: Yes	
<u>Daily Dose (</u>	(mg/kg)	<u>0 (Control)</u>	7.5	75	750	
<u>F₀ Females</u> :	Toxicokinetics: AUC ^b (mcg-hr/ml)	-	2.4	21	150	
	No. Pregnant	23	21	22	23 8	

No. Pregnant	23	21	22	23
No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund	0	0	0	8
Clinical Observations	-	-	++	+++
Necropsy Observations	-	-	-	-
Gestation Body Weight (% ^a)	225 g	0	0	-25**
Lactation Body Weight (% ^a)	210 g	0	0	0
Gestation Food Consumption (% ^a)	15 g	0	0	-12*
Lactation Food Consumption (% ^a)	16 g	0	0	0
Mean Duration of Gestation (days)	22.1	22.2	22.1	23.5^{+}
Abnormal Parturition	-	-	-	-

-	No noteworthy findings.	+ Mild	++Moderate	+++Marked	G = Gestation day
	Dunnett's Test * - p<0.05	** - p<0.01	+ n < 0.05		L = Lactation day

Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's procedure + - p<0.05
a -At end of gestation or lactation. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences).
b -From Study No. 97227 (Continued)

EXAMPLE

2.6.7.14 Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

Study No. 95201

(Continued)

<u>/kg)</u>	<u>0 (Control)</u>	7.5	75	750
No. Litters Evaluated	23	21	22	15
Mean No. Pups/Litter	13.6	13.8	14.9	11.2^{++}
Mean No. Liveborn Pups/Litter	13.5	13.8	14.6	9.4^{++}
Mean No. Stillborn Pups/Litter	0.1	0.0	0.3	1.8^{+}
Postnatal Survival to Day 4	-	-	-	-
Postnatal Survival to Weaning	-	-	-	-
Change in Pup Body Weights ^a (g)	60	58	62	53*
Pup Sex Ratios (% males)	51	53	49	51
Pup Clinical Signs	-	-	-	-
Pup Necropsy Observations	-	-	-	-
No. Evaluated Postweaning	23	21	22	15
No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund	-	-	-	-
Clinical Observations	-	-	-	-
Necropsy Observations	-	-	-	-
Body Weight Change ^b (g)	200	195	195	186*
Food Consumption (% ^b)	15 g	0	0	-11*
Preputial Separation	-	-	-	-
Sensory Function	-	-	-	-
Motor Activity	-	-	-	-
Learning and Memory	-	-	-	-
Mean No. Days Prior to Mating	2.4	3.3	2.9	3.5
No. of Males that Mated	23	21	21	23
No. of Fertile Males	23	21	19	20
	'kg)No. Litters Evaluated Mean No. Pups/Litter Mean No. Liveborn Pups/Litter Postnatal Survival to Day 4 Postnatal Survival to Weaning Change in Pup Body Weights ^a (g) Pup Sex Ratios (% males) Pup Clinical Signs Pup Necropsy ObservationsNo. Evaluated Postweaning No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund Clinical Observations Necropsy Observations Body Weight Change ^b (g) Food Consumption (% ^b) Preputial Separation Sensory Function Motor Activity Learning and Memory Mean No. Days Prior to Mating No. of Males that Mated No. of Fertile Males	Kg)0 (Control)No. Litters Evaluated23Mean No. Pups/Litter13.6Mean No. Liveborn Pups/Litter13.5Mean No. Stillborn Pups/Litter0.1Postnatal Survival to Day 4-Postnatal Survival to Weaning-Change in Pup Body Weights ^a (g)60Pup Sex Ratios (% males)51Pup Clinical Signs-Pup Necropsy Observations-No. Evaluated Postweaning23No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund-Clinical Observations-Necropsy Observations-Necropsy Observations-Sensory Function-Motor Activity-Learning and Memory-Mean No. Days Prior to Mating2.4No. of Males that Mated23No. of Fertile Males23	kg) 0 (Control)7.5No. Litters Evaluated2321Mean No. Pups/Litter13.613.8Mean No. Liveborn Pups/Litter0.10.0Postnatal Survival to Day 4Postnatal Survival to WeaningChange in Pup Body Weights ^a (g)6058Pup Sex Ratios (% males)5153Pup Clinical SignsPup Necropsy ObservationsNo. Evaluated Postweaning2321No. Died or Sacrificed MoribundClinical ObservationsNecropsy ObservationsNecropsy ObservationsNo. Died or Sacrificed MoribundSensory FunctionMotor ActivityLearning and MemoryMean No. Days Prior to Mating2.43.3No. of Males that Mated2321No. of Fertile Males2321	kg)0 (Control)7.575No. Litters Evaluated232122Mean No. Pups/Litter13.613.814.9Mean No. Liveborn Pups/Litter13.513.814.6Mean No. Stillborn Pups/Litter0.10.00.3Postnatal Survival to Day 4Postnatal Survival to WeaningChange in Pup Body Weights ^a (g)605862Pup Sex Ratios (% males)515349Pup Clinical SignsPup Necropsy ObservationsNo. Evaluated Postweaning232122No. Died or Sacrificed MoribundClinical ObservationsNecropsy ObservationsNectopsy ObservationsSensory FunctionMotor ActivityLearning and MemoryMean No. Days Prior to Mating2.43.32.9No. of Males that Mated232119

