

**COMPUTER MATCHING AGREEMENT
BETWEEN
THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES
AND
THE PEACE CORPS
FOR
VERIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR MINIMUM ESSENTIAL COVERAGE
UNDER THE PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT
THROUGH A PEACE CORPS HEALTH BENEFIT PLAN**

CMS Computer Matching Agreement No. 2023-15
Department of Health and Human Services No. 2308

Effective Date — January 1, 2024

Expiration Date — June 30, 2025

I. PURPOSE, LEGAL AUTHORITIES, AND DEFINITIONS

A. Purpose

This Computer Matching Agreement (Agreement) establishes the terms, conditions, safeguards, and procedures under which the Peace Corps will provide information to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). The terms and conditions of this Agreement will be carried out by authorized officers, employees, and contractors of the Peace Corps and CMS. The Peace Corps and CMS are each a "Party" and collectively, "the Parties."

The Peace Corps has assisted Returned Peace Corps Volunteers (RPCVs) to receive health insurance policies since the mid-1990s. At the end of RPCVs' return from service, the Peace Corps pays for one month of basic health insurance known as AfterCorps. RPCVs have the option to purchase two additional months of AfterCorps coverage at their own expense. When their AfterCorps coverage expires, RPCVs are responsible for obtaining their own health insurance coverage.

Under the authority of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Public Law No.111-148), as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (Public Law No. 111-152) (collectively, the PPACA) and the implementing regulations, CMS, in its capacity as operator of the Federally-Facilitated Exchange (FFE) and the Federal enrollment and eligibility platform, and state Administering Entities (AEs) will use the RPCV Peace Corps' eligibility information to verify an Applicant's or Enrollee's eligibility for Minimum Essential Coverage (MEC) that satisfies the PPACA shared responsibility provision through a Peace Corps Health Benefits Plan. Eligibility for a Peace Corps Health Benefits Plan which meets

affordability standards usually precludes eligibility for financial assistance. Such assistance includes advance payments of the premium tax credit (APTC) or cost sharing reductions (CSRs) that help consumers pay for private insurance coverage under a Qualified Health Plan (QHP) offered through the Federally-Facilitated Exchange or a State-Based Exchange¹.

The Privacy Act, as amended (in particular, by the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988 (CMPPA) (Public Law 100-503)) (5 U.S.C. § 552a)), requires that parties participating in a matching program execute a written agreement specifying the terms and conditions under which the matching program will be conducted. CMS has determined that Returned eligibility checks conducted by AEs using the data provided to CMS by the Peace Corps constitutes a "matching program" as defined at 5 U.S.C. § 552a(a)(8).

The responsible component for CMS is the Center for Consumer Information & Insurance Oversight (CCIIO). HHS/CMS is the Recipient Agency and will be responsible for publishing the Federal Register (FR) notice required by 5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(12). The Peace Corps is the Source Agency in this matching program.

By entering into this Agreement, the Parties agree to comply with the terms and conditions set forth herein and the applicable law and implementing regulations. The terms and conditions of this Agreement will be carried out by Authorized Users.

B. Legal Authorities

The following statutes and regulations govern or provide legal authority for the uses of data, including disclosures, under this Agreement:

1. This Agreement is executed pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and the regulations and guidance promulgated thereunder, including Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-108 "Federal Agency Responsibilities for Review, Reporting, and Publication under the Privacy Act" published at 81 FR 94424 (Dec. 23, 2016), and OMB computer matching guidance pertaining to computer matching published at 54 FR 25818 (June 19, 1989). not to exceed 18 months, as the Data Integrity Boards of the participating agencies determine is appropriate. See 5 U.S.C. § 552a(o)(2)(C).

¹Since January 1, 2014, consumers in every state (including the District of Columbia) have had access to health insurance coverage through Health Insurance Marketplaces operated by State-based Exchanges (SBEs) or by the Federal government through the Federally-facilitated Exchange. SBEs have adopted various names for their programs (e.g., Kentucky's 'knect' or California's 'Covered California') but they are still Exchanges as established under sections 1311(b) and 1311(d)(1) or 1321(c)(1) of the PPACA.

2. Under the PPACA, certain individuals are eligible for certain financial assistance in paying for private insurance coverage under a QHP when enrollment is through an Exchange. Such assistance includes APTC, under 26 U.S.C. § 36B and section 1412 of the Affordable Care Act (42 U.S.C. § 18082), and CSRs under section 1402 of the Affordable Care Act (42 U.S.C. § 18071).
3. Section 36B(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (IRC), as added by section 1401 of the PPACA, provides that an Applicant is ineligible for APTC if he or she is eligible for MEC as defined in 26 U.S.C. § 5000A(f) other than MEC described in 26 U.S.C. § 5000A(f)(1)(C). Section 1402(f)(2) of the PPACA provides that an individual is ineligible for CSRs if the individual is not also eligible for the premium tax credit for the relevant month.
4. Section 1331 of the PPACA (42 U.S.C. § 18051) authorizes the Basic Health Program (BHP) and requires each state administering a BHP to verify whether an individual is eligible for certain MEC as defined in 26 U.S.C. § 5000A(f), such as a Peace Corps Health Benefits Plan (see implementing regulations at 45 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 155.320(d)).
5. Section 1411 of the PPACA requires the Secretary of HHS to establish a program to determine an individual's eligibility to purchase a QHP through an Exchange and to determine eligibility for APTC and CSRs. The system established by HHS under section 1411(c)(4)(B) and (d) to determine eligibility for APTC and CSRs requires an Exchange to verify whether an individual is eligible for certain eligible employer sponsored plans, such as a Peace Corps Health Benefits Plan (45 CFR § 155.320(d)), by the Peace Corps sending information to HHS/CMS for HHS/CMS to provide the response to the requesting AE through the Hub.
6. Under 45 CFR §§ 155.302 and 155.305, the eligibility determinations for APTC and CSRs may be made by an Exchange or HHS. CMS carries out the Exchange-related responsibilities of HHS (76 FR 4703 (Jan. 26, 2011)).
7. Under the authority of sections 1311, 1321, and 1411(a) of the PPACA, the Secretary of HHS adopted the regulation at 45 CFR § 155.330, which further addresses the requirements for an Exchange to re-determine an Applicant's eligibility for enrollment in a QHP through an Exchange and for APTC and CSRs during the Benefit Year based on certain types of changes in circumstances.
8. The Privacy Act, at 5 U.S.C. § 552a(b)(3), authorizes a Federal agency to disclose information about an individual that is maintained by an agency in a system of records, without the prior written consent of the individual, when the disclosure is pursuant to a routine use published in the applicable System of Records Notice

(SORN). The Peace Corps has published a routine use in its applicable SORN which authorizes the disclosures the Peace Corps makes to CMS under this Agreement. CMS does not disclose information from its applicable system of records to the Peace Corps as part of this Agreement.

9. 22 U.S.C. § 2504(e) limits eligibility for coverage under a Peace Corps health plan to individuals currently serving as Peace Corps Volunteers.

