

Exhibit 300 (BY2008)

PART ONE	
OVERVIEW	
1. Date of Submission:	2007-02-05
2. Agency:	009
3. Bureau:	70
4. Investment Name:	ACF Expanded Federal Parent Locator Service (OCSE)
5. UPI:	009-70-01-07-01-1000-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008?	
Mixed Life Cycle	
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?	
FY2001 or earlier	
8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap.	
<p>FPLS serves the child support program mission by being a single source for collecting & sharing data on individuals' employment & child support status. FPLS consists of two key components: National Directory of New Hires (NDNH), a database of employment data & Federal Case Registry of Child Support Orders (FCR), a database of child support cases & orders. FPLS plays a crucial role in helping OCSE fulfill its mission in assisting States to secure the financial support upon which many children depend. FPLS data are used by State CSE agencies to locate noncustodial parents & increase collections. Each year, FPLS returns employment data to States on approximately 4.5 million noncustodial parents (NCPs) & putative fathers (PFs), which can result in the issuance of income-withholding orders. OCSE estimates that these matches result in annual child support collections of over \$440 million nationwide. In FY2005, the FPLS Federal Offset Program collected over \$1.58 billion in delinquent child support by offsetting tax refund & administrative payments to delinquent obligors. State Department is currently denying over 80 passports per day via the FPLS Passport Denial Program, resulting in \$15.9 million in payments in FY2005. In FY2005, account matches identified via the FPLS Multistate Financial Institution Data Match resulted in \$116.6 million in collections. FPLS fully supports achievement of many HHS, ACF & OCSE goals (G), including: Locating noncustodial parents & their assets to establish, modify & enforce child support orders, & supporting SSA on Prescription Drug Match (HHS Top 20 Objectives). Comparing data in FCR with data in NDNH, comparing data in FCR with data maintained by DoD's DMDC, & analyzing the feasibility of matching to provide States with information about potential health coverage available to children receiving IV-D services (HHS G3; ACF G2-3; & OCSE G3). Transmitting locate requests to external agencies, conducting matches between FCR & NDNH data, identifying location, income and assets of parents, & facilitating State-to-State communication to promote parental responsibility & expedite establishment of paternity & collection of child support (HHS G6-7; ACF G1-3; & OCSE G1-5). Comparing data in NDNH & FCR with data maintained by authorized Federal & State agencies to prevent & recoup erroneous payments in public assistance & benefits programs & to collect debts owed to the Federal government (HHS G8; OCSE G5).</p>	
9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	
yes	
9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	
2006-06-23	
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	
yes	
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.	
no	
12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	
yes	
12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	
no	
13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?	

yes	
<i>If yes, select the initiatives that apply:</i>	
Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives	
Competitive Sourcing	
Eliminating Improper Payments	
Expanded E-Government	
Housing and Urban Development Management and Performance	
Human Capital	
13.a. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)?	
Support of Eliminating Improper Payments & Expanded E-Gov:Generate more than \$3 billion in savings in State & Federal programs by preventing & recouping improper payments & collecting Gov't debt.Promotes expanded e-gov at Federal & State levels via electronic transmission of employment data & child support, & real-time access to child support case & financial data, & promoting EFT/EDI.Support Competitive Sourcing thru performance-based contracts.See Performance Section for additional information/results.	
14. Does this investment support a program assessed using OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?	
yes	
14.a. If yes, does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?	
no	
14.b. If yes, what is the name of the PART program assessed by OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool?	
2005: ACF - Child Support Enforcement	
14.c. If yes, what PART rating did it receive?	
Effective	
15. Is this investment for information technology (See section 53 for definition)?	
yes	
16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?	
Level 3	
17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)	
(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment	
18. Is this investment identified as high risk on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's high risk memo)?	
no	
19. Is this a financial management system?	
no	
20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)	
Hardware	4
Software	5
Services	91
21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?	
n/a	
22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions.	
<i>Name</i>	
David Jenkins	
<i>Phone Number</i>	
202-690-5802	

