## **Department of Health and Human Services**

## DEPARTMENTAL APPEALS BOARD

## **Civil Remedies Division**

Center for Tobacco Products,

Complainant

v.

Palmetto Grocery and Grill, LLC / Scott Haselden d/b/a Palmetto Grocery and Grill,

Respondent.

Docket No. C-15-542 FDA Docket No. FDA-2014-H-2061

Decision No. CR3580

Date: January 23, 2015

## INITIAL DECISION AND DEFAULT JUDGMENT

The Center for Tobacco Products (CTP) filed an Administrative Complaint (Complaint) against Respondent, Palmetto Grocery and Grill, LLC / Scott Haselden d/b/a Palmetto Grocery and Grill, that alleges facts and legal authority sufficient to justify the imposition of a \$250 civil money penalty. Respondent did not answer the Complaint, nor did Respondent request an extension of time within which to file an answer. Therefore, I enter a default judgment against Respondent and assess a \$250 civil money penalty.

CTP began this case by serving the Complaint on Respondent and filing a copy of the Complaint with the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Division of Dockets Management. The Complaint alleges that Respondent impermissibly used a self-service display in a non-exempt location, thereby violating the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act), 21 U.S.C. § 301 *et seq.*, and its implementing regulations, Cigarettes and Smokeless Tobacco, 21 C.F.R. pt. 1140 (2013). CTP seeks a \$250 civil money penalty.

On December 5, 2014, CTP served the Complaint on Respondent by United Parcel Service, pursuant to 21 C.F.R. §§ 17.5 and 17.7. In the Complaint and accompanying cover letter, CTP explained that within 30 days, Respondent should pay the proposed penalty, file an answer, or request an extension of time within which to file an answer. CTP warned Respondent that if it failed to take one of these actions within 30 days, an Administrative Law Judge could, pursuant to 21 C.F.R. § 17.11, issue an initial decision ordering Respondent to pay the full amount of the proposed penalty.

Respondent has not filed an answer within the time provided by regulation, nor has it requested an extension. Pursuant to 21 C.F.R. § 17.11(a), I am required to "assume the facts alleged in the [C]omplaint to be true" and, if those facts establish liability under the Act, issue a default judgment and impose a civil money penalty. Accordingly, I must determine whether the allegations in the Complaint establish violations of the Act.

Specifically, CTP alleges the following facts in its Complaint:

- Respondent owns Palmetto Grocery and Grill, an establishment that sells tobacco products and is located at 2192 Ebenezer Road, Florence, South Carolina 29501.
  Complaint ¶ 2.
- During an inspection of Respondent's establishment on March 21, 2014, an FDA-commissioned inspector "observed cans of Seneca smokeless tobacco for sale from a customer accessible display located next to the sales counter." The inspector further observed that "[t]he establishment was open to the general public during business hours." Complaint ¶ 9.
- On May 8, 2014, CTP issued a Warning Letter to Respondent regarding the inspector's observations from March 21, 2014. The letter explained that the observations constituted a violation of regulations found at 21 C.F.R. § 1140.16(c), and that the named violation was not necessarily intended to be an exhaustive list of all violations at the establishment. The Warning Letter went on to state that if Respondent failed to correct the violation, regulatory action by the FDA or a civil money penalty action could occur and that Respondent is responsible for complying with the law. Complaint ¶ 9.
- On May 13, 2014, Scott Haselden, the owner of Palmetto Grocery and Grill, responded to the Warning Letter by telephone. "Mr. Haselden stated that he removed the smokeless tobacco to an area of the establishment where customers could not access the product. Mr. Haselden also stated that all other regulated tobacco products were behind the sales counter." Complaint ¶ 10.
- During a subsequent inspection of Respondent's establishment on June 10, 2014, an FDA-commissioned inspector "observed Seneca smokeless tobacco for sale

from a customer accessible display located on a wall above the sales counter. During the inspection, the cashier informed the inspector that minors are allowed to enter the establishment." Complaint ¶ 1.

These facts establish that Respondent is liable under the Act. The Act prohibits misbranding of a tobacco product. 21 U.S.C. § 331(k). A tobacco product is misbranded if distributed or offered for sale in any state in violation of regulations issued under section 906(d) of the Act. 21 U.S.C. § 387c(a)(7)(B); 21 C.F.R. § 1140.1(b). The Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services issued the regulations at 21 C.F.R. pt. 1140 under section 906(d) of the Act. 21 U.S.C. § 387a-1; *see* 21 U.S.C. § 387f(d)(1); 75 Fed. Reg. 13,225, 13,229 (Mar. 19, 2010). A self-service display is a method of sale expressly prohibited by the regulations unless the self-service display is located in a facility in which the retailer ensures that no person younger than 18 years of age is present, or permitted to enter, at any time. 21 C.F.R. § 1140.16(c)(2)(ii).

Taking the above alleged facts as true, on March 21, 2014, and again on June 10, 2014, Respondent violated 21 C.F.R. § 1140.16(c) by using a self-service display to sell tobacco products in a facility that was open to minors. Therefore, Respondent's actions constitute violations of law that merit a civil money penalty.

CTP has requested a fine of \$250, which is a permissible fine under the regulations. 21 C.F.R. § 17.2. I thus find that a civil money penalty of \$250 is warranted and so order one imposed.

/s/

Steven T. Kessel Administrative Law Judge