C. Definitions

For the purposes of this Agreement:

1. "Administering Entity" (AE) means a state Medicaid agency, Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), a Basic Health Program (BHP), or an Exchange administering an IAP;
2. "Advance Payments of the Premium Tax Credit" or "APTC" is defined under 45 CFR § 155.20 to mean payments of the tax credit specified in section 36B of the IRC as added by section 1401 of the PPACA) that is provided on an advance basis on behalf of an eligible individual enrolled in a QHP through an Exchange in accordance with section 1412 of the PPACA. An individual's APTC eligibility status is not considered "taxpayer return information" as defined under 26 U.S.C. § 6103;
3. "Applicant" means an RPCV individual who is seeking eligibility for him or herself through an application submitted to an Exchange, excluding RPCV individuals seeking eligibility for an exemption from the individual shared responsibility payment pursuant to subpart G of Part 155 of Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, transmitted to an Exchange by an agency administering an IAP or submitted to a BHP program for at least one of the following (i) enrollment in a QHP through an Exchange; or (ii) the BHP;
4. "Applicant Filer" means an RPCV Applicant, an adult who is in the Applicant's household, as defined in 42 CFR § 435.603(f), or family, as defined by CFR 1.36B-1(d), an Authorized Representative of the Applicant, or if the Applicant is a minor or incapacitated, someone acting responsibly for the Applicant, excluding those individuals seeking eligibility for an exemption;
5. "Authorized Representative" means an individual person or organization acting, in accordance with 45 CFR § 155.227, on behalf of an RPCV Applicant or Enrollee in applying for an eligibility determination, including a redetermination, and in carrying out other ongoing communications with the Exchange;

6. "Authorized User" means an information system user who is provided with access privileges to any data resulting from this match or to any data created as a result of this match. Authorized Users include AEs;
7. "Benefit Year" means the calendar year for which a health plan purchased through an Exchange provides coverage for health benefits;
8. "Breach" is defined in OMB Memorandum M-17-12, Preparing for and Responding to a Breach of Personally Identifiable Information (January 3, 2017), as the loss of control, compromise, unauthorized disclosure, unauthorized acquisition, or any similar occurrence where (1) a person other than an authorized user accesses or potentially accesses personally identifiable information (PII); or (2) an authorized user accesses or potentially accesses PII for an other than authorized purpose;
9. "CMS" means the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services;
10. "Cost-sharing reductions" or "CSRs" is defined at 45 CFR § 155.20 and means reductions in cost sharing of an eligible individual enrolled in a silver level plan in the Exchange or for an individual who is an Alaskan Native/American Indian enrolled in a QHP through an Exchange, provided in accordance with section 1402 of the PPACA. An individual's CSR eligibility status is not considered "taxpayer return information" as defined under 26 U.S.C. § 6103;
11. "Eligibility Determination" means an AE's determination of an individual's eligibility for enrollment in a QHP through an Exchange, for an IAP or for a Certification of Exemption. This includes initial determinations or redeterminations based on a change in the individual's status, and appeals;
12. "Enrollee" means an RPCV individual enrolled in a QHP through an Exchange or in enrolled in a BHP;
13. The "Exchange" (otherwise known as Marketplace) means a Federally-facilitated Exchange (FFE) or an SBE (including a not-for-profit exchange) established under sections 1311(b) and 1311(d)(1) or 1321(c)(1) of PPACA. For purposes of this Agreement, all references to an Exchange shall refer equally to and include a state agency that is responsible for administering the Insurance Affordability Program under which individuals and small businesses may enroll in Qualified Health Plans in the state;
14. "Federally-facilitated Exchange or "FFE" which is an Exchange established by HHS and operated by CMS under section 1321 of the PPACA;
15. "HHS" means the Department of Health and Human Services;

16. "Hub" or "Data Services Hub" is the CMS managed, single data exchange for AEs to interface with Federal agency partners. Hub services allow for adherence to federal and industry standards for security, data transport, and data safeguards as well as CMS policy for AEs for eligibility determination and enrollment services;
17. "Insurance Affordability Programs" or "IAPs" include: (1) a program that makes coverage in a QHP through an Exchange with APTC; (2) a program that makes available coverage in a QHP through an Exchange with CSRs; (3) the Medicaid program established under Title XIX of the Social Security Act; (4) the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) established under XXI of the Social Security Act; and (5) the Basic Health Program (BHP) established under section 1331 of the PPACA (42 U.S.C. § 18051);
18. "Minimum Essential Coverage" or "MEC" is defined in section 5000A(f) of the IRC, and includes health insurance coverage offered in the individual market within a state, which includes a QHP offered through an Exchange, an eligible employer-sponsored plan or government-sponsored coverage such as coverage under Medicare Part A, TRICARE, or a health plan under 22 U.S.C. § 2504(e) (relating to Peace Corps volunteers);
19. "PPACA" means Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (Public Law No. 111-148), as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (Public Law No. 111-152), codified at 42 U.S.C. §§ 18001 et seq. (collectively, the PPACA);
20. "PII" or "Personally Identifiable Information" is defined in OMB Memorandum M-17-12 Preparing for and Responding to a Breach of Personally Identifiable Information (January 3, 2017), and means information that can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, either alone or when combined with other information that is linked or linkable to a specific individual;
21. "QHP" means Qualified Health Plan, which is a health plan that has in effect a certification that it meets the standards described in 45 CFR Part 156, subpart C issued or recognized by each Exchange through which such plan is offered in accordance with the process described in 45 CFR Part 155, subpart K;
22. "Recipient Agency" is defined by the Privacy Act at 5 U.S.C. § 552a(a)(9) and means any agency, or contractor thereof, receiving records contained in a System of Records (SOR) from a source agency for use in a matching program;
23. "Record" is defined in the Privacy Act at 5 U.S.C. § 552a(a)(4) and means any item, collection, or grouping of information about an individual that is maintained by an agency, including but not limited to information about the individual's education, financial transactions, medical history, and criminal or employment

history and that contains the individual's name, or the identifying number, symbol, or other identifying particular assigned to the individual;

24. "Security Incident" or "Incident" is defined in OMB Memorandum M-17-12 Preparing for and Responding to a Breach of Personally Identifiable Information (January 3, 2017) as an occurrence that (1) actually or imminently jeopardizes, without lawful authority, the integrity, confidentiality, or availability of information or an information system; or (2) constitutes a violation or imminent threat of violation of law, security policies, security procedures, or acceptable use policies;
25. "Source Agency" as defined by the Privacy Act at 5 U.S.C. § 552a(a)(11) means any agency which discloses records contained in a SOR to be used in a matching program;
26. "State-based Exchange" or "SBE" means an Exchange established and operated by a State, and approved by HHS under 45 CFR § 155.105;
27. "System of Records" or "SOR" is defined by the Privacy Act at 5 U.S.C. § 552a(a)(5) and means a group of any records under the control of any agency from which information about an individual is retrieved by the name of the individual or by some identifying number, symbol, or other identifying particular assigned to the individual.

II. RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PARTIES

A. CMS Responsibilities

1. CMS will develop procedures through which an RPCV Applicant or Enrollee may request an eligibility determination via a single, streamlined electronic application;
2. CMS will develop procedures through which CMS and AEs can request information from and match information with data from the Peace Corps SOR PC-17 through the Hub. CMS and AEs will only request a data match with the Peace Corps' records when necessary to make an eligibility determination, including an initial determination of eligibility, a determination based on a self-reported change, an annual re-determination, or a re-verification at the end of the inconsistency period. AEs will receive results of the data match with the Peace Corps' records through the Hub;
3. CMS and AEs will use the data received from the Peace Corps to make eligibility determinations by verifying the existence or non-existence of current coverage under a health plan under 22 U.S.C. § 2504(e);
4. CMS and AEs will receive the Peace Corps data elements through the Hub and will utilize the information provided by the Peace Corps in making eligibility determinations;

5. CMS will provide Congress and the OMB with advance notice of this matching program and, upon completion of their advance review period, will publish the required matching notice in the Federal Register;
6. CMS will enter into agreements with AEs that bind these entities to comply with appropriate privacy and security standards and protections for PII, including requirements for these entities and their employees, contractors, and agents to comply with the use and disclosure limitations set forth in section 1411(g) of the PPACA (42 U.S.C. § 18081), and privacy and security standards that are consistent with the principles outlined under 45 CFR § 155.260, and privacy and security standards that are consistent with NIST Special Publication 800-53, Revision 5 and the terms and conditions of this Agreement;
7. CMS will ensure the receipt of appropriate consents from Applicants and Enrollees for use of PII collected, used, and disclosed for the purposes described in this Agreement.

B. Peace Corps Responsibilities

The Peace Corps will provide CMS with bulk data files containing the information set out in Section IV.B for all active Peace Corps Volunteers and all Peace Corps Volunteers who left service within the last five months five (5) days a week, once per day, Monday morning through Friday morning, including Federal holidays. The information will be provided by secure transfer data protocol via SFTP and FIPS 140-2 validated encryption module or better, and in accordance to NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-175B, Revision 1.