Title
 Director, Division of Operations

Email
 david.jenkins@acf.hhs.gov

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?
 yes

SUMMARY OF SPEND

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated Government FTE Cost, and should be excluded from the amounts shown for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 & Earlier	PY	CY	BY
	-2005	2006	2007	2008
Planning Budgetary Resources	0.000	0.884	0.000	0.000
Acquisition Budgetary Resources	1.026	0.935	2.742	2.006
Maintenance Budgetary Resources	13.720	15.502	16.639	17.872
Government FTE Cost	2.191	2.301	2.416	2.537
# of FTEs	16	16	16	16

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

2.a. If "yes," how many and in what year?

Not applicable.

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

Beginning in February 2006, an Independent Baseline Validation of FPLS IT costs was conducted. The FPLS spending plan that was submitted in the FY2007 Exhibit 300 submission was reviewed by both an independent contractor to the FPLS, and by representatives from the HHS CPIC team. It was determined that many initiatives classified as DME under the previous baseline were actually program analysis projects or O&M enhancements. These initiatives have been reclassified as appropriate. In addition, the development lifecycle has been adjusted to match the scope of the current FPLS development initiative, as reflected in the approved Continuous Service Improvement (CSI) business case. Also, development and future maintenance costs related to legislative mandates have been updated to reflect initiatives that were passed as part of the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005. The new FPLS baseline was approved by the HHS ITIRB in May 2006.

PERFORMANCE

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals

must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use Table 1 below for reporting performance goals and measures for all non-IT investments and for existing IT investments that were initiated prior to FY 2005. The table can be extended to include measures for years beyond FY 2006.

Table 1

	Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Measure	Actual/baseline (from Previous Year)	Planned Performance Metric (Target)	Performance Metric Results (Actual)
1	2004	HHS: Achieve excellence in management practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	The ratio of the sum of Total FPLS-Attributable Child Support Collections to IT Costs.	FY2002 FPLS Benefit-to-Cost Ratio: 85:1	FY2004: 100:1	FY2004: 107:1
2	2005	HHS: Achieve excellence in management practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	The ratio of the sum of Total FPLS-Attributable Child Support Collections to IT Costs.	FY2002 FPLS Benefit-to-Cost Ratio: 85:1	FY2005: 88:1	FY2005: 146:1
3	2006	HHS: Achieve excellence in management practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	The ratio of the sum of Total FPLS-Attributable Child Support Collections to IT Costs.	FY2002 FPLS Benefit-to-Cost Ratio: 85:1	FY2006: 75:1	FY2006: TBD
4	2007	HHS: Achieve excellence in management practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	The ratio of the sum of Total FPLS-Attributable Child Support Collections to IT Costs.	FY2002 FPLS Benefit-to-Cost Ratio: 85:1	FY2007: 75:1	FY2007: TBD
6	2004	HHS: Improve Stability and Healthy Development of our Nation's Children and Youth: Increase the Involvement and Financial Support of Non-Custodial Parents in the Lives of Their Children. OCSE Goal 7, Obj 7.3	The sum of Total FPLS-Attributable Child Support Collections (Federal Offset, MSFIDM, Passport Denial, and NDNH-Attributable Collections).	FY2002 FPLS-attributable collections: \$1.88 Billion	FY2004: \$2.060 Billion	FY2004: \$2.005 Billion
7	2005	HHS: Improve Stability and	The sum of Total FPLS-Attributable	FY2002 FPLS-attributable	FY2005: \$2.015 Billion	FY2005: \$2.156 billion

