United States Department of Health and Human Services

DEPARTMENTAL APPEALS BOARD

Civil Remedies Division

In the Case of:)			
) Date:			
Petitioner,)			
- v) Docket No. C			
The Inspector General.)			
	,			
INFORMAL BRIEF O	F INSPECTOR GENERAL			
The Inspector General (I.G.) determined to exclude Petitioner,				
The issues in this case are whether:				
1. The I.G. has the author	rity to exclude Petitioner; and			

I. Authority for the exclusion. Describe each fact that supports the I.G.'s determination that Petitioner may or must be excluded pursuant to section(s) of the Act and explain why these facts support your argument that an exclusion is authorized or mandated.

exclusion that is not for a minimum mandatory period.

2. The length of the exclusion is reasonable, in the case of any

II. '	Whether the length of the exclusion is reasonable.
	A. Is the exclusion for a minimum mandatory period of five years (applicable only in cases where the exclusion is imposed pursuant to section 1128(a) of the Act)?
	Yes No
	Is it for a minimum mandatory period of three years (applicable only in cases where the exclusion is imposed pursuant to section 1128(b) of the Act)?
	Yes No
	B. If the exclusion is for other than a minimum mandatory period, state each regulatory aggravating factor that you contend is present in the case. Cite the relevant regulatory section that states each aggravating factor that you contend is present. Describe the facts that support the presence of each aggravating factor or factors. Cite to each exhibit that supports your contentions and explain why it does.

In doing so, cite to each exhibit that supports your statement of facts and explain

why it does.

	factor that states each that suppor	e are mitigating factors present in this case, state each mitigating you contend is present. Cite the relevant regulatory section that a mitigating factor that you contend is present. Describe the facts rt the presence of each mitigating factor or factors. Cite to each at supports your contentions and explain why it does.
	mitigating	n why the facts that relate to the aggravating and, if any, factors that you contend are present support a finding that the he exclusion is reasonable.
III. D case?	o you belie	eve that an in-person hearing is necessary to decide your
	Yes	No
Do yo	u have any	testimony that you wish to offer at an in-person hearing?
	Yes	No

If you have testimony that you wish to offer, provide the following:		
1. The name of each witness whose testimony you want to offer.		
2. A description of each witness' proposed testimony and an explanation of why you believe that the testimony relates to any of the arguments you want to offer in connection with items I, II, and III.		
3. An explanation of why the proposed testimony does not duplicate something that is already stated in an exhibit.		

IV. Do you have any other arguments you wish to make?		
Yes No		
If so, please state them here. explain why they do.	State which exhibits support your argument(s) and	
	Counsel for the I.G.	
	Date:	