III. JUSTIFICATION AND ANTICIPATED RESULTS

A. Cost Benefit Analysis

As required by 5 U.S.C. § 552a(u)(4)(A), a cost benefit analysis (CBA) is included as Attachment 1, covering this matching program and seven other “Marketplace” matching programs which CMS conducts with other Federal agencies and AEs. The CBA demonstrates that monetary costs to operate all eight Marketplace matching programs are approximately \$58.9 million per year, but does not quantify direct governmental monetary benefits sufficient to estimate whether they offset such costs, because the Marketplace matching programs are not intended to avoid or recover improper payments. The CBA, therefore, does not demonstrate that the matching program is likely to be cost-effective (i.e., does not show that the program is likely to pay for itself) and does not produce a favorable benefit-to-cost ratio.

However, other supporting justifications and mitigating factors support approval of this CMA, as described in Section B., below. OMB guidance provides that the Privacy Act "does not require the showing of a favorable ratio for the match to be continued. The intention is to provide Congress with information to help evaluate the cost-effectiveness

of statutory matching requirements with a view to revising or eliminating them where appropriate.” See OMB guidance pertaining to computer matching, 54 FR 25818 at 25828 (June 19,1989).

B. Other Supporting Justifications

Although the cost benefit analysis does not demonstrate that the Marketplace matching programs are likely to be cost effective, the programs are justified for other reasons, as explained in this section. Each Party’s Data Integrity Board (DIB) therefore is requested to waive the requirements of 5 U.S.C. § 552a(u)(4)(A) and determine, in writing, that the cost benefit analysis is not required, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552a(u)(4)(B) and to approve the agreement based on these other supporting justifications:

1. Certain Marketplace matching programs are required (i.e., are based on a statutory obligation), not discretionary to conduct.
2. The Marketplace matching programs improve the speed and accuracy of consumer eligibility determinations while minimizing administrative burdens and achieving operational efficiencies.
3. The matching programs benefit the public and consumers by accurately determining consumers’ eligibility for financial assistance (including APTC and CSRs).
4. The efficient eligibility and enrollment process provided by the Marketplace matching programs contributes to greater numbers of consumers enrolling in Marketplace qualified health plans, resulting in a reduction of the uninsured population and improving overall health care delivery.
5. Continuing to use the current matching program structure, which is less costly than any alternative structure, is expected to increase the public’s trust in the participating agencies as stewards of taxpayer dollars.

C. Specific Estimate of Any Savings

There are no cost savings to conducting the Marketplace matching programs, as opposed to not conducting them. However, use of matching programs is effectively mandated by statute and regulation in order to provide for the streamlined application process required by Congress in section 1413 of the PPACA. Therefore, the optimal result is attained by limiting the cost of conducting the matching programs by using a matching program operational structure and technological process that is more efficient than any alternatives. CMS’ analysis suggests that the benefits of increased enrollment outweigh the costs given the increase in private insurance coverage through the PPACA.

IV. RECORDS DESCRIPTION

The Privacy Act at 5 U.S.C. § 552a(o)(1)(C) requires that each CMA specifically describe the records that will be matched, including each data element that will be used, the approximate number of records that will be matched, and the projected starting and completion dates of the program.

A. Systems of Records

CMS

The CMS SORN that supports this matching program is “CMS Health Insurance Exchanges System (HIX),” System No. 09-70-0560, last published in full at 78 FR 63211 (Oct. 23, 2013) and partially amended at 83 FR 6591 (Feb. 14, 2018). Routine Use 3 authorizes CMS' disclosures of Peace Corps data to AEs “for purposes of determining the eligibility of Applicants to enroll in QHPs through an Exchange, in IAPs, or for a certification of exemption from the individual responsibility requirement.”

Peace Corps

The Peace Corps SORN that supports this data matching program is: "PC-17 Peace Corps, Volunteer Applicant and Service Records System," last published in full at 50 FR 1950 (Jan. 14, 1985) and partially amended at 65 FR 63641 (Oct. 24, 2000), 72 FR 44878 (Aug 9, 2007), 75 FR 53000 (Aug 30, 2010), and 79 FR 41599 (July 16, 2014). Routine Use (i) published at 50 FR 1950 (Jan. 14, 1985) permits disclosures “to verify active or former volunteer service.”

B. Specific Data Elements Used in the Match

1. From Peace Corps to CMS. Peace Corps will send the Hub responses that contain data from records provided from the Peace Corps SOR. These responses may include, but is not limited to, the following data elements:
 - a. Last Name
 - b. Middle Initial
 - c. First Name
 - d. Date of Birth
2. CMS will not send any data to the Peace Corps, but will provide automated responses confirming that data files provided by the Peace Corps have transmitted successfully. If there is a transport level error during a file transmission, CMS will provide the Peace Corps with an automated error response. If, during the Hub's data validation process, CMS detects an error in a data file received from the Peace Corps, CMS will create an error file that will be available for the Peace Corps

retrieval. When such an error file is created, CMS will send the Peace Corps an e-mail notification to that effect. Peace Corps support team will investigate the issue, restore the transmission process, notify CMS about resolution of the problem or additional findings, and if necessary, may request assistance from CMS technical staff.

C. Number of Records

The files from Peace Corps will contain data elements for all RPCV and their families currently covered by the Peace Corps Volunteer health care program and for Peace Corps Volunteers who left service within the last five months. The Peace Corps estimates that each file will contain data elements relevant to approximately 7,000-8,000 individuals.

D. Frequency of Data Exchanges

The data exchanges under this agreement will begin January 1, 2024 and continue through June 30, 2025, in accordance with schedules set by CMS and Peace Corps. CMS will receive a bulk file from the Peace Corps five days/week.

E. Projected Starting and Completion Dates of the Matching Program

Effective Date — January 1, 2024

Expiration Date — June 30, 2025 (June 30, 2026 if renewed for 1 year).

V. NOTICE PROCEDURES

- A. CMS will publish notice of the matching program in the Federal Register as required by the Privacy Act at 5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(12).
- B. At the time of application or when an individual reports a change of circumstances, CMS, or an AE administering an IAP, will provide individualized (i.e., actual) notice either through a mail service or email to Applicants for enrollment in a QHP or an IAP under PPACA on the streamlined eligibility application. The agency administering the IAP, including CMS in its capacity as an FFE, ensures provision of a Redetermination or Renewal notice in accordance with applicable law. These notices inform Applicants that the information they provide may be verified with information in the records of other federal agencies.

VI. VERIFICATION AND OPPORTUNITY TO CONTEST FINDINGS

The Privacy Act requires that each matching agreement specify procedures for verifying information produced in the matching program and an opportunity to contest findings, as required by 5 U.S.C. § 552a(p).

A. Verification Procedures

Before CMS or an AE may take any adverse action based on the information received from the match, CMS or the AE will permit the individual to provide the necessary information or documentation to verify eligibility information. When an AE determines that an individual is ineligible for an IAP based on the information provided by the match, and that information is inconsistent with information provided on the streamlined eligibility application or otherwise by an Applicant or Enrollee, the AE will comply with applicable law and will notify the Applicant, or Enrollee of the match findings and provide the following information: (1) The AE received information that indicates the individual is ineligible for an IAP; and (2) the Applicant, or Enrollee has a specified number of days from the date of the notice to contest the determination that the Applicant or Enrollee is not eligible for the relevant IAP.

B. Opportunity to Contest Findings

In the event that information attested to by an individual for matching purposes is inconsistent with information received through electronic verifications obtained by the Peace Corps through the Hub, the individual must be provided notice that the information he/she submitted did not match information received through electronic verifications as follows:

1. If the AE is an Exchange, an individual seeking to resolve inconsistencies between attestations and the results of electronic verification for the purposes of completing an Eligibility Determination will be provided the opportunity to follow the procedures outlined in 45 CFR §§ 155.315 and 155.320. The AE will provide the proper contact information and instructions to the individual resolving the inconsistency.
2. If the AE is an agency administering a Medicaid or CHIP program, an individual seeking to resolve inconsistencies between attestations and the results of electronic verification for the purposes of completing an Eligibility Determination will be provided the opportunity to follow the procedures outlined in 42 CFR §§ 435.945 through 435.956. The AE will provide the proper contact information and instructions to the individual resolving the inconsistency.