		Healthy Development of our Nation's Children and Youth: Increase the Involvement and Financial Support of Non-Custodial Parents in the Lives of Their Children. OCSE Goal 7, Obj 7.3	Child Support Collections (Federal Offset, MSFIDM, Passport Denial, and NDNH-Attributable Collections).	collections: \$1.88 Billion		
8	2006	HHS: Improve Stability and Healthy Development of our Nation's Children and Youth: Increase the Involvement and Financial Support of Non-Custodial Parents in the Lives of Their Children. OCSE Goal 7, Obj 7.3	The sum of Total FPLS-Attributable Child Support Collections (Federal Offset, MSFIDM, Passport Denial, and NDNH-Attributable Collections).	FY2002 FPLS-attributable collections: \$1.88 Billion	FY2006: \$2.167 Billion	FY2006: TBD
9	2007	HHS: Improve Stability and Healthy Development of our Nation's Children and Youth: Increase the Involvement and Financial Support of Non-Custodial Parents in the Lives of Their Children. OCSE Goal 7, Obj 7.3	The sum of Total FPLS-Attributable Child Support Collections (Federal Offset, MSFIDM, Passport Denial, and NDNH-Attributable Collections).	FY2002 FPLS-attributable collections: \$1.88 Billion	FY2007: \$2.178 Billion	FY2007: TBD
11	2004	HHS: Achieve excellence in management practices. Enhance the use of information technology in service delivery and record keeping OCSE: The IV-D Program Will be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	Total number of NDNH locate records available for matching.	FY2002 FPLS Locate Data Available for Matching: 393.8 million records	FY2004: 5% increase from previous year	FY2004: 6.5% increase from previous year
12	2005	HHS: Achieve excellence in management practices. Enhance the use of information	Total number of NDNH locate records available for matching.	FY2002 FPLS Locate Data Available for Matching: 393.8 million records	FY2005: 10% increase from previous year	FY2005: 16.0% increase from previous year

		technology in service delivery and record keeping OCSE: The IV-D Program Will be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations				
13	2006	HHS: Achieve excellence in management practices. Enhance the use of information technology in service delivery and record keeping OCSE: The IV-D Program Will be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	Total number of NDNH locate records available for matching.	FY2002 FPLS Locate Data Available for Matching: 393.8 million records	FY2006: 0.5% increase from previous year	FY2006: TBD
14	2007	HHS: Achieve excellence in management practices. Enhance the use of information technology in service delivery and record keeping OCSE: The IV-D Program Will be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	Total number of NDNH locate records available for matching.	FY2002 FPLS Locate Data Available for Matching: 393.8 million records	FY2007: 0.5% increase from previous year	FY2007: TBD
16	2004	HHS: Improve Stability and Healthy Development of our Nation's Children and Youth: Increase the Involvement and Financial Support of Non-Custodial Parents in the Lives of Their Children. OCSE Goal 7, Obj 7.3	Total Number of FPLS Locates and Enforcement Actions on Behalf of States	FY2002 Total FPLS Locates and Enforcement Actions on Behalf of States: 7.6 million	FY2004: 8.20 Million	FY2004: 13.4 Million
17	2005	HHS: Improve Stability and Healthy Development of our Nation's Children and Youth: Increase the Involvement and Financial Support of Non-Custodial Parents in the Lives of	Total Number of FPLS Locates and Enforcement Actions on Behalf of States	FY2002 Total FPLS Locates and Enforcement Actions on Behalf of States: 7.6 million	FY2005: 13.4 Million	FY2005: 13.7 million

