

§170.315(f)(2) Transmission to public health agencies – syndromic surveillance

2015 Edition CCGs

Version 1.3 Updated on 08-25-2017

Revision History

Version #	Description of Change	Version Date
1.0	Initial Publication	10-29-2015
1.1	Updated to provide additional clarifications about the gap certification eligibility of this criterion.	01-29-2016
1.2	Updated NIST Normative Test Process Document Link.	10-06-2016
1.3	Clarified that testing and certification does not require compliance with ICD-9-CM and permits testing and certification to ICD-10-CM OR SNOMED CT® to meet the implementation guide's requirements for submitted messages; and updated links to the Implementation Guide and Errata.	08-25-2017

Regulation Text

Regulation Text

§170.315 (f)(2) *Transmission to public health agencies – syndromic surveillance—*

Create syndrome-based public health surveillance information for electronic transmission in accordance with the standard (and applicable implementation specifications) specified in §170.205(d)(4).

Standard(s) Referenced

Applies to entire criterion

§ 170.205(d)(4) [HL7 2.5.1. Implementation specifications. PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance: Emergency Department, Urgent, Care, Inpatient and Ambulatory Care, and Inpatient Settings, Release 2.0, April 21, 2015](#) and [Erratum to the CDC PHIN 2.0 Implementation Guide, August 2015](#)

Certification Companion Guide: Transmission to public health agencies – syndromic surveillance

This Certification Companion Guide (CCG) is an informative document designed to assist with health IT product development. The CCG is not a substitute for the 2015 Edition final regulation. It extracts key portions of the rule’s preamble and includes subsequent clarifying interpretations. To access the full context of regulatory intent please consult the 2015 Edition final rule or other included regulatory reference. The CCG is for public use and should not be sold or redistributed.

[Link to Final Rule Preamble](#)

Edition Comparison	Gap Certification Eligible	Base EHR Definition	In Scope for CEHRT Definition
Revised	No	Not Included	Yes

Certification Requirements

Privacy and Security: This certification criterion was adopted at § 170.315(f)(2). As a result, an ONC-ACB must ensure that a product presented for certification to a § 170.315(f) “paragraph (f)” criterion includes the privacy and security criteria (adopted in § 170.315(d)) within the overall scope of the certificate issued to the product.

- The privacy and security criteria (adopted in § 170.315(d)) do not need to be explicitly tested with this specific paragraph (f) criterion unless it is the only criterion for which certification is requested.
- As a general rule, a product presented for certification only needs to be tested once to each applicable privacy and security criterion (adopted in § 170.315(d)) so long as the health IT developer attests that such privacy and security capabilities apply to the full scope of capabilities included in the requested certification. However, exceptions exist for § 170.315(e)(1) “VDT” and (e)(2) “secure messaging,” which are explicitly stated.

Table for Privacy and Security

- If choosing Approach 1:
 - [Authentication, access control, and authorization \(§ 170.315\(d\)\(1\)\)](#)
 - [Auditable events and tamper-resistance \(§ 170.315\(d\)\(2\)\)](#)
 - [Audit reports \(§ 170.315\(d\)\(3\)\)](#)
 - [End-user device encryption \(§ 170.315\(d\)\(7\)\)](#)
- If choosing Approach 2:
 - For each applicable P&S certification criterion not certified for approach 1, the health IT developer may certify for the criterion using system documentation which provides a clear description of how the external services necessary to meet the P&S criteria would be deployed and used. Please see the 2015 Edition final rule correction notice at [80 FR 76870](#) for additional clarification.

Design and Performance: The following design and performance certification criteria (adopted in § 170.315(g)) must also be certified in order for the product to be certified.

- When a single quality management system (QMS) is used, the QMS only needs to be identified once. Otherwise, the QMS' need to be identified for every capability to which it was applied.
- When a single accessibility-centered design standard is used, the standard only needs to be identified once. Otherwise, the accessibility-centered design standards need to be identified for every capability to which they were applied; or, alternatively the developer must state that no accessibility-centered design was used.

Table for Design and Performance

- [Quality management system \(§ 170.315\(g\)\(4\)\)](#)
- [Accessibility-centered design \(§ 170.315\(g\)\(5\)\)](#)

Technical Explanations and Clarifications

Applies to entire criterion

Technical outcome – The health IT is able to create syndrome-based public health surveillance information for electronic transmission to public health agencies according to the HL7 2.5.1 standard, the PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance Release 2.0, and the August 2015 Erratum to the PHIN Messaging Guide.

Clarifications:

- For the public health certification criteria in § 170.315(f), health IT will only need to be certified to those criteria that are required to meet the measures the provider intends to report on to meet Objective 8: Public Health and Clinical Data Registry Reporting.
- Health IT is not required to comply with the implementation guide's requirement that a sender's system (Health IT Module) support the ICD-9-CM value set.

- Health IT must be tested and certified to only one of the value sets for the implementation guide’s “submitted messages” requirement. More specifically, this means that a Health IT Module can use either the ICD-10-CM or SNOMED CT® value sets in the submitted messages for all of the test steps in the Syndromic Surveillance Test Suite. Where the tool does not have test data that supports the Health IT Module’s value set (either ICD-10-CM or SNOMED CT®), the developer of the Health IT Module must provide the codes and testers must perform a visual inspection of the messages for these test steps to ensure that equivalent and valid ICD-10-CM or SNOMED CT® are used to populate the messages.
- It is appropriate to distinguish between ambulatory settings from emergency department, urgent care and inpatient settings. This criterion requires the use of the HL7 2.5.1 standard, PHIN Messaging Guide Release 2.0, and August 2015 Erratum to the PHIN Messaging Guide for the inpatient setting (which includes emergency departments).
- There is no certification requirement for the ambulatory setting. We note that the PHIN Messaging Guide Release 2.0 and Erratum does support the urgent care ambulatory setting and would be appropriate to use to that particular setting. [see also [80 FR 62665](#)]
- This certification criterion is not eligible for gap certification. We note that we adopted a voluntary criterion for ambulatory syndromic surveillance in the 2014 Edition Release 2 final rule (at § 170.314(f)(7)) for health IT to create syndrome-based syndromic surveillance information containing certain data. This 2015 Edition syndromic surveillance certification criterion (at § 170.315(f)(2)) requires an updated implementation guide and erratum for emergency department, urgent care, and inpatient settings. Any system certifying to this criterion at § 170.315(f)(2) must conform to the updated implementation guide and erratum, and therefore this criterion is not gap certification eligible. We note that this 2015 Edition certification criterion for syndromic surveillance does not require any standard for the ambulatory setting, unless the technology is intended to be used in the urgent care setting.
- The CDC published an erratum to the PHIN Messaging Guide Release 2.0 (August 2015). The Erratum consolidates Release 2.0 information and clarifies existing conformance requirements of the implementation guide. We refer developers to the addendum for specific information about the clarifications it includes. [see also [80 FR 62665](#)]
- There is no transport standard required for this criterion. Developers have the flexibility to determine the transport standard(s) to implement. [see also [77 FR 54243](#)]

Content last reviewed on September 24, 2018