3. Pursuant to 42 CFR § 600.345, if the AE is a BHP, it must elect either the Exchange verification procedures set forth in VI.B.1 or the Medicaid /CHIP verification procedures set forth at VI.B.2.

VII. DISPOSITION OF MATCHED ITEMS

CMS will retain the electronic files received from Peace Corps only for the period of time required for any processing related to the matching program and will then destroy all such data by electronic purging, unless the Peace Corps and CMS are required to retain the information for enrollment, billing, payment, program audit, or legal evidentiary purposes, or where they are required by law to retain the information. AEs will also retain data for such purposes and under the same terms. In case of such retention, CMS will retire the retained data in accordance with the applicable National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) approved records disposition schedule. CMS will not create permanent files or a separate system comprised solely of the data provided by Peace Corps.

VIII. SECURITY PROCEDURES

- A. General. CMS (and the Peace Corps, in transmitting Peace Corps data to CMS) will maintain a level of security that is commensurate with the risk and magnitude of harm that could result from the loss, misuse, disclosure, or modification of the information contained on the system with the highest appropriate sensitivity level in accordance to NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-175B Revision 1 and other applicable regulatory guidance and standards.
- B. Legal Compliance. CMS (and the Peace Corps, in transmitting Peace Corps data to CMS) shall comply with the limitations on use, disclosure, storage, transport/transmission, and safeguarding of data under all applicable Federal laws and regulations. These laws and regulations include section 1411(g) of the PPACA; the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (5 U.S.C. § 552a); the E-Government Act of 2002, which includes the Federal Information Security Management Act of 2014 (FISMA), as amended, 44 U.S.C. §§ 3541-3558; HIPAA; the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act of 1986; the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996; and the corresponding implementation regulations for each statute.

CMS and the Peace Corps will comply with OMB circulars and memoranda, such as OMB Circular A-130, Managing Information as a Strategic Resource, published at 81 FR 49689 (July 28, 2016); applicable National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) directives and publications; and the Federal Acquisition Regulations. These laws, directives, and regulations include requirements for safeguarding Federal information systems and PII used in Federal agency business processes, as well as related reporting requirements. The Parties recognize and will

implement the laws, regulations, NIST standards, and OMB directives including those published subsequent to the effective date of this Agreement.

- C. FISMA Compliance. FISMA requirements apply to all Federal contractors, organizations, or entities that possess or use Federal information, or that operate, use, or have access to Federal information systems on behalf of an agency. Both Parties are responsible for oversight and compliance of their contractors and agents.

- D. Incident Reporting

CMS and the Peace Corps will comply with OMB reporting guidelines in the event of a loss, potential loss, Security Incident, or Breach of PII (see OMB M-17-12 Preparing for and Responding to a Breach of Personally Identifiable Information (Jan. 3, 2017); and OMB M-23-03, "Fiscal Year 2023 Guidance on Federal Information Security and Privacy Management Requirements" (Dec. 2, 2022)). The Party experiencing the incident will notify the other agency's System Security Contact named in this Agreement within one (1) hour of discovering the loss, potential loss, Security Incident, or Breach. If CMS is unable to speak with the Peace Corps' Systems Security Contact within one hour or if for some other reason notifying the Peace Corps' Systems Security Contact is not practicable (e.g., it is outside of the normal business hours), CMS will contact the Peace Corps Help Desk by telephone at (202) 692-1000 or by e-mail notification to helpdesk@peacecorps.gov. If the Peace Corp is unable to speak with CMS Systems Security Contact within one hour, the Peace Corp will contact CMS IT Service Desk at 1-800-562-1963 or via email at [CMS IT Service Desk@cms.hhs.gov](mailto:CMS_IT_Service_Desk@cms.hhs.gov).

The Party that experienced the loss, potential loss, Security Incident, or Breach will be responsible for following its established procedures, including notifying the proper organizations (e.g., United States Computer Emergency Readiness Team (US-CERT)), conducting a breach and risk analysis, and determining the need for notice and/or remediation to individuals affected by the loss. Parties under this agreement will follow PII breach notification policies and related procedures as required by OMB guidelines and the US-CERT Federal Incident Notification Guidelines. If the Party experiencing the breach determines that the risk of harm requires notification to the affected individuals or other remedies, then that Party will carry out these remedies without cost to the other Party.

- E. Administrative Safeguards

CMS will restrict access to the matched data and to any data created by the match to only those Authorized Users of the Hub, e.g. AEs and their employees, agents, officials, contractors, etc., who need it to perform their official duties in connection with the uses of data authorized in this Agreement. Further, CMS will advise all

personnel who will have access to the data matched and to any data created by the match of the confidential nature of the data, the safeguards required to protect the data, and the civil and criminal sanctions for noncompliance contained in the applicable Federal laws.

F. Physical Safeguards

CMS will store the data matched and any data created by the match in an area that is physically and technologically secure from access by unauthorized persons at all times. Physical safeguards may include door locks, card keys, biometric identifiers, etc. Only authorized personnel will transport the data matched and any data created by the match. CMS will establish appropriate safeguards for such data, as determined by a risk-based assessment of the circumstances involved.

G. Technical Safeguards

CMS will process the data matched and any data created by the match under the immediate supervision and control of authorized personnel to protect the confidentiality of the data in such a way that unauthorized persons cannot retrieve any such data by means of computer, remote terminal, or other means. Systems personnel must enter personal identification numbers when accessing data on a Party's systems. CMS will strictly limit authorization to those electronic data areas necessary for the authorized analyst to perform his or her official duties.

H. Application of Policies and Procedures

CMS will adopt policies and procedures to ensure that it uses the information described in this Agreement that is contained in its records or obtained from Peace Corps solely as provided in this Agreement. CMS will comply with its policies and procedures and any subsequent revisions.

I. Security Assessment

NIST Special Publication 800-37, as revised, encourages agencies to accept each other's security assessments in order to reuse information system resources and/or to accept each other's assessed security posture in order to share information. NIST 800-37 further encourages that this type of reciprocity is best achieved when agencies are transparent and make available sufficient evidence regarding the security state of an information system so that an authorizing official from another organization can use that evidence to make credible, risk-based decisions regarding the operation and use of that system or the information it processes, stores, or transmits. Consistent with that guidance, the Parties agree to make available to each other upon request system security evidence for the purpose of making risk-based decisions. Requests for this

information may be made by either Party at any time throughout the duration or any renewal of this Agreement.

J. Compliance

CMS must ensure information systems and data exchanged under this matching agreement are maintained compliant with CMS Acceptable Risk Safeguards (ARS) standards. The ARS document can be found at: <https://security.cms.gov/library/cms-acceptable-risk-safeguards-ars>. To the extent, these documents are revised during the term of this Agreement, CMS must ensure compliance with the revised version.

IX. RECORDS USAGE, DUPLICATION AND RE-DISCLOSURE RESTRICTIONS

CMS will comply with the following limitations on use, duplication, and disclosure of the electronic files and data that is contained in its records or obtained from Peace Corps under this Agreement:

- A. CMS will only use or disclose the data for the purposes described in this Agreement or as required by Federal law.
- B. The matching data provided by the Peace Corps under this Agreement will remain the property of the Peace Corps and will be retained by CMS and AEs to be used for audits to verify the accuracy of matches and to adjudicate appeals.
- C. Through the Hub, CMS may disclose the data received from the Peace Corps to AEs pursuant to separate Computer Matching Agreements that authorize such entities to use the data for eligibility determinations regarding APTC and CSRs. Exchanges, including CMS in its capacity performing eligibility determinations for the FFE, SBEs who rely on CMS for eligibility and enrollment functions, and agencies administering BHPs may share with Applicants or Enrollees, Application Filers, and the Authorized Representatives of such persons the results of the data match under this Agreement related to that Applicant, Enrollee or Application Filer.
- D. CMS, in its capacity performing eligibility determinations for the FFE, will restrict access to the results of the data match to the Applicants, Enrollees, and Application Filers for whom the data match was used in making an eligibility determination, and Authorized Representatives of such persons; and to individuals or entities who have been authorized by CMS and are bound by regulation or are under agreement with CMS to assist with eligibility determinations and enrollment.
- E. Any individual, including any officer, employee, and contractor of the Parties, who knowingly and willfully uses or discloses information obtained pursuant to this Agreement in a manner or for a purpose not authorized by 45 CFR § 155.260 and section 1411(g) of the PPACA will be subject to the civil penalty provisions of section

1411(h)(2) of the PPACA and 45 CFR § 155.285, which carry a fine of up to \$25,000 per use or disclosure.