		Their Children. OCSE Goal 7, Obj 7.3				
18	2006	HHS: Improve Stability and Healthy Development of our Nation's Children and Youth: Increase the Involvement and Financial Support of Non-Custodial Parents in the Lives of Their Children. OCSE Goal 7, Obj 7.3	Total Number of FPLS Locates and Enforcement Actions on Behalf of States	FY2002 Total FPLS Locates and Enforcement Actions on Behalf of States: 7.6 million	FY2006: 13.7 Million	FY2006: TBD
19	2007	HHS: Improve Stability and Healthy Development of our Nation's Children and Youth: Increase the Involvement and Financial Support of Non-Custodial Parents in the Lives of Their Children. OCSE Goal 7, Obj 7.3	Total Number of FPLS Locates and Enforcement Actions on Behalf of States	FY2002 Total FPLS Locates and Enforcement Actions on Behalf of States: 7.6 million	FY2007: 13.7 Million	FY2007: TBD
21	2004	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices: Enhance the use of information technology in service delivery and record keeping OCSE: The IV-D Program Will Be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	Average percentage of records and files (QW, W4, UI, FCR, Federal Offset, MSFIDM, and CSENet) processed within target time frames in a four-quarter period.	FY2003 Percent of FPLS Submissions and Transactions Processed within Targets: 99.2%	FY2004: 99.5%	FY2004: 99.7%
22	2005	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices: Enhance the use of information technology in service delivery and record keeping OCSE: The IV-D Program Will Be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	Average percentage of records and files (QW, W4, UI, FCR, Federal Offset, MSFIDM, and CSENet) processed within target time frames in a four-quarter period.	FY2003 Percent of FPLS Submissions and Transactions Processed within Targets: 99.2%	FY2005: 99.5%	FY2005: 99.7%
23	2006	HHS: Achieve Excellence in	Average percentage of records and files	FY2003 Percent of FPLS Submissions	FY2006: 99.5%	FY2006: TBD

		Management Practices: Enhance the use of information technology in service delivery and record keeping OCSE: The IV-D Program Will Be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	(QW, W4, UI, FCR, Federal Offset, MSFIDM, and CSENet) processed within target time frames in a four-quarter period.	and Transactions Processed within Targets: 99.2%		
24	2007	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices: Enhance the use of information technology in service delivery and record keeping OCSE: The IV-D Program Will Be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	Average percentage of records and files (QW, W4, UI, FCR, Federal Offset, MSFIDM, and CSENet) processed within target time frames in a four-quarter period.	FY2003 Percent of FPLS Submissions and Transactions Processed within Targets: 99.2%	FY2007: 99.5%	FY2007: TBD
26	2004	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices: Enhance the use of information technology in service delivery and record keeping OCSE: The IV-D Program Will Be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	Average percentage of time MSER and IRG systems are available.	FY2003 Percent of Time Customer Support Systems Available as Scheduled: 99.4%	FY2004: 99.5%	FY2004: 100%
27	2005	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices: Enhance the use of information technology in service delivery and record keeping OCSE: The IV-D Program Will Be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	Average percentage of time MSER and IRG systems are available.	FY2003 Percent of Time Customer Support Systems Available as Scheduled: 99.4%	FY2005: 99.5%	FY2005: 100%
28	2006	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices: Enhance the use of information technology in service delivery and record	Average percentage of time MSER and IRG systems are available.	FY2003 Percent of Time Customer Support Systems Available as Scheduled: 99.4%	FY2006: 99.5%	FY2006: TBD

		keeping OCSE: The IV-D Program Will Be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations				
29	2007	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices: Enhance the use of information technology in service delivery and record keeping OCSE: The IV-D Program Will Be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	Average percentage of time MSER and IRG systems are available.	FY2003 Percent of Time Customer Support Systems Available as Scheduled: 99.4%	FY2007: 99.5%	FY2007: TBD
31	2004	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive: Increase Personal Responsibility in Public Assistance and Benefits Programs	The total amount of Department of Education collections/savings achieved through use of NDNH data.	FY2002 Department of Education (ED) Collections/Savings: \$726.7 Million	FY2004: \$1.17 Billion	FY2004: \$2.77 Billion
32	2005	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive: Increase Personal Responsibility in Public Assistance and Benefits Programs	The total amount of Department of Education collections/savings achieved through use of NDNH data.	FY2002 Department of Education (ED) Collections/Savings: \$726.7 Million	FY2005: \$1.17 Billion	FY2005: \$2.05 Billion
33	2006	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive: Increase Personal Responsibility in Public Assistance and Benefits Programs	The total amount of Department of Education collections/savings achieved through use of NDNH data.	FY2002 Department of Education (ED) Collections/Savings: \$726.7 Million	FY2006: \$1.17 Billion	FY2006: TBD
34	2007	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive: Increase Personal	The total amount of Department of Education collections/savings achieved through use of NDNH data.	FY2002 Department of Education (ED) Collections/Savings: \$726.7 Million	FY2007: \$1.17 Billion	FY2007: TBD