 No noteworthy findings. + Mild ++Moderate ++++Marked Dunnett's Test * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01 Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's procedure + - p<0.05 ++ - p<0.01

a - From birth to weaning.

b - From weaning to mating. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences) (Continued)

EXAMPLE

(Continued)

2.6.7.14 Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

Study No. 95201

Daily Dose (mg/k	<u>g)</u>	<u>0 (Control)</u>	7.5	75	750	
F ₁ Females:	No. Evaluated Postweaning	23	21	22	23	
(Postweaning)	No. Died or Sacrificed Moribund	0	1	0	0	
	Clinical Observations	-	-	-	-	
	Necropsy Observations	-	-	-	-	
	Premating Body-Weight Change ^a (g)	226	230	235	196*	
	Gestation Body-Weight Change (g)	153	160	144	158	
	Premating Food Consumption (% ^b)	15 g	0	0	-13*	
	Gestation Food Consumption (% ^b)	16 g	0	0	0	
	Mean Age of Vaginal Patency (days)	-	-	-	-	
	Sensory Function	-	-	-	-	
	Motor Activity	-	-	-	-	
	Learning and Memory	-	-	-	-	
	Mean No. Days Prior to Mating	2.4	3.3	3.1	3.5	
	No. of Females Sperm Positive	23	21	21	23	
	No. of Pregnant Females	23	21	20	21	
	Mean No. Corpora Lutea	16.4	16.2	15.8	15.5	
	Mean No. Implantations	15.8	15.2	14.4	14.9	
	Mean % Preimplantation Loss	3.8	6.3	12.3	3.7	
F ₂ Litters:	Mean No. Live Conceptuses/Litter	15.0	14.9	13.6	14.4	
	Mean No. Resorptions	0.8	0.3	0.8	0.5	
	No. Dead Conceptuses	0	0	0	0	
	Mean % Postimplantation Loss	5.1	2.2	5.2	3.4	
	Fetal Body Weights (g)	3.69	3.65	3.75	3.81	
	Fetal Sex Ratios (% males)	53	49	54	54	
	Fetal Anomalies	-	-	-	-	

-No noteworthy findings. + Mild ++Moderate +++Marked Dunnett's Test * - p<0.05 ** - p<0.01

a - From weaning to mating.

b - During postweaning period. For controls, group means are shown. For treated groups, percent differences from controls are shown. Statistical significance is based on actual data (not on the percent differences).

EXAMPLE 2.6.7.17 Other Toxicity Studies

Test Article: Curitol Sodium

Species/ <u>Strain</u>	Method of <u>Administration</u>	Duration <u>of Dosing</u>	Doses (mg/kg)	Sex and <u>No. per Group</u>	Noteworthy Findings	Study <u>Number</u>
Ar	ntigenicity					
Guinea Pigs	Subcutaneous	Weekly for 3 weeks; challenge 1 week later.	0, 5 mg	5M, 5F	Mildly positive delayed hypersensitivity reaction. No evidence of passive cutaneous anaphylaxis or systemic anaphylaxis.	97012
In	purities					
WISTAR Rats	Gavage	2 Weeks	0, 1000, 2000	10M, 10F	MM-180801 fortified with 2% of the Z- isomer impurity; toxicologic effects comparable to MM-180801 without impurity.	97025