X. ACCURACY ASSESSMENTS

The Privacy Act at 5 U.S.C. § 552a(o)(1)(J) requires that a CMA include “information on assessments that have been made” on the accuracy of the records that will be used in the matching program. CMS has not explicitly assessed the accuracy of the identifying information in the HIX system of records, but Exchange operations are continually subject to rigorous examination and testing as required by Appendix C of OMB Circular A-123 and they also evaluated through audits conducted by HHS Office of Inspector General and the Government Accountability Office. The Peace Corps currently estimates that information within PC-17 Volunteer Applicant and Service Records System sent to CMS is highly accurate. Information within PC-17 Volunteer Applicant and Service Records System is based on data extracts of Volunteers currently serving at Posts, in training or within 30 days past completion date of their service. The information is verified by staff at several offices at Peace Corps Headquarters as well as by staff at Posts abroad. The Peace Corps uses these data on an ongoing basis to, among other things, ensure proper payments to Volunteers.

XI. COMPTROLLER GENERAL ACCESS

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552a(o)(l)(K), the Government Accountability Office (Comptroller General) may have access to all CMS and Peace Corps records, as necessary, in order to verify compliance with this Agreement.

XII. REIMBURSEMENT

This Agreement does not itself authorize the expenditure or reimbursement of any funds. Nothing in this Agreement obligates the parties to expend appropriations or enter into any contract or other obligations.

XIII. DURATION, MODIFICATION, AND TERMINATION

A. Effective Date and Duration

The Effective Date of this Agreement is January 1, 2024, provided that CMS reported the proposal to re-establish this matching agreement to the Congressional committees of jurisdiction and OMB in accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552a(o)(2)(A) and (r) and OMB Circular A-108 and, upon completion of their advance review period, CMS published notice of the matching program in the Federal Register for at least thirty (30) days in accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(12).

This agreement will be in effect for a period of eighteen (18) months.

The parties may, within three (3) months prior to the expiration of this Agreement, renew this Agreement for a period not to exceed one year if CMS and Peace Corps certify the

following to their DIBs:

1. The matching program will be conducted without change; and
2. The parties have conducted the matching program in compliance with this Agreement.

B. Modification

The parties may modify this Agreement at any time by a written modification, mutually agreed to by both parties, provided that the change is not significant. A significant change would require a new agreement.

C. Termination

This Agreement may be terminated at any time upon the mutual written consent of the parties. Either party may unilaterally terminate this Agreement upon written notice to the other party, in which case the termination will be effective ninety (90) days after the date of the notice, or at a later date specified in the notice. A copy of this notification should be submitted to the Secretary, HHS DIB.

XIV. LIABILITY

Each Party to this Agreement shall be liable for acts and omissions of its own employees.

Neither Party shall be liable for any injury to another Party's personnel or damage to another Party's property, unless such injury or damage is compensable under the Federal Tort Claims Act (28 U.S.C. § 346(b)), or pursuant to other Federal statutory authority.

Neither Party shall be responsible for any financial loss incurred by the other, whether directly or indirectly, through the use of any data furnished pursuant to this Agreement.

XV. INTEGRATION CLAUSE

This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the Parties with respect to its subject matter and supersedes all other data exchange agreements between the Parties that pertain to the disclosure of data between Peace Corps and CMS for the purposes described in this Agreement. CMS and Peace Corps have made no representations, warranties, or promises outside of this Agreement. This Agreement takes precedence over any other documents that may be in conflict with it.

XVI. PERSONS TO CONTACT

Peace Corps Contacts:

Programmatic Issues

Scott Ray
OCIO, Application Services Manager
Office of the Chief Information Officer
Peace Corps
Phone: (202) 692-1187
Email: sray@peacecorps.gov

Privacy Issues

Diane Bradley
Associate General Counsel
Office of the General Counsel Peace Corps
1275 First St., N.E.
Washington, DC 20526
Phone: (202) 692-1420
Email: dbradley@peacecorps.gov

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Contacts:

Program Issues

Beth Liu
Director, Marketplace Eligibility and Enrollment Group
Center for Consumer Information & Insurance Oversight
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
7501 Wisconsin Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20814
Telephone: (301) 492-4135
Email: Beth.Liu1@cms.hhs.gov

Medicaid/CHIP Issues

Brent Weaver
Acting Director, Data and Systems Group
Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
7500 Security Boulevard
Mail Stop: S2-22-27
Location: S2-23-06
Baltimore, MD 21244-1850
Telephone: (410) 786-0070
Email: Brent.Weaver@cms.hhs.gov

Systems and Security

Darrin V. Lyles
Information System Security Officer (ISSO)
Division of Marketplace IT Operations
Marketplace IT Group
Center for Consumer Information & Insurance Oversight
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
7500 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, MD 21244
Telephone: (410) 786-4744
Telephone: (443) 979-3169 (Mobile)
Email: Darrin.Lyles@cms.hhs.gov

Privacy and Agreement Issues

Barbara Demopulos
CMS Privacy Act Officer
Division of Security, Privacy Policy and Governance
Information Security and Privacy Group
Office of Information Technology
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
7500 Security Boulevard
Location: N1-14-56
Baltimore, MD 21244-1849
Telephone: (443) 608-2200
Email: Barbara.Demopulos@cms.hhs.gov

XVII. APPROVALS

Electronic Signature Acknowledgement: The signatories may sign this document electronically by using an approved electronic signature process. Each signatory who electronically signs this renewal agrees that his/her electronic signature has the same legal validity and effect as his/her handwritten signature on the document, and that it has the same meaning as his/her handwritten signature.

The authorized program official, whose signature appears below, accepts and expressly agrees to the terms and conditions expressed herein, confirms that no verbal agreements of any kind shall be binding or recognized, and hereby commits the organization to the terms of this Agreement.

A. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Program Official

Jeffrey Grant -S Digitally signed by Jeffrey Grant -S
Date: 2023.06.08 15:25:32 -04'00'

Jeffrey D. Grant
Deputy Director for Operations
Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Date_____

B. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Program Official

The authorized program official, whose signature appears below, accepts and expressly agrees to the terms and conditions expressed herein, confirms that no verbal agreements of any kind shall be binding or recognized, and hereby commits the organization to the terms of this Agreement.

Sara M. Vitolo -S Digitally signed by Sara M. Vitolo -S
Date: 2023.06.09 08:48:05 -04'00'

Sara Vitolo
Deputy Director
Center for Medicaid and CHIP Services
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Date **06/09/2023**

C. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Approving Official

The authorized program official, whose signature appears below, accepts and expressly agrees to the terms and conditions expressed herein, confirms that no verbal agreements of any kind shall be binding or recognized, and hereby commits the organization to the terms of this Agreement.

Leslie Nettles -S Digitally signed by Leslie Nettles -S
Date: 2023.06.11 22:13:16 -04'00'

Leslie Nettles, Director
Division of Security, Privacy Policy and Governance, and
Senior Official for Privacy
Information Security and Privacy Group
Office of Information Technology
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Date _____

D. Peace Corps Approving Official

The authorized program official, whose signature appears below, accepts and expressly agrees to the terms and conditions expressed herein, confirms that no verbal agreements of any kind shall be binding or recognized, and hereby commits the organization to the terms of this Agreement.