		Responsibility in Public Assistance and Benefits Programs				
36	2003	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive: Increase Personal Responsibility in Public Assistance and Benefits Programs	The total amount of SSA collections/ achieved through use of NDNH data.	FY2002 SSA Collections/Savings: \$199 Million	FY2003: \$204 Million	FY2003: \$371 Million
37	2004	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive: Increase Personal Responsibility in Public Assistance and Benefits Programs	The total amount of SSA collections/savings achieved through use of NDNH data.	FY2002 SSA Collections/Savings: \$199 Million	FY2004: \$380 Million	FY2004: No information provided
38	2005	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive: Increase Personal Responsibility in Public Assistance and Benefits Programs	The total amount of SSA collections/savings achieved through use of NDNH data.	FY2002 SSA Collections/Savings: \$199 Million	FY2005: \$390 Million	FY2005: \$403 Million
39	2006	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive: Increase Personal Responsibility in Public Assistance and Benefits Programs	The total amount of SSA collections/savings achieved through use of NDNH data.	FY2002 SSA Collections/Savings: \$199 Million	FY2006: \$400 Million	FY2006: TBD
40	2007	HHS: Achieve Excellence in Management Practices OCSE: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive: Increase Personal Responsibility in Public Assistance and Benefits	The total amount of SSA collections/savings achieved through use of NDNH data.	FY2002 SSA Collections/Savings: \$199 Million	FY2007: \$410 Million	FY2007: TBD

		Programs				
--	--	----------	--	--	--	--

All new IT investments initiated for FY 2005 and beyond must use Table 2 and are required to use the FEA Performance Reference Model (PRM). Please use Table 2 and the PRM to identify the performance information pertaining to this major IT investment. Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for at least four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov.

Table 2

	Fiscal Year	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
1	2006	Mission and Business Results	Social Services	Increased FPLS-Attributable Collections from New Centralized Enforcement Actions Goal Supported: All Children In IV-D Cases Receive Financial Support as Ordered	FY2005 NDNH-Attributable Collections: \$1.715 Billion	FY2006: 0.2% Increase from previous year	FY2006: TBD
2	2007	Mission and Business Results	Social Services	Increased FPLS-Attributable Collections from New Centralized Enforcement Actions Goal Supported: All Children In IV-D Cases Receive Financial Support as Ordered	FY2005 NDNH-Attributable Collections: \$1.715 Billion	FY2007: 1% Increase from previous year	FY2007: TBD
5	2006	Customer Results	Access	Government-Wide Cost Savings Attributable to Increased Access to FPLS Data Goal Supported: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	FY2003 Total Savings: \$1.515 Billion	FY2006: 10% Increase from previous year	FY2006: TBD
6	2007	Customer Results	Access	Government-Wide Cost Savings Attributable to Increased Access to FPLS Data Goal Supported: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	FY2003 Total Savings: \$1.515 Billion	FY2007: 5% Increase from previous year	FY2007: TBD
9	2006	Technology	External Data Sharing	Increase in Locate Information sent to States due to Providing Health Insurance Information to	FY2005: 13.7 M Locates and Enforcement Actions on Behalf of States	FY2006: 5% Increase from Previous Year in FPLS Locates and Enforcement Actions	FY2006: TBD

