## United States Department of Health and Human Services

## DEPARTMENTAL APPEALS BOARD

Civil Remedies Division

In the Case of:	)		
	) Date:		
Petitioner,	) ) Docket No. C-		
- V	)		
The Inspector General.	)		
The Inspector General (I.G.) arg	AL BRIEF OF PETITIONER  ues that he must exclude you from participating in ederally-funded health care programs for at least		
years, because you were	convicted of a felony that is described at section Act and because there is evidence that justifies		
The issues in this case are wheth	er:		
1. The I.G. is required to exclude you; and			
2. The length of the exclureasonable.	usion that the I.G. determined to impose is		
I. Were you convicted of a felo	ony occurring after August 21, 1996?		
Yes No			

Do you agree 1996?	that you were convicted of a felony occurring after August 21,			
Yes _	No			
	ee, explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support your explain why they do.			
II. Were you	convicted of an offense for which exclusion is required?			
•				
_	es that your conviction related to the unlawful manufacture, prescription, or dispensing of a controlled substance.			
Do you disagn	ree with the I.G.'s argument?			
Yes	No			
	ee, explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support your explain why they do.			
III. Is the length of your exclusion unreasonable? The I.G. argues that there is evidence relating to certain aggravating factors that he says supports the length of the exclusion.				
A. Do you disagree with the I.G.'s identification of aggravating factors in your case?				
	Yes No			

	believe are	egree, state which of the aggravating factors cited by the I.G. you not present. Explain why you disagree. State which exhibits ur argument(s) and explain why they do.
	present in justified b	agree that there is/are an aggravating factor or factors your case but believe that the exclusion is nonetheless not y the presence of that factor or factors, explain your reasons rgument. State which exhibits support your arguments and by they do.
	support(s) question,	believe that a mitigating factor or factors exist(s) that reducing the length of your exclusion (before answering this read the list of potentially mitigating factors that is set forth R. § 1001.102(c))?
	If you believed and explain reducing the	No eve that a mitigating factor or factors exist(s), state what they are n why the presence of the factor or factors should support ne length of your exclusion. State which exhibits support your s) and explain why they do.
IV. I case?	•	eve that an in-person hearing is necessary to decide your  No

Do you have any testimony that you wish to offer at an in-person hearing?				
Yes No				
If you have testimony that you wish to offer, provide the following:				
1. The name of each witness whose testimony you want to offer.				
2. A description of each witness' proposed testimony and an explanation of why you believe that the testimony relates to any of the arguments you want to offer in connection with items I, II, and III.				
3. An explanation of why the proposed testimony does not duplicate something that is already stated in an exhibit.				

•	I have any other arguments you wish to make? If so State which exhibits support your argument(s) and exp	· •
	Petitioner or Petitioner's Repres	sentative
	Date:	