MICHAEL TERRY Digitally signed by MICHAEL
TERRY
Date: 2023.07.14 11:54:20 -04'00'

Michael Terry
Chief Information Officer
Peace Corps


Date 7/14/2023

XVIII. DATA INTEGRITY BOARD APPROVALS

Electronic Signature Acknowledgement: The signatories may sign this document electronically by using an approved electronic signature process. Each signatory who electronically signs this renewal agrees that his/her electronic signature has the same legal validity and effect as his/her handwritten signature on the document, and that it has the same meaning as his/her handwritten signature.

A. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Data Integrity Board Official

The authorized DIB official, whose signature appears below, accepts and expressly agrees to the terms and conditions expressed herein, confirm that no verbal agreements of any kind shall be binding or recognized, and hereby commits their respective organization to the terms of this Agreement.

Cheryl R. Campbell -S  Digitally signed by Cheryl R. Campbell -S
Date: 2023.08.25 11:21:32 -04'00'

Cheryl Campbell
Chairperson
HHS Data Integrity Board
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Date _____

B. Peace Corps Data Integrity Board Official

The authorized DIB Official, whose signature appears below, accepts and expressly agrees to the terms and conditions expressed herein, confirm that no verbal agreements of any kind shall be binding or recognized, and hereby commits their respective organization to the terms of this Agreement.

**FRANCISCO
REINOSO**

Digitally signed by FRANCISCO
REINOSO
Date: 2023.07.19 11:08:19 -04'00'

Francisco Reinoso
Associate Director, Office of Management
Chairperson, Data Integrity Board
Peace Corps

Date **7/19/2023**

Attachment 1

Marketplace Computer Matching Programs:
Cost-Benefit Analysis



MARKETPLACE COMPUTER MATCHING PROGRAMS: COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Prepared by:

Center of Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight (CCIIO), CMS

COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS FOR MARKETPLACE MATCHING PROGRAMS

UPDATED SEPTEMBER 15, 2022

Table of Contents

- [Introduction](#) 2
- [Costs](#) 3
- [Benefits](#) 4
- [Matching Program Structure](#) 4
- [Background assumptions](#) 4
- [I. Costs](#) 6
 - [Internal CMS Costs - \\$2.0 million / year](#) 6
 - [External CMS costs: Hub operations - \\$49.5 million/ year](#) 6
 - [Federal Data Services Hub \(Hub\) - \\$28.4 million / year](#) 6
 - [Marketplace Security Operations Center \(SOC\) – \\$2.8 million / year](#) 7
 - [Exchange Operations Center \(XOC\) - \\$12.2 million / year](#) 7
 - [Identity-Proofing Service Costs – \\$6.1 million / year](#) 7
 - [Costs paid by CMS to TDS agencies – \\$7.4 million / year](#) 7
 - [Social Security Administration \(SSA\) - \\$3.3 million / year](#) 7
 - [Department of Homeland Security \(DHS\) – \\$3.1 million / year](#) 8
 - [Veterans Health Administration \(VHA\) - \\$1.0 million / year](#) 8
 - [Office of Personnel Management - \\$16,800 / year](#) 8
 - [Other Trusted Data Sources](#) 8
 - [Consumer opportunity costs – non-monetary, but quantified](#) 8
- [II. Benefits](#) 9
 - [Benefits to Agencies –not quantified](#) 9
 - [Benefits to Enrollees in health coverage – not directly applicable](#) 9
 - [Recovery of improper payments – not applicable at this time](#) 10
- [Consideration of Alternative Approaches to the Matching Programs](#) 10
- [Conclusion](#) 11

Introduction

This cost benefit analysis (CBA) provides information about the costs and benefits of conducting the eight required Marketplace² matching programs, which are conducted under matching agreements between CMS and each federal data source agency and between CMS and state administering entities (AEs). The objective of the Marketplace matching programs is to support the enrollment of eligible individuals in appropriate health coverage programs, thereby reducing the uninsured population and improving overall health care delivery.

The Marketplace matching programs enable AEs to make efficient and accurate eligibility determinations and redeterminations for enrollment in qualified health plans (QHPs), insurance affordability programs, Medicaid and CHIP programs, and Basic Health Programs, and support the issuance of certificates of exemption to individuals who are exempt from the individual mandate to maintain health insurance coverage. The Marketplace matching programs provide for a single streamlined application process as required by the Affordable Care Act, support accurate and real-time eligibility determinations, and ensure that consumers can enroll in the correct program or be properly determined to be exempt from needing coverage.

The matching programs enable AEs to verify individuals' attested application responses with matched data elements from relevant federal data sources based on the type of eligibility determination being performed. These data elements may include citizenship or immigration status, household income, and access to non-employer-sponsored and/or employer-sponsored minimum essential coverage. Non-employer-sponsored coverage includes coverage through TRICARE, Veteran's Health Benefits, Medicaid, Medicare, or benefits through service in the Peace Corps. Employer-sponsored coverage for Federal Employee Health Benefits can be verified with the Office of Personnel Management.

While the matching programs support accurate eligibility determinations, which help avoid improper payments (e.g., improper payments of tax credits to ineligible individuals), no data is available to quantify the amount of improper payments avoided. In addition, the match results are not currently used to identify or recover past improper payments. Consequently, there are no estimates of avoided or recovered improper payments in key elements 3 and 4 (i.e., the "benefits" portion) of the CBA to offset against the personnel and computer costs estimated in key elements 1 and 2 (i.e., the "cost" portion) of the CBA, so the four key elements of the CBA do not demonstrate that the matching programs are likely to be cost-effective. However, the CBA describes other justifications (i.e., factors demonstrating that

² 'Marketplace' means a State-based Exchange (including a not-for-profit Exchange) or a Federally-Facilitated Exchange established under sections 1311(b), 1311(d)(1), or 1321(c)(1) of the PPACA. For purposes of this analysis, all references to a Marketplace shall refer equally to and include a state agency that is responsible for administering the Insurance Affordability Program under which individuals and small businesses may enroll in Qualified Health Plans in the state.

the matching programs are effective in maximizing enrollments in QHPs and are structured to avoid unnecessary costs) which support Data Integrity Board (DIB) approval of the matching programs. As permitted by the Privacy Act at 5 U.S.C. § 552a(u)(4)(B), the Justification section of each matching agreement requests the DIB(s) to determine, in writing, that the CBA is not required in this case to support approval of the agreement and to approve the agreement based on the other stated justifications. This underlying reality of the cost effectiveness of the Marketplace matching programs applies to all eight programs supported by this CBA.

The four key elements and sub-elements required to be addressed in the CBA are summarized on the CBA template below. The name of each key element and sub-element is highlighted in bold in the narrative portion of the CBA to indicate where that element is discussed in more detail.

Costs

Costs for the recipient and source agencies are primarily personnel costs associated with maintenance and operations supported by information technology resources; therefore, key elements 1 and 2 (personnel costs and computer costs) are combined in this analysis. Note that more detail on the summary figures that follow is provided in later sections of this document.

For Agencies –

- **CMS (Recipient Agency):** \$51.5 million (\$2.0 million internal costs; \$49.5 million external costs) per year.
- **Source Federal Agencies:** \$7.4 million per year (reimbursed by CMS)
- **State AEs:** No data developed.
- **Justice Agencies:** Not applicable, as these matching programs are not currently used to detect and recover past improper payments and therefore do not generate collection cases for justice agencies to investigate and prosecute.

For Clients (Applicants/Consumers), and any Third Parties assisting them –

- Opportunity costs (time required to apply for coverage) are quantified as \$610 million per year (\$42.02 per application x 14.5 million consumers enrolled in QHPs).

For the General Public –

- No data developed. Costs to the public (such as discouragement of legitimate potential participants from applying, and threats to privacy, Constitutional rights, and other legal rights) would be less significant in these matching programs than in other matching programs, because these matching programs are intended to support enrollments and are not currently used to detect and recover past improper payments.