				State Child Support Agencies Goal Supported: All Children in IV-D Cases have Medical Coverage			
10	2007	Technology	External Data Sharing	Increase in Locate Information sent to States due to Providing Health Insurance Information to State Child Support Agencies Goal Supported: All Children in IV-D Cases have Medical Coverage	FY2005: 13.7 M Locates and Enforcement Actions on Behalf of States	FY2007: Maintain FY2006 Levels of FPLS locate information.	FY2007: TBD
13	2006	Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Percentage of interstate cases that are accessible through real-time access. Goal Supported: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	FY2005 Percent of Interstate Cases Accessible to Out-of-State Caseworkers Through Real-Time Access: 0%	FY2006: 5% of Interstate Cases Accessible to Out-of-State Caseworkers Through Real-Time Access	FY2006: TBD
14	2007	Processes and Activities	Efficiency	Percentage of interstate cases that are accessible through real-time access. Goal Supported: The IV-D Program will be Efficient and Responsive in its Operations	FY2005 Percent of Interstate Cases Accessible to Out-of-State Caseworkers Through Real-Time Access: 0%	FY2007: 10% of Interstate Cases Accessible to Out-of-State Caseworkers Through Real-Time Access	FY2007: TBD

EA

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

1.a. If no, please explain why?

Not applicable.

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

yes

2.a. If yes, provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

ACF Expanded Federal Parent Locator Service (OCSE)

2.b. If no, please explain why?

Not applicable.

3. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components,

please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

Component: Use existing SRM Components or identify as NEW. A NEW component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

Reused Name and UPI: A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

Internal or External Reuse?: Internal reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. External reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

Funding Percentage: Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

	Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	Service Type	Component	Reused Component Name	Reused UPI	Internal or External Reuse?	Funding %
1	Passport Denial	Denying passport renewal	Routing and Scheduling	Inbound Correspondence Management			No Reuse	0
2	Tax Offset	Intercepting tax refund	Routing and Scheduling	Inbound Correspondence Management			No Reuse	5
3	Administrative Offset	Intercepting administrative payments	Routing and Scheduling	Inbound Correspondence Management			No Reuse	0
4	Asset Location	Locating assets	Search	Query			No Reuse	1
5	Demographic Location	Locating individual demographic information (includes whereabouts, income, benefits, identifying information)	Search	Query			No Reuse	9
6	Case Location	Locating child support case information	Search	Query			No Reuse	1
7	Employment Location	Locating employer demographic information	Search	Query			No Reuse	9
8	Electronic Data Exchange	Enabling electronic data exchange	Data Management	Data Exchange			No Reuse	5
9	Interstate Case Reconciliation	Facilitating interstate case reconciliation	Records Management	Record Linking / Association			No Reuse	1
10	Reference Data Publication	Publishing interagency child support reference data	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing			No Reuse	0
11	Research	Providing data	Reporting	Ad Hoc			No Reuse	0

Support	to researchers for analysis						
---------	-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

4. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component: Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.

Service Specification: In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

	SRM Component	Service Area	Service Category	Service Standard	Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name)
1	Inbound Correspondence Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	VSAM version 1.6
2	Query	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	IBM DB2
3	Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	SuSE Linux Enterprise Server 9
4	Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle version 7.3.4
5	Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle version 9i
6	Inbound Correspondence Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	IBM z/OS
7	Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	Windows NT Server
8	Inbound Correspondence Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	CONNECT:DIRECT/Secure +
9	Data Exchange	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	Hyper Text Markup Language (HTML)
10	Information Sharing	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering	Hyper Text Markup Language (HTML)
11	Data Exchange	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	Java Server Pages (JSP)
12	Data Exchange	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Java Database Connectivity
13	Record Linking / Association	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	VSAM version 1.6
14	Ad Hoc	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	VSAM version 1.6
15	Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	MSIE version 6
16	Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Netscape version 7.2
17	Data Exchange	Service Access	Access	Other Electronic	QUICK Web Services

18	Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	SSANet Frame Relay
19	Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	OCSENet Frame Relay
20	Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	IRG/FIRG/SPS WWW resource
21	Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	HTTP access for web info
22	Data Exchange	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	Java 2 (J2EE) Platform
23	Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	Eclipse IDE
24	Data Exchange	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	WSDL (Web Service Description Language)
25	Query	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	SSA National Computer Center External Hosting Services
26	Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	Apache HTTP Server
27	Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	Java Servlets (JSR 53)
28	Data Exchange	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	XML for Analysis
29	Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	JavaScript

5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

yes

5.a. If yes, please describe.