Benefits

Avoidance of Future Improper Payments

For advance payments of the premium tax credit (APTC), consumers must reconcile the tax credit at the time of tax filing, and so improper payment is mitigated. For state and federal costs associated with Medicaid coverage, the avoidance of future improper payment is not quantified here. However, the use of matching programs mitigates the risk of fraud and abuse by applicants or third parties by requiring that personal information provided on an eligibility application match known data on the individuals.

Recovery of Improper Payments and Debts

Not applicable, because data from the Marketplace matching programs are not currently used to identify and recover improper payments and debts.

Matching Program Structure

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Public Law No. 111-148, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, Public Law No. 111-152 (ACA) requires that each state develop secure electronic interfaces for the exchange of data under a matching program using a single application form for determining eligibility for all state health subsidy programs.

CMS has entered into matching agreements with the following federal source agencies: 1) Social Security Administration (SSA), 2) Department of Homeland Security (DHS), 3) Internal Revenue Service (IRS), 4) Veterans Health Administration (VHA), 5) Department of Defense (DoD), 6) Office of Personnel Management (OPM), and 7) the Peace Corps. In addition, CMS has developed a matching program that is executed with every state AE, including state Medicaid and CHIP agencies and State-based Marketplaces. CMS designed the Federal Data Services Hub (Hub) to be a centralized platform for the secure electronic interface that connects all AEs and trusted data sources.

Without the Hub, each State AE would be required to enter into a separate arrangement with each federal agency to determine whether applicants for state health subsidy programs are eligible for coverage. If the match operations were conducted through separate arrangements outside of the Hub, the costs to CMS, the source federal agencies, the AEs, and consumers (applicants) would be significantly greater than under the current structure.

Background assumptions

CMS has made the following assumptions in developing this CBA:

- The ACA does not expressly mandate the use of computer matching, but effectively requires it by requiring a single streamlined application process for consumers.

- Because matching must be conducted to provide the single, streamlined application process Congress required (i.e., is not optional), this CBA does not evaluate whether the matching programs should be conducted versus not conducted, but rather it evaluates whether the matching programs are efficiently structured and conducted, and whether the current structure is less costly than an alternative structure.
- Eight matching programs are currently operational. CMS receives data from seven source federal agencies (IRS, DHS, SSA, OPM, Peace Corps, VHA, and DoD) under separate CMAs. Under an eighth CMA, CMS makes the data from those seven source federal agencies, as well as CMS data regarding Medicare enrollment, available to state AEs; in addition, the eighth CMA makes state Medicaid and CHIP enrollment data available to CMS. The seven source federal agencies, CMS, and the state AEs are collectively known as the trusted data sources (TDSs). All data from the TDSs are accessed by CMS and by state AEs via the Hub platform, rather than via direct access from any AE to any TDS.
- Any alternative, non-Hub structure that could be used instead of the current Hub structure would require many more than eight CMAs, as well as many more system interconnections and data transmissions between agencies.
- For a subset of the TDSs, CMS incurs a cost as the recipient agency. The cost of each data transaction is estimated based on a prior year's matching program budget and the estimated number of data transactions occurring that year.
- In addition to the TDSs themselves, additional entities are necessary to provide support services to the Hub. CMS therefore incurs external costs in the hiring, maintenance, and associated costs of contractors to perform numerous functions related to the Hub. In addition, costs are incurred for identity proofing of applicants, troubleshooting, procedure writing, and maintenance support.
- CMS has internal costs related to the funding of CMS federal staff and associated resources to complete processes and responsibilities related to the Hub and the matching programs.
- The benefit of these matching programs is to consumers who apply for and obtain health coverage. The private benefit to them is improved health care delivery and the expected value of the coverage (whether through private insurance, Medicaid, CHIP or a Basic Health Plan).
- Regarding the Recovery of Improper Payments and Debts (Key Element 4), CMS is not currently utilizing the data match result from the matching programs for payment and debt reconciliations; however, the benefit of the match does provide the potential to implement this capability in the future.

I. Costs

Costs for the recipient and source agencies are primarily personnel costs associated with maintenance and operations supported by information technology resources; therefore, **key elements 1 and 2 (personnel costs and computer costs) are combined in this analysis.**

Internal CMS Costs - \$2.0 million / year

Most costs paid by CMS to implement the Marketplace matching programs and the Hub are external costs paid to contractors, which are addressed in the next section. CMS' internal costs for federal staff tasked to work on these programs are approximately \$2.0 million per year. The below chart attributes all of the costs to federal staff working in the Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight (CCIIO) office; however, many teams across CMS provide support to the implementation of these programs, and CCIIO staff often have other programs in their portfolios beyond the Marketplace matching programs and the Hub.

CCIIO Team	Estimated Annual Cost
Eligibility and Enrollment (E&E)	\$760,361
SMIPG (State Policy)	\$325,869
Marketplace Information Technology (MITG/HUB)	\$977,607
Total	\$2,063,837

External CMS costs: Hub operations – an undetermined portion of \$49.5 million/ year

Federal Data Services Hub (Hub) – a portion of \$28.4 million / year

The Hub is maintained by a CMS contract. While the initial build costs of the Hub were largely incurred before the implementation of the Marketplace programs in 2013, there are ongoing costs associated with system maintenance, changes necessitated by ongoing technology development and new program implementation, and general system health monitoring. In FY2022, the average annual cost of the Hub contract was \$28.4 million. The Hub supports many other Marketplace program efforts besides the matching programs, including the transmission of data to and from insurance issuers, and electronic file transfer for many programs within the

Marketplace; as a result, \$28.4 million is an overestimate of the annual Hub costs associated with Marketplace matching program operations.

Marketplace Security Operations Center (SOC) – \$2.8 million / year

The Marketplace SOC is responsible for the security operations and maintenance for the Hub and the Federally-facilitated Marketplace (FFM). The current cost of the Marketplace SOC work is \$2.8 million per year. However, because the Marketplace SOC budget is not formally delineated for the Hub and for the FFM, the cost cited above is an overestimate of the costs specific to supporting Hub operations.

Exchange Operations Center (XOC) - \$12.2 million / year

The Exchange Operations Center (XOC) is an entity managed under the Marketplace System Integrator contract tasked with coordinating the technical operations of the Hub and of the FFM. The XOC supports system availability, communication of system issues to stakeholders, and incident triage. Because the XOC budget line is not formally delineated for the Hub and for the FFM, the operational cost cited above is an overestimate of the costs specific to supporting Hub operations. The \$12.2 million cost estimate provided here covers both XOC operations as well as site reliability engineer and metrics costs in support of the XOC.

Identity-Proofing Service Costs – \$6.1 million / year

Before consumer information can be submitted to a data source for data verification, a consumer's online account must be identity proofed. Remote identity proofing (RIDP) is a service supported through the Hub for AE programs. While identify proofing is not an eligibility requirement, it is a requirement for online application submission.

Costs paid by CMS to TDS agencies – \$7.4 million / year

Social Security Administration (SSA) - \$3.3 million / year

The SSA is the source of numerous data elements for the Hub: verification of the applicant's name, date of birth, citizenship, Social Security Number (SSN), a binary indicator for incarceration, Title II income (retirement and disability), and work quarters. Verification of an individual's SSN is a required precursor to accessing consumer information through the other Marketplace matching programs.

Matching with SSA data is accomplished through a reimbursable agreement with CMS. The total cost of the SSA contract with CMS in FY 2022 was \$3,340,596 under IAA number IA22-02.

Department of Homeland Security (DHS) – \$3.1 million / year

DHS is the verification source for naturalized and derived citizenship, and immigration status. The total cost of the DHS contract with CMS in FY 2022 was \$3,049,994 under IAA number IA22-04.