The FPLS facilitates horizontal (cross-Federal) and vertical (Federal, State and local government) integration of IT resources and establishes a line of sight contribution for the IT resources to support the mission and program performance. The FPLS leverages existing Federal agency components (SSA's National Computer Center, Financial Management Service Debt Collection, National Institutes of Health Program Support Center) to support its deliveries of service. Since 1996, OCSE has maintained a strong working relationship with SSA founded in the two agencies' common legislative and business needs; as a result, the two agencies have successfully shared data and processes across agencies. Specifically, OCSE uses the SSA National Computer Center to house the FPLS, using SSA information and applications to improve the quality of its data. In turn, based on legislative authority, SSA uses the National Directory of New Hires (NDNH) data for multiple purposes resulting in significant savings and cost avoidance to SSA programs. The FPLS leverages existing Federal agency components to support its deliveries of service. In addition to data center support, FPLS leverages: the SSANet for batch file exchanges; the SSA E-Data operation for batch file exchanges via Internet; the SSA SVES for benefit information and the SSA SSN verification routines. The FPLS also leverages the FMS TOPS Program for offset of Federal payments for outstanding debts, and PSC capabilities for the transfer of funds from FMS to States. On an annual basis, OCSE, as a part of its operations and maintenance of existing business applications, assesses the viability and usefulness of the application components. Decisions to enhance and modernize the applications are based on the impact assessment of changing requirements and related evaluations of solutions that leverage resources across government organizations and are consistent with the FEA.

6. Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system?

no

6.a.1. If yes, provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services).

Not applicable.

PART TWO

RISK

You should perform a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of the investment's life-cycle, develop a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

1.a. If yes, what is the date of the plan?

2004-06-01

1.b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

no

1.c. If yes, describe any significant changes:

Not applicable.

3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule: (O&M investments do NOT need to answer.)

In order to address and quantify the various risks of the alternatives under consideration, sensitivity analyses were conducted to determine the effects on total costs and benefits based on changes in individual component cost variables. These analyses were considered when determining the final risk-adjusted return for each alternative. Cost and benefit estimates were derived using current contract prices for similar work, and current benefit statistics reported by OCSE. In addition, each alternative was measured for mission, schedule, security, risk and enterprise compliance. Contracts are structured into modules or segments, each with unique deliverables and incentives, and with progress reported using an earned value approach. Using this approach to contracting, the Government assumes minimal risk for achievement of cost, schedule and performance goals.

COST & SCHEDULE

Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard 748?

yes

2.a. What is the Planned Value (PV)?

3.013

2.b. What is the Earned Value (EV)?

2.961

2.c. What is the actual cost of work performed (AC)?

2.978

What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Performance information?

Contractor and Government

2.e. As of date:

2006-12-10

3. What is the calculated Schedule Performance Index (SPI= EV/PV)?

0.98

4. What is the schedule variance (SV = EV-PV)?

-0.052

5. What is the calculated Cost Performance Index (CPI = EV/AC)?

0.99

6. What is the cost variance (CV = EV-AC)?

-0.017

7. Is the CV or SV greater than 10%?

no

<i>7.b. If yes, explain the variance.</i>
Not applicable.
<i>7.c. If yes, what corrective actions are being taken?</i>
Not applicable.
<i>7.d. What is most current Estimate at Completion?</i>
9.756
<i>8. Have any significant changes been made to the baseline during the past fiscal year?</i>
no