The DHS charges according to a graduated fee schedule for using the database called “SAVE” (Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements Program). There are up to 3 steps of SAVE verification process: Step 1 is a real-time “ping” to their system. Consumers who could not be successfully verified may go to Step 2, which takes 3-5 days for additional database searches. The third step requires manual touch from a DHS Status Verification Officer and requires a G-845 form. Costs are currently 50 cents per use at Steps 1, 2 and 3. Ongoing automation through DHS’s paperless initiative will impact these costs in the future.

Veterans Health Administration (VHA) - \$1.0 million / year

Data from the VHA are used to identify current enrollment in health coverage through the VHA, which is an eligibility factor for APTC and cost sharing reduction (CSR) programs. The VHA contract with CMS is transactions-based. The total cost of the VHA contract with CMS in FY 2022 was \$996,482 under IAA number IA22-03.

Office of Personnel Management - \$16,800 / year

For FY 2022, OPM charged CMS a flat fee of \$16,800 under IAA number IA22-05.

Other Trusted Data Sources

CMS does not pay the other Trusted Data Sources (IRS, DoD, Peace Corps, and State Medicaid and CHIP Agencies) for access to and use of their data.

Consumer opportunity costs – non-monetary, but quantified

Applying for coverage does not have a monetary cost to applicants, but does have an opportunity cost. CMS estimates that the average time for a consumer to apply for and enroll (or re-enroll) in a QHP each year averages 1.5 hours.³ At a rate of \$28.01 per hour, this opportunity cost is estimated at \$42.02 per application per year. The complete number of applications submitted each year across all AEs is not known, but the total number of QHP enrollees for Plan Year 2022 is 14.5 million,⁴ resulting in a consumer opportunity cost of

³ Estimate is based on an ½ hour-average to complete an application for QHP coverage plus an additional 1 hour for the consumer to provide supporting documentation to the Marketplace should a data matching issue occur.

⁴ Enrollees in QHPs have the opportunity each year to be automatically reenrolled in a QHP or to return to the Exchange to choose a new plan – however, Marketplaces encourage enrollees to update their information and reevaluate their health coverage needs for the coming year. Furthermore, enrollees are required to report certain life changes as they occur, since they may impact coverage and/or participation in insurance affordability

approximately \$610 million. It should be noted that this estimate does not include opportunity costs for enrollees in Medicaid, CHIP, or BHP programs, or for consumers who apply but do not subsequently enroll in coverage.

II. Benefits

Benefits to Agencies – not quantified

The Marketplace matching programs improve the accuracy of data used for making program eligibility determinations, and ensure that individuals are correctly determined and are not inappropriately enrolled in multiple programs. Improved data quality helps ensure that eligibility determinations and other decisions affecting APTC are accurate, which helps avoid future improper payments. This avoidance of future improper payments fits the third cost benefit analysis key element but hasn't been quantified.

Using data made available through the Marketplace matching programs in combination with an individual applicant's attestation of his or her personal information is more reliable than relying solely on applicant attestations. The use of data matching mitigates the risk of fraud and abuse by applicants or third parties by requiring that personal information provided on an eligibility application match known data on the individuals.

Benefits to Enrollees of obtaining health coverage – quantified, but outside the scope of the 4 key elements

For Plan Year 2022, 14,511,077 consumers enrolled in a QHP across all Marketplaces. Of these, 89% received APTC, with an average value of \$505 per month (annualized to \$6,060 per year). In total, therefore, approximately \$78.3 billion in APTC will be provided to enrollees in Plan Year 2022.⁵

Approximately 49% of the QHP enrollees in Plan Year 2022 received financial assistance through cost-sharing reductions when enrolling in a silver-level plan. The financial estimate of this benefit is not quantified here, as it is dependent on individual utilization of medical services.

Additionally, a significant number of consumers receive health coverage through Medicaid, CHIP, or a BHP, and received eligibility determinations for that coverage based on data made available through these agreements. Because of the wide variety in state approaches to

programs. CMS has elected to use the entire universe of 2022 QHP enrollees (14.5 million) in this CBA in order to present the most conservative case for consumer opportunity costs.

⁵ 8/3/2022: Plan Year 2022 data from <https://www.cms.gov/files/document/health-insurance-exchanges-2022-open-enrollment-report-final.pdf>

making and reporting eligibility determinations, the number of enrollees in these programs is not quantified here.

The financial benefit of having health coverage, whether through a QHP, Medicaid, CHIP, or BHP varies by individual and individual health needs, and is therefore not estimated here.

While these benefits to consumers are made possible in part by the Marketplace matching programs, the benefits are ultimately paid with federal funds (or, in the case of Medicaid and CHIP enrollees, with a combination of federal and state funds). Neither that funding nor these benefits to consumers can be considered a direct cost or benefit of conducting the Marketplace matching programs. As a result, these benefits are not directly applicable to this analysis.

Recovery of improper payments – not germane (not an objective) at this time

The fourth cost benefit analysis key element (recovery of improper payments and debts) is not germane to this cost benefit analysis, because data from the Marketplace matching programs are not currently used to identify and recover improper payments and debts. Annual reconciliation and recovery of improper tax payments are performed by the IRS through a process that is independent of the Marketplace matching programs and other CMS eligibility determination activities. While the Marketplace matching programs could provide for annual and monthly reporting of data by Marketplaces to the IRS and consumers for the purpose of supporting IRS's annual reconciliation, annual and monthly reporting is not currently an activity covered in the IRS-CMS CMA; rather, that information is exchanged between the agencies through Information Exchange Agreements. At most, the data used in the Marketplace matching programs has the future potential benefit of being used in an analytical form, to assist IRS in identifying and/or recovering improper payments and debts.

Consideration of Alternative Approaches to the Matching Programs

In requiring a single, streamlined application process and specifying electronic data access, the ACA effectively required use of computer matching to make eligibility determinations. As a result, wholly manual alternatives for verification of application information (such as a paper-based documentation process) are not considered as a viable alternative in this analysis.

The Marketplace matching programs currently leverage the Hub to minimize connections between AEs and the federal partners. This model has successfully met program needs by

providing for a single streamlined application process for consumers, and supporting accurate eligibility determinations, which in turn increase program integrity for the Marketplace programs.

An alternative, non-Hub approach, for AEs to manage matching programs individually without using the Hub, was considered through this analysis. Without the Hub, each State AE would be required to enter into separate matching arrangements with each federal partner, and build direct connections to each system. CMS believes a non-Hub approach would involve:

- More agreements to prepare and administer (there would be one agreement per AE with each TDS, in place of one agreement per AE with CMS, and one agreement per TDS with CMS);
- More TDS data transmissions to effect and secure (there would be one TDS transmission per AE, in place of each single TDS transmission to the Hub);
- More systems to maintain and secure, to store the TDS data (there would be one system per AE, in place of the single, central Hub system); and
- More copies of TDS data to correct when errors are identified (there would be one copy to correct in each AE system, instead of the single copy in the Hub system).

Based on this analysis, CMS believes the current structure minimizes duplication of effort and is therefore less costly for CMS, federal partners, and State AEs, than an alternative structure that would not leverage the Hub.

Conclusion

The Marketplace matching programs are effectively required, not discretionary, in order to provide the single streamlined application process Congress required. As a result, Marketplace matching programs must continue in the absence of a cost-effectiveness finding.

After careful evaluation of the data presented above, CMS intends to continue using the current matching program structure, which is less costly than the alternative, non-Hub structure and achieves the primary goals of providing a single streamlined application process and accurate eligibility determinations. While CMS intends to retain the existing matching program structure moving forward, necessary changes will be made as needed to keep the matching programs compatible with changes in program operations and data flow. This cost benefit analysis and the decision to retain the current matching structure should increase the public's trust in the participating agencies as careful stewards of taxpayer dollars.

Because the Marketplace matching programs incur a net cost (i.e., do not demonstrate that the matching programs are likely to be cost-effective), the Marketplace matching agreements should be worded to provide for data integrity board (DIB) approval to be based on the other

benefits and mitigating factors described in this analysis and in each individual agreement. Specifically, the agreements should provide justification for each DIB's written determination that the cost benefit analysis is not required to demonstrate cost-effectiveness for Marketplace matching programs.